agreements increased dramatically. In 2004, there were no pay-for-delay deals. Pagg recements increased dramatically. In 2004, there were no pay-for-delay deals. Pagg recements increased dramatically. In 2004, there were no pay-for-delay deals. Pagg reception on this watter. I also mant to than reaccondity to help the pagg reception of the payments delay competition, on average, 17 months longer than those without payments. In other words, consumers require the payments a year and a half longer for payments. In other words, consumers required the payments a year and a half longer for pagg reception of the payments.

stopped. statement saying why these anticompetitive agreements must be

The problem with these sweetheat deals is dear. Branded pharmaceutical companies are literally paying their generic competitors to stay off the market.

lower prices. Moreover,FTC economists estimate that thesedeals cost consumers \$3.5 billion a year in higher drug prices. That's why every single FTC Commissioner since 1999 – Democrats, Republicans, and an Inelependenthas called for an end to these unconscionable agreements. And that's why I'm so grateful that so many members of Congress are working to make stopping pay-for-delay agreements part of health care reform.