

**PREPARED STATEMENT OF
THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

on

The F

I. Introduction

Chairman Stearns, Ranking Member DeGette, and Members of the Subcommittee, we are Chairman Jon Leibowitz and Commissioner William Kovacic of the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”).¹ As the only federal agency with both consumer protection and competition jurisdiction in broad sectors of the economy, the FTC’s work touches the economic life of every American. We appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today to testify about the FTC’s ongoing and comprehensive regulatory review program. Since 1992, we systematically and rigorously have reviewed our rules to ensure that they enhance consumer welfare without imposing undue burdens on business. Going forward, the FTC will continue an aggressive schedule of regulatory reviews and is seeking public comment to improve our regulatory review program.

Through Executive Order 13563, the President recently directed all Executive Branch agencies to engage in a regulatory review process. While the FTC, as an independent agency, is not bound by this Order, it fully supports the Order’s goals. In a rapidly changing marketplace, effective regulations and industry guidance can become outdated, ineffectual, and unduly burdensome. To ensure that the Commission’s regulations and compliance advice remain cost-effective, the FTC has engaged in a systematic review program for the last two decades, scheduling all rules and industry guides for review on a ten-year cycle. Pursuant to that

¹ This written statement represents the views of the Commission. Our oral presentations and responses to questions are our own and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission or any other Commissioner.

Commission has recently accelerated the scheduled review of six rules and guides that require attention. For example, the Commission just completed review of its Hart-Scott-Rodino Transmittal Rule and promulgated a revised rule that reduces the filing burden on companies seeking to merge and streamlines the premerger notification form from 15 to 10 pages. Another example of the Commission's proactive approach to regulatory review is its accelerated review of its Alternative Fuels and Alternative Fueled Vehicles Rule, where it is working with a sister agency to harmonize our rules and ensure that automobile manufacturers need not apply redundant l

⁵ This excludes nine statutory rules that are being transferred to the CFPB pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act. The FTC has not issued an entirely new trade regulation under its FTC Act Section 5 authority (using Magnuson-Moss procedures) since 1984.

industry guides. These guides set forth the Commission’s interpretation of the prohibition on deceptive practices in Section 5 of the FTC Act.⁶ In this way, they help clarify the line between deceptive and legitimate conduct, thereby giving marketers greater certainty when seeking to avoid running afoul of the law. The Commission understands the importance of avoiding undue burden on business, and seeks to promulgate rules and guides that improve the ability of legitimate businesses to compete in a marketplace free from deceptive and unfair practices.

To provide just two examples, the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Rule (“COPPA Rule”),⁷ which was promulgated pursuant to the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act of 1998, helps protect the privacy of children online. It requires operators of websites and online services directed to children under the age of 13, as well as operators of general audience sites and services having knowledge that they collect information from

⁶ 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

⁷ 16 C.F.R. Part 312.

⁸ Although the Commission generally reviews its rules approximately every ten years, the agency accelerated its COPPA review by five years (from 2015 to 2010) due to the rapid pace of technological developments, including a dramatic increase in children’s use of mobile devices and changes in the way they use and access the internet.

indicate widespread agreement, including among industry members, that the regulation is an important part of an effective government program to add an

⁹ See Prepared Statement of the Federal Trade Commission on Consumer Privacy and Protection in the Mobile Marketplace Before the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, 112th Cong. (May 19, 2011), *available at* <http://www.ftc.gov/os/testimony/110519mobilemarketplace.pdf>.

¹⁰ 16 C.F.R. Part 310.

¹¹ Under the earlier rule, consumers had to ask each business that made a telemarketing call not to call again, and those businesses then had to put that consumer's telephone number on an internal do not call list.

A. Scheduling Regulatory Reviews

The Commission currently schedules its rules and guides for review on a ten-year cycle; *i.e.*, all rules and guides are scheduled to be reviewed ten years after implementation and ten years after completion of a regulatory review. The Commission publishes this schedule annually, with adjustments in response to public input, changes in the marketplace, and resource demands. As a result of this process, the Commission accelerated four reviews in recent years and just announced that it would accelerate the review of two others.

Because of recent increases in the use of environmental marketing claims, in 2007, the Commission accelerated its review of its Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims, also known as the Green Guides.¹² The Commission accelerated in 2010 its review of the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Rule¹³ to address rapid changes in technology and reviews in regional Commission schedule childr

¹² 16 C.F.R. Part 260.

¹³ 16 C.F.R. Part 312.

¹⁴ 16 C.F.R. Part 309.

¹⁵ 16 C.F.R. Part 803.

the HSR Coverage Rule¹⁶ from 2013 to 2011, to more rapidly alleviate any unnecessary burden on merger filers. Finally, the Commission is accelerating review of the Appliance Labeling Rule,¹⁷ previously scheduled for 2018, to 2012 to address rapid changes in appliance technology and help ensure that consumers have the information about the energy efficiency and operating costs of appliances and electronic devices in the marketplace.

B. Current Regulatory Reviews

As part of its ongoing regulatory review program, the Commission has pending reviews relating to 13 of its rules and guides.¹⁸ Of the 13 additional rules and guides originally scheduled to be reviewed in 2011, the Commission is postponing review of four of them due to resource constraints resulting from the acceleration of the reviews noted above, and because staff has determined that there is no pressing need for review this year.¹⁹ As noted above, the

¹⁶ 16 C.F.R. Part 801.

¹⁷ 16 C.F.R. Part 305.

¹⁸ Guides for Private Vocational and Distance Education Schools, 16 C.F.R. Part 254; Guide Concerning Fuel Economy Advertising for New Automobiles, 16 C.F.R. Part 259; Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims, 16 C.F.R. Part 260; Automotive Fuel Ratings, Certification and Posting Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 306; Trade Regulation Rule Pursuant to the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act of 1992 [Pay Per Call Rule], 16 C.F.R. Part 308; Labeling Requirements for Alternative Fuels and Alternative Fueled Vehicles Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 309; Children's Online Privacy Protection Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 312; Care Labeling of Textile Wearing Apparel and Certain Piece Goods as Amended Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 423; Use of Prenotification Negative Option Plans Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 425; Rule Concerning the Cooling-Off Period for Sales Made at Homes or at Certain Other Locations, 16 C.F.R. Part 429; Mail or Telephone Order Merchandise Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 435; Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Business Opportunities Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 437; and Used Motor Vehicle Trade Regulation Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 455.

¹⁹ Administrative Interpretations, General Policy Statements, and Enforcement Policy Statements, 16 C.F.R. Part 14; Guides for the Jewelry, Precious Metals, and Pewter Industries, 16 C.F.R. Part 23 (recently amended to keep pace with developments in the platinum market); Preservation of Consumers' Claims and Defenses Rule ("Holder in Due Course Rule"), 16

also asks specific questions about how the rule or guide can be improved and for data, studies, or other evidence to support the commenter's recommendation.²³ Typically, the Commission receives substantive comments from businesses, trade associations, consumer and other public interest groups, state law enforcement, individual consumers, and other interested stakeholders. It also often holds workshops at which interested parties can express their views to the Commission staff and respond to the views of others.

Using this feedback, the Commission determines whether there is continuing need for the rule or guide, and, if so, whether it still serves its intended purpose without unduly burdening commerce. After analyzing the comments, the Commission either initiates a proceeding to modify or repeal the regulation or guide in question, or determines no changes are war56r

²³ See, e.g., Review of Regulations under the Fur Product Labeling Act, 76 Fed. Reg. 13550 (Mar. 14, 2011); Review of Trade Regulation Rule on Care Labeling of Textile Wearing Apparel and Certain Piece Goods as Amended, *available at* <http://www.ftc.gov/os/fedreg/2011/07/1107carelabelingfrn.pdf>.

²⁴ As noted above, in the last two decades, the Commission has rescinded 37 rules and guides whose costs exceeded their benefits.

²⁵ The procedures the Commission follows when amending a rule depend on whether the regulation in question is a trade regulation rule. After the Commission gets to the stage of a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, it will either follow the relatively streamlined notice-and-comment processes under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 553, available for Commission rulemakings with respect to unfair methods of competition, 15 U.S.C. § 46(g), or when Congress directs the Commission to promulgate rules for a particular statute pursuant to APA notice-and-comment procedures, or it will take further steps to comply with the provisions for trade regulation rulemaking under Section 18 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a.

the same time, it also publishes a burden estimate under the Paperwork Reduction Act and seeks comment on that estimate. The Commission actively looks for means to reduce burden while preserving the effectiveness of a rule. For example, as part of its ongoing review of the Business Opportunity Rule,²⁶ the Commission approved issuance of a Staff Report recommending changes designed to significantly decrease the disclosure burdens on covered sellers of business opportunities, reducing the categories of information they must provide from 23 to five.²⁷

D. Improvements to Regulatory Review Process

As part of the Commission's commitment to robust and effective regulatory review, it recently asked for public comment on how the FTC can improve its regulatory review program to better serve consumers and businesses.²⁸ The Commission asked ten distinct questions, including questions about how often it should review rules and guides; how it can modify its regulatory review program to make it more responsive to the needs of consumers and businesses; how it should identify those rules and guides that can, and should, be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed; whether it should consider other federal or state models for regulatory review; and whether there are specific rules or guides that are ripe for review. By working to improve this long-standing, successful program, the Commission will ensure that all of its

²⁶ 16 C.F.R. Part 437.

²⁷ See Staff Report to the Federal Trade Commission and Proposed Revised Trade Regulation Rule, Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Business Opportunities, *available at* <http://www.ftc.gov/os/fedreg/2010/october/101028businessopportunitiesstaffreport.pdf>.

²⁸ Regulatory Review Schedule, Notice of Intent to Request Public Comments, and Request for Information and Comment, *available at* <http://www.ftc.gov/os/fedreg/2011/07/1107regreviewfrn.pdf>; *see also* Federal Trade Commission, Regulatory Review, <http://www.ftc.gov/regreview>.

²⁹ Federal Trade Commission, Regulatory Review, <http://www.ftc.gov/regreview>.

³⁰ Under the FTC and DOJ Horizontal Merger Guidelines, market concentration is calculated using the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (“HHI”). The HHI

with the Department of Justice and the Department of Education, and simply describes actions taken to address scholarship scams. Though stopping scholarship scams is an important priority, the report appears to provide little valuable information. Accordingly, the Commission will make appropriate recommendations to Congress at the conclusion of this review.

IV. Conclusion

Thank you for providing the Commission an opportunity to appear before the Committee to discuss our ongoing regulatory review program and new initiatives to help maximize effectiveness for American consumers while minimizing the burden for U.S. businesses.

Federal Trade Commission, *Horizontal Merger Guidelines*, August 19, 2010, at 24-26, available at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2010/08/100819hmg.pdf>. The HHI in the ethanol industry is less than 700, which represents a highly unconcentrated market.