PREPARED STATEMENT OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Before the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON SOCIAL SECURITY

of the

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

on

Protecting Social Security Numbers from Identity Theft

Washington, DC

April 13, 2011

I. INTRODUCTION

Chairman Johnson, Ranking Member Becerra, and Members of the Subcommittee, I am Maneesha Mithal, Associate Director of the Division of Privacy and Identity Protection at the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission").¹ I appreciate the opportunity to present the Commission's views on how Social Security numbers ("SSNs") are used in identity theft. Protecting consumers against identity theft and its consequences is a critical component of the Commission's consumer protection mission.²

This testimony begins by describing the nature of identity theft and the role SSNs play in facilitating it. It then summarizes the work that federal and state agencies have done to prevent the misuse of SSNs in the public sector, as well as the recommendations of the Commission's 2008 Report on preventing the misuse of SSNs in the private sector.³ Finally, the testimony describes the Commission's law enforcement, data collection and analysis, and education and outreach efforts on identity theft. In particular, it describes some of the 32 actions the

¹ The views expressed in this statement represent the views of the Commission. My oral presentation and responses to questions are my own and do not necessarily represent the views of the Commission or any individual Commissioner.

² Seel dentity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act, Pub. L. 105-318, 112 Stat. 3007 (1998). Among other things, this Act directs the FTC to establish the federal government's central repository for identity theft complaints and to provide victim assistance and consumer education. The repository of identity theft complaints, known as the "Identity Theft Clearinghouse," is discussed in greater detail below in Section IV.

³ FTC, Security in Numbers, SSNs and ID Thet (Dec. 2008) ("SSN Report"), available at www.ftc.gov/os/2008/12/P075414ssnreport.pdf.

Commission has brought since 2001 challenging businesses that failed to reasonably protect sensitive consumer information that they maintained, including SSNs.

II. THE ROLE OF SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS IN IDENTITY THEFT

Millions of consumers are victimized by identity thieves each year,⁴ collectively costing consumers and businesses billions of dollars⁵ and countless hours to repair the damage. There are two predominant varieties of financial identity theft: the takeover or misuse of existing credit card, debit card, or other accounts ("existing account fraud"), and the use of stolen information to open new accounts in the consumer's name ("new account fraud").⁶ SSNs are valuable to identity thieves in committing both of these types of identity theft.

SSNs are widely used throughout our economy. With 300 million American consumers, many of whom share the same name, the unique nine-digit SSN provides a key tool to identify individual consumers.⁷ Financial institutions, insurers, businesses, universities, health care providers, government, re ers useSSN

⁴ SeeBureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey Supplement, Victims of Identity Theft, 2008 Dec. 2010) ("BJS Supplement") at 1-2 (finding 11.7 million persons, representing 5% of all Americans age 16 or older, were victims of identity theft during a two-year period).

⁵ Id. at 4 (finding the total financial cost of identity theft was 17.3 billion dollars over a two-year period).

⁶ Although less prevalent, new account fraud typically causes considerably more harm to consumers.

⁷ Seegenerally General Accounting Office, Private Sector Entities Routinely Obtain and Use SSNs, and Lalwisnit the Disclosure of This Informatio(GAO 04-01) (2004), available at <u>http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d0411.pdf</u>.

informatitowic (tn orgs.)Tj101e2000 0.0000 TDaniza(utionsl ttim?Tj7619.4400 0.0000 TDatch fic

⁸ SeeSocial Security Administration, Report to Congress on Options for Enhancing the Social Security Card (Sept. 1997), Chapter II, available at ww

ways, from the high-tech (e.g., hacking, phishing, malware, spyware, and keystroke loggers) to the low-tech (e.g

⁹ SSN Report, supra note 3, at 3.

¹⁰ In May 2006, President Bush established an Identity Theft Task Force, comprised of 17 federal agencies, and co-chaired by the FTC's Chairman. The Task Force's mission was to develop a comprehensive national strategy to combat identity theft. SeeThe President's Identity Theft Task Force, Combating Identity Theft: A Strategic Platopril 2007), available at <u>www.identitytheft.gov/reports/StrategicPlan.pdf</u>. In September 2008, the Task Force published its recommendations. SeeThe President's Identity Theft Task Force, Task Force Report (Sept. 2008) ("Task Force Report"), available at <u>www.idtheft.gov/reports/IDTReport2008.pdf</u>. A number of the activities described in this section are the result of these recommendations. Federal agencies continue to implement the Task Force recommendations. For example, the Department of Defense recently announced the discontinuance of SSNs on identification cards beginning June 1, 2011. A news release explaining this policy is available at www.defense.gov/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=63409.

¹¹ Task Force Report, supra note 10, at 6-7.

¹⁹ Congress enacted a federal breach notification law in the health area, enforced by the Department of Health and Human Services and the FTC. **See**American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2008, Pub. L. 111-5, 123 Stat. 155 (2009). To implement this law, the Commission promulgated the Health Breach Notification Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 318, which requires certain entities within the Commission's jurisdiction that offer personal health records and related services to provide consumers with notification in the event of a security breach.

In addition, the Commission enforces a variety of laws requiring entities, in some circumstances, to have reasonable procedures in place to secure consumer information, such as SSNs. For example, the Commission's Safeguards Rule under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act contains data security requirements for financial institutions.²² The FCRA requires consumer reporting agencies to use reasonable procedures to ensure that the entities to which they disclose sensitive consumer information have a permissible purpose for receiving that information.²³ and imposes safe disposal obligations on entities that maintain consumer report information.²⁴ In addition, the Commission enforces the FTC Act's proscription against unfair or deceptive acts or practices²⁵ in cases where a business makes false or misleading claims about its data security procedures, or where its failure to employ reasonable security measures causes or is likely to cause substantial consumer injury.

Since 2001, the Commission has brought 32 law enforcement actions challenging businesses that failed to reasonably protect sensitive consumer information that they maintained. Several Commission cases have involved breaches of SSNs. One of the best-known FTC data security cases is the 2006 action against ChoicePoint, Inc., a data broker that allegedly sold sensitive information (including SSNs in some instances) concerning more than 160,000

²² 16 C.F.R. Part 314, implementing 15 U.S.C. § 6801(b). The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, National Credit Union Administration, Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Office of Thrift Supervision, Secretary of the Treasury, and state insurance authorities have promulgated comparable safeguards requirements for the entities they regulate.

²³ 15 U.S.C. § 1681e.

²⁴ Id. at § 1681w. The FTC's implementing rule is at 16 C.F.R. Part 682.

²⁵ 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

consumers to data thieves posing as ChoicePoint clients.²⁶ In many instances, the thieves used that information to steal the consumers' identities. The Commission alleged that ChoicePoint failed to use reasonable procedures to screen prospective purchasers of its information and ignored obvious security red flags. For example, the FTC alleged that the company approved as purchasers individuals who lied about their credentials, used commercial mail drops as business addresses, and faxed multiple applications from public commercial photocopying facilities. In settling the case, ChoicePoint agreed to pay \$10 million in civil penalties for violations of the FCRA and \$5 million in consumer redress for identity theft victims, and agreed to undertake new data security measures.²⁷

More recently, the Commission reached settlements with two pharmacy chains – CVS Caremark²⁸ and Rite Aid²⁹ – alleging that both companies failed to take reasonable and appropriate security measures to protect sensitive financial and medical information concerning customers and employees. As a result, information such as employment records and pharmacy labels were found in open trash dumpsters. Settlements with the two companies require them to establish comprehensive information security programs.

²⁶ United States v. Choic**eP**nt, Inc.,No. 106-CV-0198 (N.D. Ga.) (settlement entered on Feb. 15, 2006).

²⁷ In 2009, the Commission charged that the company violated the earlier court order and obtained a stipulated modified order under which ChoicePoint agreed to expand its data security obligations and pay monetary relief in the amount of \$275,000. United States v. ChoicePoint, Inc.No. 1:06-CV-0198-JTC (N.D. Ga. (settlement entered on Oct. 14, 2009). In bringing cases under section 5, Commission staff routinely collaborate with state Attorneys General and other federal and state authorities, as it did in Choicepoint

²⁸ CVS Caremark CorpFTC No. C-4259 (June 18, 2009).

²⁹ Rite Aid Corporation, FTC No. C-4308 (Nov. 12, 2010).

Finally, earlier this year, the Commission settled actions against three credit report resellers,³⁰ alleging violations of the FCRA, the FTC Act, and the Safeguards Rule. Due to their lack of information security policies and procedures, these companies allegedly allowed clients without basic security measures, such as firewalls and updated antivirus software, to access sensitive consumer reports through an online portal. By failing to ensure that their clients maintained basic security protections when accessing the portal, the companies enabled hackers to access more than 1,800 credit reports without authorization. The settlements require each company, among other things, to have comprehensive information security programs in place to protect the security, confidentiality, and integrity of consumers' personal information.

B. Data Collection and Analysis

In addition to law enforcement, the Commission collects and analyzes identity theft complaint data in order to target its education efforts and assist criminal law enforcement

glic comme10 0.00 rgBT1g

omp

B0000t(c)Ti5.2800 0.00000 0(ur)Ti9nforb..000031 1.00000 0.0000 0.000 0.rg

³⁰ SettlementOn€redit Corp.,FTC File No. 082 3208; ACRAnet, Inc FTC File No. 092 3088; Fajilan and Assoc., IncFTC File No. 092 3089 (Feb. 3, 2011) (consent orders accepted for public comment). A news release and links to these cases is available at http://www.ftc.gov/opa/2011/02/settlement.shtm.

³¹ For example, each of the 50 Offices of the Attorney General have access to the Clearinghouse data.

the Clearinghouse, law enforcers can search identity theft complaints submitted by victims, law enforcement organizations, and the Identity Theft Assistance Center, a not-for-profit coalition of financial services companies. To assist law enforcement and policy makers, the FTC also routinely issues reports on the number and nature of identity theft complaints received by the FTC.³²

C. Consumer and Business Education

Consumer and business education is another important part of the Commission's mission. The Commission works to empower consumers by providing them with the knowledge and tools to protect themselves from identity theft and to deal with the consequences when it does occur. The Commission receives about 15,000 to 20,000 contacts each week through its toll-free hotline and dedicated website. Callers to the hotline receive counseling from trained personnel on steps they can take to prevent or recover from identity theft.

Further, the FTC makes available a wide variety of consumer educational materials, including many in Spanish, to help consumers deter, detect, and defend against identity theft. For example, the FTC publishes a victim recovery guide – Take Charge: Fighting Back Against Identity Theft³³ – that explains the immediate steps identity theft victims should take to address the crime; how to obtain a credit report and correct fraudulent information in credit reports; how

³² See e.g., FTC, Corsumer Sentinel Network Data Book for January - December, 2010(Feb. 2011), available at <u>http://ftc.gov/sentinel/reports/sentinel-annual-reports/sentinel-cy2010.pdf</u>. The 2010 Data Book shows that over 250,000 consumers reported some form of identity theft, which represents 19% of the total number of complaints submitted to the Commission. This makes identity theft the most frequently reported category of consumer complaints, continuing a pattern that started over a decade ago.

³³ Available atwww.ftc.gov/bcp/ed/pubs/consumers/idtheft/idt04.pdf.

to file a police report;³⁴ and how to protect personal information. The Commission has distributed over 3.8 million copies of the recovery guide and has recorded over 3.5 million visits to the Web version.

The Commission also sponsors a multimedia website, OnGuard Online,³⁵ designed to educate consumers about basic computer security, including the importance of not disclosing personal information such as SSNs to possible fraudulent operators. OnGuard Online was developed in partnership with other government agencies and technology companies. Visitors to the site can download educational games and videos, learn more about specific topics, including phishing and social networking, and obtain useful tips and information in an interactive format.

The Commission directs its outreach to businesses as well.³⁶ It has developed a brochure and an online tutorial³⁷ that set out the key components of a sound data security plan. These materials alert businesses to the importance of data security and give them a solid foundation on how to address those issues. In addition, the FTC creates business educational materials to

³⁴ The FCRA also provides identity theft victims with additional tools to recover from identity theft. For example, identity theft victims who provide police reports to a consumer reporting agency may obtain a seven-year fraud alert on their credit files, alerting potential users of their reports to exercise special vigilance in opening accounts in the consumers' names. In addition, victims may block fraudulent information on their credit files, obtain from creditors the underlying documentation associated with transactions that may have been fraudulent, and prohibit creditors from reporting fraudulent information to the consumer reporting agencies. See FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 605A, 605B, 609(e), and 611.

³⁵ Available at<u>www.onguardonline.gov</u>. A Spanish-language counterpart, Alerta En Linea, is available at <u>www.alertaenlinea.gov</u>.

³⁶ SeeFTC, Protecting Personal Information: A Guider Businessand FTC, Information Compromise and Risk of Identity Theft: Guidance our BusinessBoth publications are available at <u>http://business.ftc.gov</u>.

³⁷ The tutorial is available at www.ftc.gov/bcp/edu/multimedia/interactive/infosecurity/index.html.

address particular risks. For example, the Commission developed a new business education brochure – Peer-to-Peer File Sharing: A Guide for Business³⁸ – to educate businesses about the risks associated with P2P file sharing programs and advise them about ways to address these risks.

Finally, the Commission leverages its resources by providing educational and training materials to "first responders." For example, because victims often report identity theft to state and local law enforcement agencies, the FTC informs law enforcers on how to talk to victims about identity theft.³⁹ The Commission also distributes a law enforcement resource CD Rom that includes information about how to assist victims, how to partner with other law enforcement agencies, how to work with businesses, and how to access the Identity Theft Clearinghouse. In addition, the FTC and its partners have provided identity theft training to over 5,400 state and local law enforcement officers from over 1,770 agencies.

Similarly, the FTC has encouraged the development of a nationwide network of pro bono clinics to assist low-income identity theft victims. As part of this initiative, the FTC has created a comprehensive guide for advocates providing legal assistance to identity theft victims. The Guide for Assisting Identity Theft Victims (Pro Bono Guide) describes how advocates can intervene with creditors, credit reporting agencies, debt collectors, and others, and it provides self-help measures that victims can take to address their problems. Step-by-step instructions

³⁸ Available at<u>www.ftc.gov/bcp/edu/pubs/business/idtheft/bus46.shtm</u>. Peer-to-Peer (P2P) technology enables companies to form a network in order to share documents and to facilitate online telephone conversations.

³⁹ Resources for law enforcement are available at <u>www.ftc.gov/idtheft</u>.

⁴⁰ The Pro Bono Guides available at <u>www.idtheft.gov/probono</u>.

provide best practices for recovering from identity theft.

V. CONCLUSION

Identity theft remains a serious problem in this country, causing enormous harm to consumers, businesses, and ultimately our economy. The Commission will continue to play a central role in the battle against identity theft and looks forward to working with this Subcommittee on this important issue.