2008 Report on Ethanol Market Concentration

I. Introduction

Section 1501(a)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 7545(o), imposes an annual requirement on the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission" or "FTC") to "perform a market concentration analysis of the ethanol production industry using the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index [("HHI")] to determine whether there is sufficient competition among industry participants to avoid price-setting and other anticompetitive behavior." The statute also requires that the FTC consider all marketing arrangements among industry participants in preparing its analysis. The FTC must report its findings to Congress and to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. This report presents the FTC's concentration analysis of ethanol production for 2008. This report builds upon previous Commission reports on Ethanol Market Concentration, which contain relevant background information that is not repeated in this report.¹

For purposes of this analysis, FTC staff ("staff") reviewed and analyzed publicly available data and conducted interviews with ethanol producers, marketers, and other industry participants. As in previous reports, FTC staff used three different methods of calculating HHIs for the ethanol production industry.² Most significantly, staff determined that U.S. ethanol production remains unconcentrated under each of these methods. If each producer's market

²See Section IV, *infra*.

¹See FTC, Report on Ethanol Market Concentration (2005) ("2005 Ethanol Report"), available at <u>http://www.ftc.gov/reports/ethanol05/20051202ethanolmarket.pdf;</u> Report on Ethanol Market Concentration (2006) ("2006 Ethanol Report"), available at <u>http://www.ftc.gov/reports/ethanol/Ethanol_Report_2006.pdf;</u> Report on Ethanol Market Concentration (2007) ("2007 Ethanol Report"), available at <u>http://www.ftc.gov/reports/ethanol/2007ethanol.pdf</u>.

share (based on production capacity) is attributed to the firm that markets its ethanol, the HHI for domestic ethanol production is 723. If each producer's market share (again based on production capacity) is not attributed to its marketer, but rather to itself, the HHI for domestic ethanol production is 313. These HHI levels both show a slight increase in concentration from the HHIs calculated in last year's report. Assuming U.S. fuel ethanol³ production is a relevant market for competition analysis, these figures indicate that the relevant market is unconcentrated.⁴ Moreover, these HHI levels do not justify a presumption that a single ethanol producer or marketer, or a small group of such firms, could wield sufficient market power to set prices or coordinate on prices or output.

II. Recent Industry Developments

Since last year's report, Congress significantly increased the minimum amount of renewable fuel that must be used domestically, whether in the form of ethanol blended into gasoline or in the form of biodiesel. The minimum amount, known as the Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS"), was previously set by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 at 5.4 billion gallons for 2008, and was set to rise each year until reaching 7.5 billion gallons in 2012.⁵ The Energy

³This report analyzes fuel ethanol concentration, rather than concentration of all ethanol. Fuel ethanol contains about 5 percent denaturant, such as gasoline, rendering it undrinkable and not subject to the beverage alcohol tax. <u>http://www.ethanolrfa.org/resource/made/</u>. Thus, fuel ethanol and alcohol used in beverages are not substitutes for each other.

⁴The Commission and the Department of Justice characterize markets where the HHI level is below 1000 as unconcentrated, or competitive. HHIs between 1000 and 1800 indicate moderately concentrated markets, which may or may not raise competitive concerns. Markets with HHIs over 1800 are highly concentrated and are more likely to pose competitive concerns. 1992 U.S. Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines ("Horizontal Merger Guidelines") § 1.51.

⁵Energy Policy Act § 1501(a)(2).

Independence and Security Act of 2007 raises the RFS to 9.0 billion gallons in 2008, and increases the RFS each year until it reaches 36 billion in 2022. Additionally, starting in 2016, all of the increase

⁶Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 § 202.

⁷http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/hist/mferius1m.htm.

additional ethanol production capacity still under construction that will come online soon. Despite the lower margins, in the past year ethanol producers completed many plants which were already under construction, generally continued to run existing plants either close to or at capacity, and did not close down plants already in operation.

Discussions with industry participants also revealed that the decrease in the number of firms beginning construction on new ethanol plants is not likely persist for longalre

From 2006 to 2007, ethanol production increased approximately 33 percent, from 4.9 billion gallons to 6.5 billion gallons.⁸ This increase comes on the heels of a 26 percent increase between 2005 and 2006. Since 2000, when domestic ethanol production was at 1.6 billion gallons,⁹ production has increased 406 percent. With additional production continually coming online from plants completing construction, domestic production for 2008 likely will be higher once again.

U.S. ethanol production capacity also rose in 2007. Domestic capacity rose steadily from 5.4 billion gallons per year at the end of 2006,¹⁰ to 7.8 billion gallons per year at the end of 2007,¹¹ to an estimated 11.8 billion gallons per year by the end of this year.¹² The amount of capacity currently under construction, once online, will bring domestic production capacity to 13 billion gallons.¹³

The number of firms producing ethanol has increased since last year's report, from 103 firms producing ethanol in September 2007, to 160 firms producing or expected to produce ethanol within the next year, as of September 2008. The largest ethanol producer's share of domestic capacity fell again, as new firms entered the market and existing firms have added capacity. Currently, the largest producer accounts for 11 percent of domestic ethanol production

⁸See Renewable Fuels Association ("RFA"), Changing the Climate: Ethanol Industry Outlook 2008 ("Changing the Climate"), at 3.

⁹Changing the Climate, at 3.

¹⁰RFA, Building New Horizons: Ethanol Industry Outlook 2007, at 3.

¹¹Changing the Climate, at 2.

¹²Changing the Climate, at 2.

¹³Changing the Climate, at 2.

capacity,¹⁴ down from 16 percent in 2007, 21 percent in 2006, 26 percent in 2005, and 41 percent in 2000.¹⁵

IV. Analysis¹⁶

Section 1501(a)(2) of the Energy Policy Act instructs the Commission to measure concentration in ethanol production using HHIs. The Commission and the U.S. Department of Justice regularly use HHIs to measure concentration in a relevant antitrust market to analyze the likely effects of a merger or acquisition on competition in that market.¹⁷ HHIs are calculated by summing the squares of the individual market shares of all market participants.¹⁸ HHIs show a snapshot of market concentration and, in the context of merger review, the difference between the pre-merger HHI and post-merger HHI suggests the merger's likely effect on market concentration.

The agencies characterize markets with an HHI level below 1000 as unconcentrated, or competitive. HHIs between 1000 and 1800 indicate moderately concentrated markets, which may or may not raise competitive concerns. Markets with HHIs over 1800 are highly

¹⁶The background information in this section on how HHIs are calculated and their relevance is virtually identical to the background information in last year's Report on Ethanol Market Concentration. *See* 2007 Ethanol Report at 7-8.

¹⁷See Horizontal Merger Guidelines § 1.5.

¹⁴Unless indicated otherwise, measures of capacity in this report represent both current capacity and capacity under construction.

¹⁵See 2007 Ethanol Report, at 6; Changing the Climate, table entitled "U.S. Fuel Ethanol Industry Biorefineries and Production Capacity."

¹⁸For example, a four-firm market with market shares of 30 percent, 30 percent, 20 percent, and 20 percent has an HHI of 2600 [(30*30) + (30*30) + (20*20) + (20*20) = 2600]. HHIs range from 10,000 in a one-firm (pure monopoly) market, to a number close to zero in a highly unconcentrated market.

concentrated and are more likely to pose competitive concerns.

To calculate the HHIs required by § 1501(a)(2), we must assume that U.S. fuel ethanol production is a relevant antitrust market.¹⁹ This assumption precludes consideration of potentially smaller relevant geographic markets within the United States which could provide further insight into how ethanol producers compete. This assumption also precludes consideration of a broade

¹⁹A relevant antitrust market has both product and geographic aspects. A product market is a product or group of products such that a hypothetical firm that was the only seller of those products would find it profitable to impose at least a small but significant and nontransitory price increase above the competitive level. If such a price increase would not be profitable because of the loss of sales to other products, the product or group of products would not be a relevant product market. Similarly, a geographic market is a region such that a hypothetical firm that was the only present or future producer of the relevant product in that region would find it profitable to impose at least a small but significant and nontransitory price increase above the competitive level. If such a price increase would not be profitable because of the loss of sales to producers outside the region, the region would be too narrowly defined to be a relevant geographic market. *See* Horizontal Merger Guidelines at §§ 1.1-1.2.

A. <u>Concentration Based on Capacity, Attributing Market Shares to Producers</u>

Staff first calculated market shares of producers based on their fuel ethanol production capacity. Production capacity provides a useful and easily confirmable indicator of a producer's competitive significance.²⁰

Staff relied on publicly available information and interviews with producers, marketers, and other industry participants to determine the production capacity of each ethanol plant (as well as other information presented herein). On its website, the Renewable Fuels Association ("RFA") provides updated data on ethanol plant capacity and announced capacity expansions. Other publicly available information is available from the websites of producers, many of which provide information regarding existing plant capacities and construction plans. Some marketers ad1f7I510000F,ed ca

 $^{^{20}}See$ Horizontal Merger Guidelines § 1.41. A firm's capacity is likely the best measure of its competitiveness, because ethanol is an undifferentiated product (*i.e.*, producers manufacture chemically identical ethanol).

²¹See Horizontal Merger Guidelines § 1.32. The Horizontal Merger Guidelines specifically discuss "uncommitted entrants" as being in the relevant market. Uncommitted entrants are those firms that are not currently producing or selling, but would within one year without the expenditure of significant sunk costs of entry and exit, in response to a "small but

This number suggests an analy

significant and nontransitory" price increase. While firms with plants under construction are not technically uncommitted entrants, they pose similar constraints on the ability of current producers or sellers to raise prices.

Individual marketers may represent, and make limited decisions for, numerous producers. Such marketers likely concentrate the capacity of numerous producers under a single entity for purposes of competition. Therefore, attributing market share to marketers in measuring concentration, rather than attributing market share to producers, provides a different, although perhaps as meaningful, measure of concentration of the ethanol industry.

Some marketers utilize pooling arrangements, under which they make more significant decisions for their producer clients. Under these agreements, they treat all of their producers' volumes in common, make sales to accounts, and decide which plant is best situated to serve the account. Each producer is then allocated a prorated share from the common revenue pool, based on the volume it contributes, and receives an identical netback (*e.g.*, the sale price less the cost of transportation from the ethanol plant), regardless of where the plant is located or where its ethanol is sold. These producers receive offers from only one source – their marketer, which represents numerous other producers. On the other hand, under a non-pooling marketing arrangement, a marketer sells its producers' volumes on a plant-specific basis, and can present each producer with offers from multiple buyers.

Since buyers do not make offers to individual producers within a pooling arrangement, but deal only with the single marketer, it may make sense to attribute production capacity to marketers for those producers in pooling arrangements. In attributing market shares to marketers when they have pooling arrangements with their producers, and attributing market shares to producers not in pooling arrangements to the producers themselves, staff determined the HHI to be 527. This HHI also indicates an unconcentrated market. It represents a relatively small increase from last year's HHI of 453, but it remains below the 2006 HHI of 635.²⁶

As each marketing agreement is unique, staff cannot determine with certainty the effect of each marketing agreement on the industry, whether or not it contains a pooling arrangement. Therefore, staff also calculated an HHI that attributes all producers' shares to their marketers, regardless of whether the marketing agreement involves pooling volumes. This approach yields an HHI of 723, again indicating an unconcentrated market under the Horizontal Merger Guidelines, and again slightly up from last year's HHI of 670²⁷ but below the 2006 HHI of 995²⁸ using the same allocation method.

C. <u>Concentration Based on Production, Using Data from the Energy Information</u> <u>Administration ("EIA")</u>

Staff also measured concentration in the ethanol industry by using production data, in lieu of capacity data. Use of production data is instructive because there are certain limitations associated with using capacity data. Ethanol plants often can produce more than the stated capacity guaranteed by the builder or designer, and tend to do so as their owners and operators improve the production process and gain expertise in operating their plants. Ethanol plants may produce as much as 10 to 15 percent more ethanol than their stated capacities.²⁹

The EIA provided the production concentration data contained in this report. EIA collects confidential information from firms that produce oxygenates such as ethanol. Firms that

²⁶2006 Ethanol Report at 9.

²⁷2007 Ethanol Report at 11.

²⁸2006 Ethanol Report at 9.

²⁹2007 Ethanol Report at 12; 2006 Ethanol Report at 9; 2005 Ethanol Report at 12.

produce over 8 million gallons of oxygenates per year must report to EI

³⁰For those producers for which EIA maintains production data, staff provided EIA with information regarding the identity of their marketers, and with information regarding whether they entered into pooling agreements with their marketers. EIA used this information, in conjunction with its own data on ethanol production, to calculate the HHIs that attribute market share to marketers.

V. Conclusion

The ethanol production industry has remained unconcentrated over the last year. However, depending on the measure of concentration one uses, the trend of the industry to become more and more unconcentrated each year is now slowing or even slightly reversing. While firms were generally not breaking ground on new ethanol production facilities during 2008, there is still a large amount of ethanol production capacity under construction that is expected to become operational in the next twelve to eighteen months. Furthermore, the ease of entry by new firms, and the availability of ethanol imports, serve to provide additional constraints on current market participants. These dynamics make it extremely unlikely that a single ethanol producer or marketer, or a small group of such firms, could wield sufficient market power to be able to engage in price-setting or other anticompetitive behavior.

Concentration Based on Capa	

³⁴As discussed in footnote 4 above, the Commission and the Department of Justice characterize markets having HHIs below 1000 as unconcentrated. HHIs between 1000 and 1800 indicate moderately concentrated markets, and HHIs over 1800 indicate highly concentrated markets that are more likely to pose competitive concerns. Horizontal Merger Guidelines § 1.51.

