CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER PAMELA JONES HARBOUR

REGARDING STAFF REPORT, "SELF-REGULATORY PRINCIPLES FOR ONLINE BEHAVIORAL ADVERTISING"

I have voted to authorize staff to issue today's report on behavioral advertising. The report reflects tremendous efforts by staff to explore the complex issues surrounding behavioral advertising, and I thank staff for their diligence in tackling this important topic. The release of this report is yet another positive step in an ongoing dialogue between the Commission and relevant stakeholders, including industry representatives, consumer groups, and legislators.

I write separately to explain where I depart from the Commission's present approach to the study of behavioral advertising. Simply stated, today's staff report, while commendable, focuses too narrowly. Threats to consumer privacy abound, both online and offline,¹ and behavioral advertising represents just one aspect of a multifaceted privacy conundrum surrounding data collection and use. I would prefer that the Commission take a more comprehensive approach to privacy, and evaluate behavioral advertising within that broader context.

In recent years we have witnessed an explosion of "free" on

¹ Offline sources of data, including public records (e.g., property records) as well as private databases (e.g., credit reporting agency files), also may pose potential risks to maintaining privacy.

complete and accurate information about how their data may be collected and used. Informed consent should not be assumed based on consumers' willingness to click through cryptic disclosures and licenses. Furthermore, once consumers exercise their choices, companies must be held accountable for the promises they make to consumers regarding collection and use of personal data.

A legislative approach to behavioral advertising is not prudent at this time, for two reasons. First, there are still more questions than answers. Second, and more importantly, any legislation should be part of a comprehensive privacy agenda, rather than fostering the current piecemeal approach to privacy. But nor can I fully support a self-regulatory approach to behavioral advertising, which the staff report appears to advocate. Industry consistently argues that selfregulatory programs are the best way to address privacy concerns, but the evidence is mixed at best. Self-regulation has not yet been prove

² See, e.g., Pam Dixon, World Privacy Forum, *THE NETWORK ADVERTISING INITIATIVE: Failing at Consumer Protection and at Self-Regulation* (Nov. 2, 2007), *available at* <u>http://www.worldprivacyforum.org/pdf/WPF_NAI_report_Nov2_2007fs.pdf</u>; Chris Jay Hoofnagle, *Privacy Self Regulation: A Decade of Disappointment*, EPIC.ORG (Jan. 19, 2005), *available at* <u>http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=650804</u>.

³ See Complaint and Request for Inquiry and Injunctive Relief Concerning Unfair and Dec

⁶ The Commission might wish to devote some of its resources to explore these uses of data. *See, e.g.*, Ron Lieber, *A Very Watchful Eye on Credit Card Spending*, NEW YORK TIMES, Jan. 31, 2009, *available at*

http://www.nytimes.com/2009/01/31/your-money/credit-and-debit-cards/31money.html; Chris Cuomo *et al.*,

⁵ See Todd Wasserman & Wendy Melillo, *Why the Candidates Watch What You Buy*, ADWEEK, Oct. 30, 2006, *available at* <u>http://www.adweek.com/aw/images/pdfs/polifeature.pdf</u>.

⁸ See Peter Swire & Annie I. Antón, Online Behavioral Advertising: Technical Steps Needed to Ensure Consumer Control, (Apr. 10, 2008), available at

The Commission has issued 6(b) orders in other contexts. See, e.g., News Release,

¹⁴ See, e.g., Press Release, Consumers Union, Consumer Reports Poll: Americans Extremely Concerned About Internet Privacy (Sept. 25, 2008), available at <u>http://www.consumersunion.org/pub/core_telecom_and_utilities/006189.html</u>; Press Release, Harris Interactive Inc., Majority Uncomfortable with Websites Customizing Content Based Visitors Personal Profiles (Apr. 10, 2008), available at <u>http://www.harrisinteractive.com/harris_poll/index.asp?PID=894</u>; Press Release, TRUSTe, TRUSTe Report Reveals Consumer Awareness and Attitudes About Behavioral Targeting (Mar. 26, 2008), available at http://www.truste.org/about/press_release/03_26_08.php.

¹⁵ See, e.g., Dissenting Statement of Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour, *In the Matter of Google/DoubleClick*, F.T.C. File No. 071-0170 at 11-12 (Dec. 20, 2007), *available at* http://www.ftc.gov/os/caselist/0710170/071220harbour.pdf

¹⁷ For example, search companies have continually changed their data retention policies, primarily by modifying the amount of time data is retained and applying improved techniques to de-identify such data. While debate exists over the relative merits of each individual company's technique, such actions are driven by demands from regulators, consumer advocates, and users themselves. *See, e.g.*, Press Release, Yahoo!, *Yahoo! Sets New Industry Privacy Standard with Data Retention Policy* (Dec. 17, 2008), *available at* <u>http://yhoo.client.shareholder.com/press/releasedetail.cfm?ReleaseID=354703</u>; Posting of Peter Fleischer, Global Privacy Counsel; Jane Horvath, Senior Privacy Counsel; and Alma Whitten, Software Engineer

My dissent in Google/DoubleClick also suggested the concept of a market for data itself, separate from markets for the services fueled by the data.²⁰ The dissent discussed John Battelle's "database of intentions" concept, which he describes as the "aggregate results of every search ever entered, every result list ever tendered, and every path taken as a result."²¹ Battelle asserts that no single company controls this collection of information, but posits that a few select companies share control. One of my key concerns in Google/DoubleClick was that the merged entity might move closer to dominating the database of intentions, and that the network effects generated by combining the two firms might have long-term negative consequences for consumers. Over the past two years, a series of mergers has further concentrated the competitive landscape in a putative market for consumer data,²² and merger analysis should take this trend into account. Today's economic climate likely will accelerate such concentration, potentially on a scale similar to the previous dot-com collapse.

IV. <u>COMMENTS ON STAFF REPORT</u>

As a necessary pr

²⁰ See Dissenting Statement Of Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour, *In the Matter of Google/DoubleClick* at 9, supra note 15. "In the future, the Commission likely will issue Second Requests in other merger investigations that implicate combinations of data as well as potentially overlapping products and services. When those deals arise, the Commission should ensure that the combinations of data are included squarely within the scope of Second Requests. In this case, for example, it might have been possible to define a putative relevant product market comprising data that may be useful to advertisers and publishers who wish to engage in behavioral targeting."

²J OHN BATTELLE, THE SEARCH: HOW GOOGLE AND ITS RIVALS REWROTE THE RULES OF BUSINESS AND TRANSFORMED OUR CULTURE, 1-17 (Portfolio, Penguin Group [USA] 2005); Posting of John Battelle to battellemedia.com (Nov. 13, 2003), *available at* <u>http://battellemedia.com/archives/000063.php</u>.

²² During 2007, Microsoft bought aQuantive, Google acquired DoubleClick, Yahoo obtained complete control over Right Media, and WPP purchased 24/7 Real Media.

Commissioner, Federal Trade Commission, An Open Letter to the Supreme Court of the United States from Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour at 18-19 (Feb. 27, 2007), available at http://www.ftc.gov/speeches/harbour/070226verticalminimumpricefixing.pdf.

²⁴ See, e.g., Michael Barbaro & Tom Zeller, Jr., A Face Is Exposed for AOL Searcher No. 4417749, N.Y. TIMES, Aug.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.edf

²³ See, e.g., Concurring Statement Of Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour, *Regarding Federal Register Notice Rescinding the FTC's 1966 Guidance Concerning the Cambridge Filter Method* (Nov. 24, 2008) *available at* http://www.ftc.gov/speeches/harbour/081124tobaccopjh.pdf; Pamela Jones Harbour,

This inquiry may demand

As technology develops, industry needs to reconsider old strategies and listen to new perspectives, including international ones.²⁹ The Commission will play a pivotal role in focusing these efforts.

Last fall, the Commission expressed "cautious optimism" for a self-regulatory approach to online advertising.³⁰ Today's staff report reflects more optimism, but less caution – even though nothing has happened to justify a change in tone. Much like the "Man Restraining Trade" in the beautiful sculpture outside my office window, I owe it to consumers to encourage the Commission to rein in unbridled optimism and ensure continued caution. For this reason, I share my thoughts today.

²⁹ See, e.g., Peter Cullen, Microsoft Corporation, Remarks before the 30th International Conference of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners, "Moving Information Across Borders: The Need for a Global Accountability Framework" (Oct. 16, 2008), *available at* http://blogs.technet.com/privacyimperative/archive/2008/10/16/moving-information-across-bord ers-the-need-for-a-global-accountability-framework.aspx.

³⁰ See Privacy Implications of Online Advertising: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Commerce, Sci. & Transp., 110th Cong. (2008), available at http://commerce.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Hearings.Hearing&Hearing_ID=e46b 0d9f-562e-41a6-b460-a714bf370171.