FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT 2010



INTRODUCTION

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") is pleased to submit to Congress his annual eport summarizing the dministrative and efforcement ations it has take under the Fair Debt Collection Pratices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-1692p, durithge past year¹ These ations are part of the FTC's on one effort to curtail deeptive, unfair, and abusive lebt collection practices in the market place. Such pratices cause substantial consumer injury, including payment of amounts not owed, unintended waivers of rights, invasions of privays, and emotional distress shome circumstances, illess collection practices can place consumers deeperin debt.

The FDCPA prohibits deceptive, unfair, and abusive practices by third-party collectors. For themost part, creditors are exempt when the precollecting their own debts. The BCPA permits reasonate collection efforts that promote represent of legitimate debts, and the TC tries to ensure compliance without unresonably impeding the collection process. The TC recognizes that the tirely payment of debts is important to creditors and that the debolkection industry assists creditors in collectors what they are owed. The FTC also appreciates the need to protect consumers from those debt collectors who engage in deeptive, unfar, and abusive collection practices.

The FDCPA vests the FTC with primarynforcement responsibility. It shares overall enforcement reponsibility, however, with other edeal agencies.² In addition, consumers who believe they have been victims of FDCPA violations may seek relief in state or federal court.

As in past years, the FTC took singificant steps in 2009 to cuiltail egal debt collection practices. This report summarizes: (1) theptys of consumer complaints the FTC received in 2009; (2) reent developments in FTC lawreforcement; and 3() the FTC's 2009 consumer and industry education and policy initiatives.

¹ Section 815 of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C.§ 1692m, requires the FTC to report annually to Congress concerning the administration of its functions under #NaCPA.

Section 814 of the DPCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692places enforcement obligations upon seme other federal agencies for the organizations they regulate. These agencies are the Office of the Comptroller of the Currecy, the Federal ReserveBoard, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of Thrift Supervision, the National Credit Union Administration, the Department of Transportation, and the Department of Agriculture. Almost all of the collectors these agencies regulate are creditors collecting on their own debts, and, as such, largely fall outside the FDCPA's coverage. If these agencies receive complaints about debt collection firms that are not under their jurisdiction, they generally forward the complaints to the FTC or suggest that the consumer contact the FTC directly.

FTC cannot determine twethersuch condutoviolates the FIDPA without investigating whether the debt collector had the requisite intention to file suit.⁵

Despite their limitations, the FTC belies/that consumerocomplaint data provide useful insignt into the acts and portaces ofdebt collectors. The FTC describe below the trends it has obseed in the overall number of det collection complaints it has recived as well as the types of pactices about which consumers most frequely complain.

TOTAL NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS

Hundreds of thousands of consumers contain the FTC every year about all kinds of consumer protection issues. With respect to debt collection, the FTC receives both consumer inquirise and complaints. The TE's Consumer Response Cen(ter RC") makes every effort to distinguish between these two categories of contacts. The data presented here include only consumer contacts that the CRC has identified complaints. When this report refers to "complaints," the term for solely to complaints that consumers have filed directly with the FTC.

In 2009, consumeromplaints to the FTC about third-paty debt collectors⁶ ("FDCPA complaints") increased in absolute terms, but decreased as apercentage of all complaints that consumersiled directly with the FTC. The FTC received 88,190 FDCPA complaints about third-page debt collectors in 2009. This repsents 16.8% of

⁵ Section 807(5) porhibits debt collectors form threatening "to take anyaction that cannot legally be take or that is not intended to be take prohibition that includes fase threats of suit. 15 U.S.C§ 1692e(5)

⁶ "Third-party debt collectors" include contingency fee collectors and attornyes who regularly collect orattempt to collect, direly or indirectly, debts assterd to be owned or due another, as well as debt buyers collecting on debts they purchased in default.

⁷ Last year, the FTC received 524,509 complaints drectly from consumers about all industries, up from the 416,284 no plaints recised in 2008. As in pasteyas, complaint numbers in this report do not include no plaints about identity heft or violations of the FC's Do Not Call Reightry.

Combined, complaints about third-party debt collectors and in-house collectors in 2009 totaled 119,364 complaints and accounted for 22.8% of all complaints the FTC received. This represents an increase in basolute terms from the 200 kg/fure, and a decrease a preentage of total complaints: in 2008, the argncyreceived 104,766 dbf collection complaints, accounting for 25.2% of all complaints to the FTC.

In evaluating the complaints the FTC received in 2009 relative to those received in 2008, it isimportant to recorgize that in une 2008 the gency substantially changed the way it processes complaints it receives over the Internet. The agency changed from a form-based who complaint system to an interative, question-basely complaint system. Although both stylems permit a sinker complaint to be coddefor multiple law violations, this change in the FTC's web-based complaint system appears to have resulted in an innecesse in the number of daw violations reported percomplaint. To evaluate possible changes in underlying debt collector behavior, it is useful to compar complaint information duringueriods in 2008 and 2009 in which the C was using the same complaint system. Accordingly, the FTC has supplemented its annual mapplaint comparisons by comparing complaints received from Julythrough December 2009 with complaints received from Julythrough December 2009 with

For the July through Decemberperiod, total DCPA complaints incressed slightly from 56,160 (o.24.2% of FC complaints) in 2008 57,926 (or 23.5% dFTC complaints) in 2009. For each category of complaints below, similar comparison of the July through Decemberdata will appear in footnotes.

COMPLAINTS BY CATEGORY

In addition to evaluating total number of complaints about third-party ebt collectors, it also is instructive to conside the specific types of det collection practices about which onsumers complain. Rease consumer frequently complain about more than one debt collection practice, the CRC historically has assigned many complaints

Some complaints are dirted toward both third-pray debt collectors and in-house reditor collectors. Thus, the total number of replaints against all debt collectors can be than the sum of all third-pary complaints and all in-house excitor complaints.

For example, among all complaints received directly by the FTC on the web, the average number of law violations per complaint ineasel from 0.93 in early 2008 to 1.97 aftethe FTC switched to the new web complaint system in June 2008. This increase was ever greater for FDCPA complaints: the average number of law violations perweb complaint rose from approximately 0.98 under the ld system to 3.5 under the weweb complaint system.

more than one ode. Thus, if one adds togetherall the complaints for each of the fifteen debt collection codes each year, the total exceeds the number FDCPA complaints the FTC actually received in that par

Harassing the alleged debtor or others: This complaint categry encompases four distinct violation codes. Under the FDCPA, debt collectors may not harass consumers to trip collect on a det. In 2009, 46.5% of IDCPA complaints the FTC received, or41,028 complaints, claimed that I lectors has sed the complainants by calling repeatedly or continuously. This was the most foreign law violation about which consumers complained during 2009, as it was in 2008, when 27,413 complaints, representing 4.7% of IDCPA complaints, stated that collectors desired them by calling repeatedly or continuously. Also in 2009, 14,321 complaints, or 16.2% of FDCPA complaints, claimed that collector had used obsone, profane, or otherwise abusive language. Nine thousand, six hundred teghty-four complaints (9,684), or 11% f 2009 FDCPA complaints, said that collectors called before 8:00 a.m., aftee 9:00 p.m., or at other times that the collectors knew or should have known experinconvenient to the consumer. Wo thousand, five hundred seveteen \$2,517) complaints, or 2.9% at 009 FDCPA complaints, reported that collectors used or threatened to use violence if consumers failed to pay. In the collectors used or threatened to use violence if consumers failed to pay.

DEMANDING A LARGER PAYMENT THAN IS PERMITTED BY LAW: This category includes two different FDCPA law violation codes. Fix, the FDCPA prohibits debt collector from misrepesenting the character, amount, or legal status of a dat. The types of complaints that fall into this category include, for example, reports that a collector is attempting to ollect either debt the consumer does not owast all or adebt larger than what the onsumer accually owes. Other complaints in this category state that colletors have sould to collect on debts that habeen disbarged in bankuptcy. For the seond consecutive year, this was the second most common category of FDCPA complaint. In

¹³ Section 806, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d.

For the July through Decemberperiod, 47.8% oFDCPA complaints (20,603 total) in 2009 claimed epeated or continual harasing calls, up from 43.7%1(8,018 total) in 2008; 16.3% of FDCPA complaints in 2009 (7,021 total) posted use obsceneprofane, or othewise abusive language, down slightly from 16.7% (6,863 total) in 2008; 11.5% of complaints in 2009 (4,940 total) reported that collectors called bisore 8:00 a.m., afte9:00 p.m., or at other convenient times, up from 10.5% (4,317 total) in 2008; and placentage of complaints reporting that collectors used or threatened to use violence increased from 24% (971 complaints) in 2008 to 3.2% (1,394 complaints) in 2009.

¹⁵ Section 807(2), 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)

2009, howeve, therewas an increase in the number of a derease in the preentage of complaints of this law violation compared to 2008. In 2009, 31.1%, or 27,420 FDCPA complaints, designed this conduct; in 2008, 32.5% dDEPA complaints, or 25,684 complaints, reported that collectors engaged in these practices.¹⁶

Second, the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from collecting any amount unless t is "expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law." In 2009, 10.9% of BCPA complaints, or 9,632 complaints, assolutheat collectors demanded interest, fees, or expenses that were not owed (such as collection fees, late fees, and courcosts), up from 7.5% offDCPA complaints (5,948 total) in 2008.

THREATENING DIRE CONSEQUENCESS

For the July through Decemberperiod, there was a small decease in the number rad the percentage of complaints recised about misrepasenting the character, amount, or legil status of a debt in 2009 compad to the state period in 2008. During those months in 2009, the FTC received 12,701 surccomplaints, amounting to 29.5% FOIDCPA complaints, while during same months in 2008, the FTC everyed 12,787 complaints, or 31% oDEPA complaints.

¹⁷ Section 808(1), 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1)

¹⁸ For the July through December period, the number and percentage of consumer complaints concerning collecting unauthorized amounts heldatevely steady 4,555 complaints, or 11.1% of FDCPA complaints, in 2008pt4,571 complaints, or 10.6% oDEPA complaints, in 2009.

¹⁹ Sections 807(4)5(), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(45).

For the July through December period, the percentage of FDCPA complaints for false threats of lawsuits or other unintended actions rose slightly: it constituted 21.9% of FDCPA complaints in 2009 (9,434 complaints), up from 20.4% ToCPA complaints in 2008 (8,387 (continued...)

Impermissible calls to consumer's place of employment: Underthe FDCPA, a debt ollector maynot contact aconsumer tawork if the collector knows other reason to know that the consumer's employer prohibits such contacts. By continuing to contact consumers at work under these circumstances, debt collectors may put them in jeopady of losing the jobs. In 2009, 13.6% of IDCPA complaints, or 11,973 complaints, relate (jeopar) Tj 29.8800 0.0a.6600 0.0000 S 0.00(t works 15.720800 0.0000 T)

²⁰(...continued) complaints). Similarly, the percentage of FDCPA complaints during those months for false threats of arest or seizure of property also roserfom 11.6% in 2008 (4,782 complaints) to 14.2% in 2009 (6,120 complaints).

²¹ Section 805(43), 15 U.S.C. § 1692c)(3).

For the July through Decemberperiod, the preentage of FDCPA complaints claiming impermissible calls to consumers at work rose from 13% in 2008 (5,337 complaints) to 14.3% in 2009 (6,161 complaints).

²³ Section 804(2), 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(2).

complaints, daimed that collectors failed to verify disputed debts, up from 8% of all FDCPA complaints, or 6,3450mplaints, in 20089.

Continuing to contact consumer after receiving "cease communication" notice: The FDCPA requires debt colletors to cease all communications with a consumer bout an alleged debt if the consumer communicates in writing that he or she wants all such communications to stop or that he or she refuses to pay the alleged debt. This "cease communication" notice deepnot prevented lectors or ceditors from filing suit against the consumer but it does stop collectors from altiting the consumer or sending dunning notices. In 2009, 8.4% of FDCPA complaints, or 7,411 complaints, reported that collectors ignored "cease communication" notices and continued their collection attempts, upoim 6.4 % of complaints (5,013) complaints) reported by such complainants in 2008.

ENFORCEMENT

The FTC's debt colletion program has three purings: (1) vigorous law enforcement; (2) consume and industryeducation efforts; and (3) research and policy initiatives.

The FTC's FDCPA enforcement actions being with investigations of does collectors identified through complaints and other sources. If an investigation reveals FDCPA violations, the FTC preeds in one of two ways. Through its own attorney, the FTC can file suit in federal court seeking preliminary and permanent injunctive rief, restitution for consumer disgogement of ill-gotten gains, and other ancillary relief under Setion 13(b) of the FTC Act.³² Alternatively, the FTC may request that the

For the July through Decemberperiod, 8% of FDCPA complaints (3,448 total) in 2009 reported continued contact despite aonsumers sending "ceasecontact" notice, compaed to 8.9% (3,680 total) 2008.

For the July through Decemberperiod, 11.3% oFDCPA complaints (4,869 total) in 2009 reported afailure to verify a disputed debt, compared to 11.7% (4,803 total) of FDCPA complaints in 2008.

³⁰ Section 805(c)15 U.S.C. § 1692c(c)

³² Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), authorizes the CF to sue in federal district court to obtain a phieninary injunction against entities that the FTC hassason to bleeve (continued...)

Department of Justice file suit in federal court on behalf of the FTC, seeking a civil penalty other monetay relief, and injunctive rief that would prohibit the colletor from continuing to violate the DCPA.

The FTC currently is conducting number of non-public investigations of debt collectors to determine whiteer they have engaged in violations of the FOPA or the FTC Act. It has also filed or settled four public law enforcement actions in the past twelve months: two new law inforcement actions alleigng FDCPA and Section 5 violations against companies of debts, and settlements in two violations against companies of debts.

In June 2009, the TC settled an ation against Oxford Collection Agency, Inc., its officers, and an attorney who acted as its agent, for collection practices allegedly in violation of the FTC Actand the DCPA.³³ The FTC's complaint alleged that the defendants falsely threatened to garnish consumers' wages, bring lawsuits against them, or havethem are sted. It also charged that the dendants used illegeand abusive collection methods such as calling consumers before 8 am. or after 9 p.m.; calling their (a them arr) of the consumers before 8 am. or after 9 p.m.; calling their (a them arr).

³²(...continued)

areviolating any law the FTC enforces. The court may grant apreliminary injunction or a temporary restraining order if the FTC shows that, wighing the equities and considering the FTC's likelihood of ultimate success, the ation would be in the public interest. Section 13(b) also permits fedral district courts to issue a permanent injunction if the FTC seeks the medy Section 13(b)(2) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b)(2)

³³ U.S. v. Oford Collection Agecy, hc., No. 2:09-cv02467-IDW-AKT (E.D.N.Y. June 10, 2009). SeePress Releas € ederal Trade Commission, Debt Collectors Settle with FŢ. Abusive Practices Affeted Consumers Nation de, available at http://www.ftc.gov/opa/2009/07/oxford.shtm.

Annual Report 2010: Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

debt colletion, making misrepresentaions or engging in unfair practices in violation of the FTC Act.

In February 2010, the FTC settled an ation against Credit Burau Colletion Services and two of its offiers to resolve allegations that the deficients violated the law in the course collecting debts from consumer³⁶ Amongother things, the complaint alleged that the dendants violated the FDPA by misrepresenting both to consumers and to consumere potting agencies ("CRAs") that consumers owner debts and by failing to inform the CRAs that those debts we dissiputed by consumers. The complaint also alleged that the dendants violated the FTC Atoy misrepresenting that consumers owned debts or by failing to have are as onable basis for such peresentations. The considerate effect requires the defedants to pay \$1,095,000 civil peritar. Amongother things, it also prohibits violations of the FDCPA, and unies the diemdants to have a reasonable basis for representations that a consumer owner owner adebt, and requires them to conduct a reasonable investigation when the truth of those representations is cast in doubt.

CONSUMER AND INDUSTRY EDUCATION

The FTC's consumer and industry education efforts are the second prong of its FDCPA program. Consumer education informs consumers nationwide of their rights under the FDCPA and its requirements on debtodectors. With this knowledge, consumers can determine whether collectors are violating the FDCPA and exercise their rights under the statute. An informed public that enforces its rights under the FDCPA operates as a powerful mechanism fodetering law violations. Industryeducation informs collectors on various IDCPA issues. Who this knowledge, industrymembers can take all necessary steps to complywith the FDCPA.

TOOL FOR BOTH CONSUMERS AND INDUSTRY: The Staff Commentary on the FDCPA is useful in both the consumend industryeducation initiatives. The Commentaries used in 1988, provides the staffdetailed aalysis of every section of the BCPA and gives guidance to consumers, their attorneys, courts, and members of the collection industry. The Commentaries available on the TC's FDCPA web pag, located at http://www.ftc.gov/os/statutes/fdcpajump.shtm.

³⁶ United States v. Credit Bureau Collection Servs., No. 2:10-cv00169-ALM-NMK (S.D. Ohio filed February 24, 2010).

³⁷ 53 Fed. Reg 50,097 (1988).

For those consumerwho contatc the CRC seeking only information about the LFCPA, the contact representatives answer any urgent questions and the intheir mail out the "Fair Debt Collection" brochue and any other responsive consumed ecation materals, or refer the consumer to the app

a communication that has no purpose of the an stating (1) the esults of the colletor's investigation; or (2) the ollector's belief that the ommunication is frivolous or irrelevant. 42

RESEARCH AND POLICY INITIATIVES

The third prongof the FTC's FDCPA enforcement program is research and policy initiatives. In the past syar, the FTC has continued to monitor and susate the det collection industryand its pratices.

In February 2009, the FTC issued a report⁴³ setting forth findings, conclusions, and recommendations dieved from an October2007 FTC Workshop assessibling need for change in the debt collection system. In that report, the FTC recommended amendments to the FDCPA, including a grant of rulemaking authority to promulgate rules to implement the FDCPA. The FTC continues to advocate the recommendations in the report.

In the wakeof the issuance of the FTC's debt collection workshoperport, FTC staff has undertake a compehensive eview of debt collection litigation and repitration. In 2009, the FTC hosted a series of regional roundtables relating to these issues. ⁴⁴ These events brought together debt collector representatives, consumer advocates, academics, government officials, arbitration provides, judges, and others to discuss consume protection problems arising in debt collection litigation and arbitration as well as possible solutions to those problems. To supplement the record compiled from the roundtable discussions, the FTC also solicited commenters of the public. The FC anticipates that in the neafuture it will issue a proof setting forth findings, conclusions, and recommendations related of debt collection litigation and arbitration.

⁴³ Collecting Consumer Debts The Challenges of Change – A Workshop Report, availableat http://www.ftc.gov/bcp/workshops/debtcolleion/dcwr.pdf

The text of the advisorypinion can be accessed at http://www.ftc.gov/os/statutes/anderson/bedetter.pdf.

The roundtales were held in Chicag, San Fanciso, and Washinton, D.C. See Announcement, Feleral TradeCommission, Protecting Consumers in Debt Collection Lingation and Arbitration: a Roundtable Discussion December 4, 2009), sailable a http://www.ftc.gov/bcp/workshops/debtoollectround/index.shtm.