CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER MAUREEN K. OHLHAUSEN In the Matter of CallFire FTC File No. 122-3011 May 14, 2014

I voted to refer the accompanying proposed dampand stipulated final order to the Department of Justice, settling allegations that Fire provided substantial assistance or support to sellers or telemarketers that were lating the Telemarketer Sales Rule (TSR). Evidence shows that CallFire knew, consciously avoided knowing that its clients were violating the TSR by, among other things, initiating outbounded be one calls that delivered prerecorded messages to induce the purchase of gooderwices (robocalls) whout the recipient's permission.

Robocalls for non-marketing purposes argaleand can be usual to consumers by providing notice of things like flight delays prescription refills. Since 2009, however, the TSR has prohibited making robocalls for marketipurposes without threcipients' written permission. Despite the TSR's requirements, Orallencouraged clients use its robocalling service to market and generatege numbers of leads for moralge modification services and other telemarketers and wasiblenately indifferent to whether these clients had the call recipients' written permission Further, Call Fire actually induded on its website a sample prerecorded marketing message through not be delivered legaltry a recipient without prior written authorization.

I would not support imposing liability on party merely for creating or providing a product or service with legal uses been reason to believe that party knows or consciously avoids knowing that its client is using it to vaious the TSR. In this matter, however, I support the imposition of liability because CallFire actively couraged clients to use its robocall service to target large numbers of coursers for marketing purposes, knot any inquiry to whether its clients were complying with the TSR.

¹ See generally 6 C.F.R. 310 (2012) (prohtbrig deceptive and abusive telematring acts or practices); 16 C.F.R. 310(b) (2012) (stating that to assign d facilitate violations of the TSR is itself a TSR violation).

² 16 C.F.R. 310.3(b)defining assisting and facilitating as "substantial assistance or support to any seller or telemarketer when that person knows or consciously avoids knowing that the seller or telemarketer is engaged in any act or practice that violates" the TSR).