I. Background

Let me begin with a very brief overview of the FTC's history of privacy protection. Privacy has been a core poment of the FTC's consumer protection mission for decades. The Commission's havion this arena began with its implementation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1970, which promotes the accuracy and privacy of credit reporting information. With the emergence of the Internet and e-commerce in the mid-1990s, the FTC's privately enda expanded to encompass online privacy issues. Of course, the rise of enourerce meant that privacy issues became truly global in nature. For that reason, interior and outreach and cooperation has long been critical to our privacy work, and our involve matchere in APEC has been and remains an important part of those efforts. In fact, just became one of the first participants in the APEC Cross-border Privacy Enforcement Arrangement.

While the FTC's commitment to consumer privacy has remained constant over the years, its enforcement efforts have redective conceptual approaches: the "notice and choice" and "harm-based" models. Tenesso approaches are well-known to you, as they are also reflected in the APEC Party Framework. The notice and choice model gained ascendancy in the mid-1990's, when FITC began to focus on consumer privacy in the online context. As you know, thresodel emphasizes that businesses should provide notice of the information they collect from consumers and how they will use it so that consumers can provide informed context to the collection and use of their information. The harm-based model gaineicharcy at the FTC in the early part of the last decade. In contrast to the notice almodice model, the harm-based model focuses on tangible harm to consumers resulting from resistof their information. The harm-based

approach targets uses of information that emetinancial risks — such as identity theft; threats to physical security — such addren's privacy; and unwanted intrusions into consumers' daily lives — such asysware, spam, and telemarketing.

II. Lessons Learned

The FTC's privacy reexamination is takiptace against that backdrop. What has the FTC learned from its privacy round sets? Several key themes have emerged.

At the outset, it is important to recognize that the free flow of informational consumers fosters the flow to be content and service sconsumers online. Consumers derive tremendous benefits from the free eathand services available online, which are made possible by online advertising. Privacy icy should take this into account.

But it has also become clear that thurrent approaches to privacy have significant limitations. The notice and cheimodel puts too much burden on consumers to read and understand length ndacomplicated privacy policies hat seem designed more to limit companies' liability than to community with consumers. To be sure, privacy policies play an important role in enting accountability, but they do not ensure meaningful consumer choice or inspire confidence in a world in which data may be shared among numerous organizations ultiple, unanticipated purposes.

The harm-based model, on the other hand, has sometimes focused too much on financial harms to consumers and on harms they occur, rathethan taking preventive measures before the information is collected, or shared. That is not to say that tangible, financial harms are not important—eytlare, of course. But even where there may be no risk of economic harm, there atteations in which consumers may be injured when their personal information is share-fobr example, a consumer may not want

company procedures, systems, and technologithe attutset, so that year an integral part of a company's business model. Totois Id include providing easonable security for consumer data, collecting only the tadaeeded for a specific business purpose, retaining data only as long as necessarify. If Ill that purpose, and implementing reasonable procedures to promote data uracy. These measures would provide consumers with substantive protections with placing the burden othern to read long notices and make detailed choices. The IST Coerefore exploring how to encourage companies to incorporate these protections time in practices, whether there are other such protections that companies should sider, and how to beance the costs and benefits of such protections.

B. Simplifying Choice

Second, the FTC is considering how troughlify the privacy choices presented to consumers. One way would be to recognized consumers do not need to exercise choice for certain commonly accepted busing stices that consumers expect — for example, consumers naturally expect that an online vendor will share a consumer's address to the shipping company that well iver the consumer's purchase. By eliminating the need to obtain a consumer sent to this transfer of information, consumers can focus on the choices that readilyter to them, and on uses of data that they would not expect when they engage in a transfer. Simplifying choice should also reduce the burdens on businesses. The FTC is considering how to define these commonly accepted business practices.

The FTC is also exploring — in casesere choice would be needed — how to make consumer privacy choices more meaning for example, rather than discussing

thoughts and ideas on the questithest the report raises. We value the input of our fellow APEC economies and invite your views.

Let me stop here and thank you foe topportunity to give you this update.