

	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
1		
2		
3	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
4	Opening Remarks - Chairman Jon Leibowitz	5
5	Workshop Framing Remarks - Stephanie A. Wilkinson	12
6	Introductory Presentations	
7	Dr. Douglas G. Aspros	17
8	Dr. Paul D. Pion	25
9	Panel 1: Distribution of Pet Medications	41
10		
11	Panel 2: Portability of Prescription Pet	
12	Medications	134
13		
14		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

WORKSHOP

COMPETITION & CONSUMER PROTECTION ISSUES
IN THE PET MEDICATIONS INDUSTRY

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2012

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

- - - - -

MS. WILKINSON: Good morning. Welcome to the FTC's Workshop on Competition and Consumer Protection Issues in the Pet Medications Industry. My name is Stephanie Wilkinson and I am an attorney advisor in the FTC's Office of Policy Planning. Before we get started, I need to go over some administrative details.

First, please turn off or place in the silent mode any cell phones, Blackberries or other electronic devices.

Second, if you leave the building for any reason during the day, you will have to go back through security. So, please bear that in mind and plan ahead so that we can stay on schedule.

Third, please try to avoid having conversations in the hallway directly outside the auditorium while panels are in session. The background noise from the hallway carries over into this room and sometimes disrupts the discussions that we're having. Also, the microphones that we have set up are very sensitive. So, some of the conversations that happen in the hallway may be picked up by the court reporters or by the live webcast. So, fair warning on that.

Fourth, the restrooms are located out in the

1 lobby, behind the elevator banks. There are signs to
2 indicate where they are, but if you go out to the
3 security guard's desk, the restrooms are to your left.

4 Fifth, in the unlikely event that an emergency
5 occurs and the building alarms go off, please proceed
6 calmly to the main exit in the lobby, and assemble
7 across the street on the sidewalk in front of the steps
8 of Georgetown Law School. Hopefully it won't be raining
9 too hard should that happen. At that point the security
10 guards will let us know when it's safe to return to the
11 building.

12 Lastly, I would like to remind all presenters
13 and panelists to speak directly into the microphone so
14 that everyone can clearly hear your remarks. If anyone
15 has any questions throughout the day, please feel free
16 to ask the people wearing the FTC staff badges or the
17 people at the registration desk and we will be glad to
18 help you.

19 We will be conducting moderated panel
20 discussions today. If members of the audience would
21 like to submit questions to the panelists, you will need
22 to obtain a question card. These are located on the
23 table in the hallway and you can pick one up during the
24 breaks.

25 FTC staff will be live tweeting today's

1 Americans, I own a pet. He is a shelter dog named Tank
2 and he is truly a member of my family, of our family.

3 When Tank was a puppy, I once brought him here
4 to work with me at the Commission and I thought it was a
5 lot of fun. Now, Tank did, too, and he certainly
6 enjoyed himself barking and running around my office and
7 the adjacent corridors. Later, I learned that there was
8 a deposition taking place just down the hall, and
9 apparently the lawyers thought Tank's barking was
10 annoying. Now, it seems to me that a puppy barking
11 would be preferable to the barking of the objections of
12 the lawyers at a deposition, but --

13 All right, I'm sorry, I know it's early in the
14 morning, but that was a joke, you're going to have to
15 laugh. Since then, by the way, I have pretty much left
16 Tank at home. I wanted to bring him with me today, but
17 instead I brought a picture of him to show you, and here
18 he is. Is that cute or what? And you can see in the
19 photo, he's in front of the flag, because he holds a
20 position of some importance in the dog world. Anyway,
21 there he is.

22 Once in a while, we have a consent decree here
23 at the FTC regarding animal medications, for example,
24 in Pfizer's acquisition of Wyeth in 2009. But on most
25 days, it seems that pets and the FTC just don't mix.

1 Today, however, they do, of course, because we're going
2 to talk about competition and consumer protection issues
3 relating to the distribution of pet medicines, and pet
4 medications.

5 Judging by the variety of pet products that are
6 now available in any number of retail outlets, pets are
7 very important to American consumers. For example,
8 during a recent visit to a local Costco store, we were
9 able to purchase this box of Frontline Plus for a very
10 competitive price. How many of you know about Frontline
11 Plus? Of course, because you have dogs and hopefully
12 the Frontline Plus has taken care of the flea, flea egg
13 larvae, tick or chewing lice. What is chewing lice?
14 How many of you know what chewing lice is? Because I
15

1 which is supported by both public and private insurance,
2 and reimbursement, pet medicines are largely paid for by
3 consumers out of their own pocket. So, today, we hope
4 to examine some of the options that are available to
5 consumers to help them manage the cost of pet care and
6 discuss some proposals that have been made to give
7 consumers more choices when buying pet medications.

8 Here's what we know: And I learned this,
9 actually, as we were preparing for this workshop.
10 Sixty-two percent of U.S. households own a pet, and our
11 national pet population includes more than 78 million
12 dogs and more than 86 million cats, and sometimes they,
13 of course, even live in the same house. American
14 consumers spend more than \$50 billion a year on their
15 pets, including nearly \$7 billion a year for
16 over-the-counter and prescription pet medications.

17 And here's something else we know: More and
18 more, consumers are able to purchase pet medications
19 from sources other than their veterinarians. Some pet
20 medications are available over-the-counter without a
21 prescription, and even for prescription medications,
22 consumers may be able to obtain a written prescription
23 from their vet that they can use to buy pet medicines in
24 an online or brick-and-mortar retail pharmacy. But that
25 information isn't always volunteered, by the way.

1 Still, an increasing array of options for
2 consumers to purchase their pet medications has begun to
3 lead, we believe, to lower prices and increase consumer
4 choice, certainly in a few pet medicines. While this
5 market may be becoming more competitive, it clearly has
6 a way to go. We have heard that many pet medicine
7 manufacturers choose to distribute their products only
8 through veterinarians, so retailers can't purchase these
9 products directly from the manufacturer. As a result,
10 some retailers use secondary distributors.

11 Take, for example, our box of Frontline. Now,
12 this was purchased, as I said, at a local Costco store.
13 And we don't exactly know how Costco or other retailers
14 acquire Frontline because we do know that the
15 manufacturer publicly denies selling the product
16 directly to non-veterinarians. We also know that this
17 Frontline was priced about 20 percent or more below the
18 prices of some local veterinarians.

19 Now, this may be so, for example, this
20 three-month supply at Costco costs about \$37.99. And the
21 veterinary prices ranged, it was a small sample of five
22 veterinarians, one veterinarian priced it at or below
23 the Costco price, four priced it above, one priced it 20
24 percent above. So, the prices ranged up to \$48.50 for I
25 think that's for a three-month supply. At Costco,

1 again, \$37.99 for a three-month supply.

2 So, again, this may be competition, or this
3 mystery of gray market distribution may be leading to
4 increased prices for consumers. I think it's a pure
5 distribution system and we just want to learn more about
6 it.

7 We have also heard that complex, cumbersome, and
8 sometimes antiquated state and federal laws may be
9 restricting competition in the pet medicine market. In
10 fact, a major national retailer has told me that it
11 wants to enter this market, and it would, but for the
12 crazy-quilt patchwork with state licensing and
13

1 say at a grocery store pharmacy or an online veterinary
2 pharmacy. We will also explore whether the consumers
3 are able to verify that the products they buy at those
4 retail outlets are the same medicines that they could
5 buy from their veterinarian and whether there are any
6 safety risks with purchasing these products from retail
7 outlets.

8 We will also hear about restrictions on the
9 distribution of some pet medications by manufacturers or
10 by states, and how these business practices may limit
11 their availability. And by having this dialogue, we
12 hope to educate consumers, and we hope to educate
13 ourselves about changes occurring in the marketplace,
14 ones that may create new opportunities for consumers to
15 obtain high quality, low-cost medical treatment for
16 their pets.

17 So, let me thank our panelists for coming to
18 Washington to share their experiences with us. I know
19 some of you have come great distances, and let me also
20 thank hundreds of industry participants and consumers
21 who have submitted comments in advance to our workshop,
22 that was really terrific.

23 For our audience here at the conference center
24 and for those watching on our webcast, we hope you sit,
25 stay, I'm not going to go too far into that, I am not going

1 to say don't bark at each other, but don't roll over
2 either. I'm just going to say we hope you sit, stay,
3 and enjoy the discussion.

4 We thank you all for coming here, we really do
5 appreciate it. I am going to turn it over. Stephanie,
6 are you coming up? Great, I'll give this to you.

7 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Chairman Leibowitz.

8 Many people have asked us why is the FTC
9 interested in the pet medications industry, and why are
10 we conducting this workshop? We have learned over the
11 past many months that the market for pet medications is
12 in flux. Industry stakeholders have noted that consumer
13 demand for pet medications has grown dramatically over
14 the past decade. Manufacturers have introduced many new
15 products to the market.

16 During this time period, new distribution models
17 have also emerged for pet medications, including online
18 retail pharmacies, such as 1-800-PetMeds and Drs. Foster &
19 Smith, as well as brick-and-mortar retail pharmacies,
20 such as Target, Walgreens and several large grocery
21 store chains. Generic products have also been
22 introduced into the pet medications industry, although
23 perhaps not to the same extent as what we've seen with
24 human medications.

25 We are interested in exploring the competitive

1 impact that these changes have had on the market for pet
2 medications, and what this means for consumers. To help
3 us better understand these issues, we are pleased to
4 bring together a broad spectrum of industry experts to
5 serve as presenters and panelists for our workshop who
6 will offer diverse and important perspectives.

7 We will begin this morning with two introductory
8 presentations that should help set the stage for our
9 panel discussions. During these presentations, we will
10 learn about the veterinary profession, including the
11 importance of the relationship that veterinarians have
12 with pet owners and their pets, particularly within the
13 context of diagnosing the condition of pets, prescribing
14 medications and providing follow-up care. We will also
15 learn about the various options that consumers have for
16 purchasing pet medications, and about how the various
17 distribution models for pet medications work.

18 During our first panel, we hope to explore two
19 categories of distribution practices that appear to be
20 used in the pet medications industry, the first being
21 exclusive distribution by manufacturers through the
22 veterinary channel, and the second being exclusive
23 dealing arrangements between manufacturers and
24 distributors. Ultimately, we are interested in
25 understanding how both of these distribution practices

1 affect the choices that consumers have when purchasing
2 pet medications, including the scope of products offered
3 to consumers, where consumers are able to purchase
4 products, and the prices that consumers have to pay. In
5 addition, we are interested in understanding
6 whether there are product safety and dispensing safety
7 issues that consumers should be aware of when making
8 decisions about where to purchase pet medications.

9 After lunch, there will be a second panel
10 discussion regarding the ability of consumers to obtain
11 written, portable prescriptions from their
12 veterinarians. When a pet dog or cat needs medication
13 that requires a prescription, the pet owner often buys
14 that medicine from the veterinarian at the time of the
15 exam. But consumers also purchase a substantial amount
16 of pet medications from retail pharmacies, particularly
17 long-term maintenance drugs such as heartworm
18 preventatives and diabetes medications. In order to make
19 these purchases, consumers must be able to obtain a written,
20 portable prescription from their veterinarian. Some states
21 require veterinarians to provide portable prescriptions,
22 while other states leave this to the veterinarian's
23 discretion.

24 Anecdotally, we have heard that many
25 veterinarians give clients prescriptions upon request,

1 reliable basis for predicting the potential consumer
2 cost savings and non-price benefits that might result
3 from eliminating vertical restrictions for the
4 distribution of pet medications and empowering pet
5 owners with prescription portability.

6 We are examining the vertical restraints on
7 distribution and prescription portability issues that
8

1 significant amount of time preparing for today's
2 workshop.

3 We also appreciate all of the public comments
4 that we have received so far, and to ensure that
5 everyone has an opportunity to submit comments, we have
6 extended the comment period to November 1st. We
7 strongly encourage everyone to submit written statements
8 if they have not already done so.

9 Now, I would like to introduce Dr. Douglas
10 Aspros, who will be making the first presentation of the
11 day. Dr. Aspros is the president of the American
12 Veterinary Medical Association, and a companion animal
13 practitioner.

14 Dr. Aspros?

15 (Applause.)

16 DR. ASPROS: If I had realized I could have brought
17 pictures of my animals, I would have done that, but they
18 didn't tell me that was an option.

19 I am Dr. Doug Aspros, I am the president of the
20 American Veterinary Medical Association, and a companion
21 and exotic animal practitioner in Westchester County,
22 New York, part of the New York City metro area.

23 AVMA has been asked to set the stage for this
24 discussion today at the workshop to present the
25 ecosystem in which companion animals and their owners

1 find medical services, including the dispensing of
2 animal drugs. As you shall see, this is a wide, divergent
3 and fragmented system, on all sides, including the client,
4 the patient and the providers.

5 A little bit about AVMA. AVMA has a little over
6 82,000 members, which comprises about 83 percent of all
7 the veterinarians in the United States. About 61
8 percent of them practice on companion animals, that
9 means that at least part of their practice is on
10 companion animals. If you look at this pie chart, some of
11 the companion animal practitioners are in what we call mixed
12 practice, meaning that there are some livestock patients
13 that are being cared for in the practice, as well as
14 companion animals. Remember, these are self-reported
15 numbers. The figures may not quite add up, nearly 25
16 percent of our members don't list a species affiliation,
17 either because they don't practice clinical medicine, or
18 because they don't like to fill out surveys.

19 About two-thirds of households in the U.S. owned
20 one or more pets in 2011. Of those pet-only households,
21 almost two-thirds own more than one pet. All of these
22 data come from the AVMA's U.S. Pet and Demographic
23 Survey Book from 2012. It is the largest scale survey
24 of U.S. households conducted every five years and
25 there's some data I'll present a little later on that

1 comes from the same studies.

2 These patients, these veterinarians, practice in
3000 cm1.00000 0.00000t5pproximately 25, 0.0differien, practics. Whe s

1 opportunities both for the practice and their clientele.
2 Mobile clinics to multi-practice sites. So,
3 veterinarians can be one person in a car or a truck,
4 they can be large, large, large practices. Primary care
5 to specialty care. Veterinarians, by and large, in
6 companion animal practice provide general care, meaning
7 that veterinarians do everything from taking care of
8 happy and well puppies and kittens to major surgeries
9 and, of course, at the end, perhaps to euthanasia.

10 Specialty care these days is on the rise. There
11 are more and more specialty practices where
12 veterinarians do just what they do, just ophthalmology,
13 just surgery, and not provide general care. And, of
14 course, routine care and emergency care. One of my
15 practices does just after-hours, weekend and emergency
16 care, no primary care at all. And then finally, private
17 to corporate to not-for-profit to university practices.

18 So, these are the kinds of animals we're talking
19 about, dogs and cats and birds, ferrets, rabbits,
20 rodents and reptiles. The total veterinary visits, and
21 these are every five years, again this is from the AVMA's
22 U.S. Pet Demographic Surveys. The number of veterinary
23 visits for dogs has been going up as dog ownership has as
24 well. The number of cat visits, particularly over the
25 past ten years, has not only peaked, but has been on the

1 of species. At the end, in the licensing test, the North
2 American Veterinary Licensing Exam covers material on
3 therapeutics in dogs, cats, pigs, horses, cows, birds
4 and exotic pet species.

5 Veterinarians operate in all jurisdictions under
6 what's called the VCPR, the Veterinarian-Client-Patient-
7 Relationship. The VCPR is a recognized obligation both
8 in the AVMA's Principles of Veterinary Medical Ethics
9 and in state and federal law. The VCPR requires
10 sufficient knowledge of the patient and when we're
11 talking about companion animals, in almost all cases,
12 examination; the veterinarian advising the client;
13 diagnosing and prescribing; the client's election to
14 follow the veterinarian's advice; the veterinarian's
15 obligation to keep written records, and to provide
16 information and options for emergency care and
17 follow-up.

18 To put this in context, in routine veterinary
19 practice, about 17 percent of revenues -- now we're
20 talking revenues, not bottom line -- in companion animal
21 exclusive practices are Rx drugs, and another five
22 percent are non-Rx drugs and pet products. And this
23 varies to some extent by species. If you look at dogs,
24 it's not that drugs have been over the past 25 years
25 actually a decreasing source of practice revenues, as

1 physical exams, vaccines and laboratory tests and other
2 diagnostics have become a more important part of
3 veterinary practice. For cats, the same thing holds
4 true. Cats have been vaccinated less often, if you
5 look at the numbers over the past ten years. And so
6 more of what veterinarians do are physical examinations
7 and all of the services there attendant to diagnosing.
8 And for birds, most of what we do is examinations, and
9 when we look at grooming there, it's mostly nail
10 trimming.

11 How do veterinarians get drugs? Well, they get
12 them two ways: They either get them directly from the
13 manufacturer or through a distributor. The
14 manufacturers may have several distributors that they
15 work with, but we'll go through that later. I think that
16 a number of other presenters will talk about how that
17 works.

18 Regulation and oversight of the veterinary
19 practitioners, and Adrian Hochstadt will be talking
20 further about this, but just to set the stage for it,
21 licensure requirements for veterinary practices are set
22 by the states. State licensing boards have the
23 authority to suspend or revoke a veterinarian's license
24 for unprofessional conduct or other infractions. The
25 state veterinary medical boards, of course, enforce the

1 state practice acts, examine prospective licensees, set
2 the requirements, define unprofessional conduct,
3 investigate breaches and, of course, discipline
4 violators.

5 If consumers have complaints, if clients have
6 complaints, they have many avenues to have their
7 complaints heard. They can take complaints of
8 negligence or other unprofessional conduct to a wide
9 variety of places, including the state licensing board,
10 state veterinary medical associations, the state AGs,
11 departments of consumer affairs, and even to local or
12 state courts.

13 Veterinarians have, in all states, as part of
14 veterinary practice, the authority to dispense drugs and
15 pharmaceuticals for their patients. And, of course,
16 veterinary prescribing and dispensing are also covered
17 under regulations from FDA and DEA.

18 Finally, veterinary clinics are just one of many
19 channels for pharmaceuticals sold in the U.S. to
20 companion animals and their owners. Please keep in mind
21 as we go through this day that veterinarians primarily
22 dispense drugs and pharmaceuticals to ensure the health
23 and welfare of their animal patients. We would be wise
24 to remember this dictum as we go through the rest of
25 today's presentations.

1

1 delivery of that information.

2 So, VIN, as part of our services we offer our own
3 news service, the VIN News Service, and we did some
4 articles. The Chairman, who I thank for giving my talk
5 before I gave it, alluded to the fact that there is
6 diversion of drugs from the prescribed and official
7 supply chains, and our news service did some
8 investigative reporting into that gray market diversion,
9 and I think that Stephanie and Elizabeth read those
10 articles and contacted us and that's how we got here.

11 So, my disclosures for conflict of interest, I'm
12 certainly pro-veterinary, pro-pet owner, pro-patient,
13 pro-fairness and pro-informed choice. Most of the
14 lecturing I do is on information, and I look at
15 information as its own economy. It's got manufacturers,
16 distributors and consumers on the wholesale and retail
17 level, and to convert that to a slide for this talk, we
18 just had to look at pet medications certainly have the
19 same players and channels. These are the players that
20 I consider play a part in the information economy of
21 veterinary medicine, and if we look at pet medications,
22 then we would add the drug retailers, both online and
23 big box type.

24 When we're looking at any economy, we should be
25 looking at in our situation the goals, what's the

For The Record, Inc.

(

1 products, many of them would only be sold into the
2 veterinary channel, because they believed -- and there's
3 many reasons we'll talk about -- that the veterinarian was
4 the most educated to be able to decide when they should
5 be used and which should be used, and to detect
6 problems. And so, they wanted their products to get a
7 good reputation and be used properly.

8 So, there appears the gray market. And the
9 question arises, how did those middlemen within the gray
10 market, who are aggregating product, get the product?
11 And that's the investigative reporting that the
12 VIN News Service did, and it turns out, from everywhere.
13 I'm embarrassed to say that there were veterinarians who
14 buy product beyond their personal needs, aggregate it
15 and sell it to these middlemen for not much profit we
16 found out. We did that by creating our own diverter of
17 only over-the-counter products, so to keep it legal.

18 Manufacturer and distributor reps, it turns out,
19 are a big part of this. How high it goes up that
20 they're encouraged to do this, to make their numbers,
21 and to increase their income, we don't know. But we know
22 that they're a big part of this. And there's a lot of
23 indications that manufacturers, despite saying that they
24 don't want to sell into these channels, and
25 distributors, are doing so directly as well.

For The

1 commission. And several pharmacy boards saw this as a
2 kickback, so they had to change that model. There's another
3 group today, VetSource, who is doing something similar.
4 But both of these involve kind of phantom inventories and
5 virtual transactions to make it the veterinarian's product
6 actually, so it looks like on paper actually they're paying
7 for the product and getting their mark-up above it. So,
8 this is just another market out there.

9 So, a big question is, what has this change and
10 this gray market and being able to get product through
11 other outlets done to consumer purchasing patterns?

12 Well, obviously, if most of it was going through
13 veterinary practices before, and then these markets are
14 emerging, things have moved in the direction towards the
15 right, and the market has moved over. How much, I don't
16 have an idea for, maybe somebody else on the panels will
17 give us an idea of where they think that split is today.

18 I can give you a better idea of sort of the
19 veterinary thoughts and reactions to this evolution.
20 Manufacturers now come in two flavors. So, Bayer, a
21 couple of years ago, decided to come out of the closet
22 and openly sell to the other chains, and admit that
23 they were selling to the big box stores and the online
24 pharmacies directly. The remainder of the manufacturers
25 have remained in the closet and still claim to be

1 selling only through veterinary channels.

2 So, manufacturers are a big, important part of
3 this market. We need them to be developing new
4 products. We need them to be our partners, and to work
5 with veterinarians. But I think due to distrust that has
6 grown over the years and disbelief in the honesty of
7 their statements, there is a strained relationship
8 between the veterinary profession and the manufacturers.

9 Distributors, for the most part, I think have
10 remained in the good stead of the veterinary profession
11 and trusted. The distributor reps, although I think
12 many are trying to squeeze them out of the market, they
13 still are seen by the veterinarian as their friend and a
14 big source of drug and new product information. And I
15 think that the realization that they'000 cfviza(,d.00000 0.00000

1 where this becomes a very important issue. So, there
2 is a danger to the pet health if the person dispensing
3 can't recognize problems, can't inform about interactions,
4 and even doesn't understand the proper dosing and is
5

1 distributors in which they would look at the major
2 distributors and say, if you handle our mega product,
3 you can't handle our competitor's mega product, and I
4 think this did a lot to artificially inhibit
5 competition.

6 The other players, just as happens in our free
7 market society, is if there's money to be made, others
8 are going to try to get into the market. So, I think it
9 really was kind of a predictable reaction down the chain
10 that all these things would happen. How much the
11 manufacturers planned this and how much it happened as
12 unintended consequences, I don't know. But to look at
13 kind of the chain of events, the manufacturers would
14 look at it as advertising new products and
15 pharmaceuticals for pets as too expensive to do direct
16 to consumers. And I think if we don't keep that in mind
17 and that cost gets added to the manufacturer's costs, we
18 may actually see the opposite effect of what we're
19 intending here in that we will see prices go up from the
20 manufacturer, who is truly the one who sets the bottom
21 line on pricing. They set the floor.

22 They promise veterinarians exclusivity because
23 they were the only ones who were qualified for these
24 products to be sold through. They would demand
25 distributor exclusivity, and that would also keep the

1 price up. And they made happy, feeling-like-hero
2 veterinarians, but they also made dependent
3

1

1 owner and the pet. We really need to look at what we're
2 going to do here and the intended and unintended
3 consequences and what impact it will have upon them.

4 Thank you.

5 (Applause.)

6 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Dr. Pion.

7 We will now take about a ten-minute break, and
8 we will meet back here at 10:00 for the first panel.

9 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the
10 proceedings.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 innovation to provide solutions which extend and enhance
2 the quality of life of our patients, our veterinary
3 patients, our companion animals, pets and their pet
4 owners.

5 Today's workshop centers on, as you heard, the
6 companion animal or the small animal side of the
7 veterinary market, those that we use on our pets. Novartis'
8 companion animal portfolio -- and Dr. Pion talked a little
9 bit about this -- like many manufacturers, consists of two
10 categories: parasiticides and therapeutics. Parasiticides,
11 which represent the bulk of the market today, are those
12 medications or solutions that affect internal and external
13 parasites on our pets, things like fleas, ticks, heartworms,
14 chewing lice, as we heard earlier. These can take a variety
15 of forms. Some of them are FDA-regulated, some of them are
16 EPA-regulated. They can be systemic, developed specifically
17 for companion animals or reformulated pesticides from the
18 agricultural field.

19 Therapeutic medications are products that
20 address medical conditions, they're much more akin to
21 human medications. They will address the medical
22 condition of the pet, such as arthritis, allergic
23 dermatitis, Addison's Disease and other conditions that
24 can challenge the pet's quality of life. These are
25 largely FDA products and they represent treatments that

1 pets did not enjoy just a decade ago. Although these
2 medications are essential, they represent a minority of
3 the market for animal health products. Animal health
4 therapeutic products, as I've said, are largely FDA-
5 regulated prescription medications.

6 At Novartis Animal Health, our entire portfolio --
7 parasiticides and therapeutics -- falls towards the FDA
8 side of the spectrum. As a division of a globally
9 respected health care company, we're a company with a
10 strong FDA prescription pedigree. Consistent with this
11 pedigree, our product portfolio which places the health and
12 well-being of our pets at the center of our mission that
13 is also FDA regulated. While today some of our products,
14 a small subset are indeed non-prescription, we've founded
15 our business on prescription medicine and today our
16 portfolio followed suit. This underscores our primary
17 objective, which is a commitment to and history of
18 delivering innovative medicines th.000ss0mveterinary

10 Now, ed prescription medicatio by definiptionushat

For The Record, Inc.
(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 are educated on the risks and benefits of these
2 innovative technologies.

3 The unique circumstances of a pet, of an
4 individual pet, setting aside its species or breed or
5 things endemic to the specific pet can impact the
6 administration, efficacy and safety of these products.
7 This is why the Veterinarian-Client-Patient-Relationship
8 plays a critical role for an FDA-focused company like
9 ours. Appropriate therapies require familiarity with
10 pharmacology, adequate education and a thorough
11 understanding of the unique circumstances of an
12 individual patient.

13 The Veterinarian-Client-Patient-Relationship is
14 essential to ensure the optimal application of these
15 innovations that can help prolong and save pets' lives.
16 Accordingly, we bring our products to consumers and
17 their pets exclusively through practicing veterinarians.
18 We consider these highly skilled professionals to be our
19 partners in addressing unmet medical needs. We have
20 found no better way to ensure that innovative science is
21 best leveraged to the benefit of our companion animals.

22 We understand that the issues presented today
23 during this workshop will go right to the pocketbooks of
24

1 consumer choice and price competition. Questions will be
2 posed here that ask essentially whether all pet
3 medications should be required to be made available to
4 consumers in different ways than they are today. Novartis
5 Animal Health does not have an answer to this question for
6 all companies. Nor can we take a position that would speak
7 for all products and all product portfolios. But as a
8 company with an FDA pedigree and founded on delivering
9 innovation to unmet medical needs for the sole purpose of
10 preserving and enhancing the quality of life for our
11 patients, we believe that doing so through the Veterinarian-
12 Client-Patient-Relationship creates efficiencies that
13 serve this objective.

14 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Vranian.

15 Our next panelist is Michael Hinckle. He is a
16 partner with K&L Gates law firm.

17 MR. HINCKLE: Thank you.

18 Good morning. I would like to thank the FTC for
19 the opportunity to come and present on behalf of my generic
20 drug clients. I am primarily an FDA regulatory
21 attorney. I serve as outside counsel for a number of
22 pharmaceutical companies. A number of those are generic
23 drug companies, and some of those are in the generic
24 animal drug space. I know now you're thinking, "I didn't
25 even know there was a generic animal drug space." But

1 there is, and I think that one thing that we would like
2 to present today is a question and then maybe
3 think about what those answers would be.

4 The question, I think, on a lot of people's
5 minds, certainly my clients' minds is: Why are consumers
6 of animal drugs, particularly FDA-regulated companion
7 animal drugs, not seeing the same degree of savings
8 through the generic drug process that they see, say, on
9 the human drug side? I'm sure there are a number of
10 reasons. I suspect one of those is not that pet owners
11 are just not price sensitive and don't care how much
12 their drugs cost. I think they probably do. I think
13 certainly in this economy, almost everyone cares. I
14 also think that when you look at our experience
15 with the human drug side, where there has been
16 tremendous pressure to try to contain costs, one of the
17 areas that has certainly been a successful area in that
18 cost-containing effort has been the generic drug
19 industry.

20 So, why is it that we don't have generic animal
21 drugs in the same way? Well, is it because the FDA
22 doesn't have a way to approve them? Well, that's really
23 not the case. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act
24 does set forth a pathway for approving generic animal
25 drugs. In fact, it uses the same bioequivalence

1 criteria that's used for human drugs, they use the same
2 statistical criteria, the same confidence intervals and
3 the same type of bioequivalent studies. So, certainly
4 the opportunity is there. There are some other reasons
5 why, and I hear these from my clients and see them, as
6 to why they're not entering the market and why you don't
7 see the same cost savings. I congratulate the FTC on
8 addressing these issues quite well with these panels.

9 With this panel in particular being the
10 distribution panel, there's a couple of things that I
11 would like to comment on. One is that as a generic
12 competitor thinking about entering the market -- the fact
13 that has been mentioned several times -- the veterinary
14 distribution channel, the channel to get right into the
15 veterinary clinics, is often times foreclosed by way of
16 exclusive arrangements that don't allow a generic
17 competitor to easily enter that market.

18 The second one, and maybe not so obvious, is
19 that you would think, as a generic company, well, if I
20 can't get into the veterinary channels, can't I use sort
21 of the standard prescription drug wholesaler channels
22 that are used on the human side that primarily serve the
23 retail pharmacies and online pharmacies, and mail order
24 pharmacies. The problem there, again, is an access
25 problem, and a bit of a demand problem. In order to, as

1 a generic company, if I want to try to get my product
2 into a major wholesaler, say a Cardinal or Amerisource
3 Bergen, that services the retail market, I've got to be
4 able to convince them that there's actually a market at
5 the retail market.

6 One thing that I would say that probably will
7 surprise you as someone representing the generic side is
8 one of the real problems is a lack of brand products at
9 the retail pharmacy level. This may also surprise you,
10 the fact is the generic industry -- and a robust generic
11 industry -- relies on a robust innovator industry. There
12 has to be an innovator product in order for there
13 to be a generic product. A real substitutable generic
14 relies on the brand product being prescribed, and then
15 substituting the generic. Without the brands in the
16 pharmacies, there's no demand. There's no reason for a
17 mainline standard wholesaler to carry the product.

18 So, I hope what I'll bring a little bit to this
19 discussion is that if we're going to provide real
20 competition and lower prices, like we've seen on the
21 human side, with generic animal drugs, there needs to be
22 a little bit of a leveling of the playing field so that
23 these generic companies can have access, both to
24 veterinary clinics, through the veterinary channels, and
25 also through the retail pharmacies and mail order

1 illogical and untenable.

2 Drs. Foster & Smith is now in its thirtieth year
3 of providing quality pet products to pet owners. The
4 company was founded by two veterinarians, Dr. Race
5 Foster and Dr. Marty Smith, who continue today to own
6 and operate our business. Our pet pharmacy is an
7 integral part of our operation. The Drs. Foster &
8 Smith pet pharmacy is both Vet-VIPPS and PCAB certified.
9 In addition to Dr. Foster and Dr. Smith, we have staff
10 veterinarians as part of our company. We also have a
11 trained staff of fully licensed, full-time pharmacists,
12 certified pharmacy techs, and veterinary techs. In 29
13 years, our company has been dispensing over-the-counter
14 and prescription medications, therefore filling thousands
15 of prescriptions. We have never had a single state or
16 federal dispensing violation in our history, and we're
17 proud of that record.

18 Drs. Foster & Smith, therefore, has all the
19 necessary pharmacy certifications and accreditations, and
20 educated licensed staff of both veterinarians and
21 pharmacists working together, and a stellar record. The
22 question that I would like to ask is, then, what is the
23 justification for restricting pet prescription products
24 from us?

25 Second, prescription portability is one of the

1 daughter was diagnosed with human growth deficiency.
2 The endocrinologist put her on a growth hormone
3 treatment. That is an injectable prescription drug
4 that is directly shipped to our home. When we need a
5 prescription refilled, that is sent again to our home.
6 We can inject this into my daughter, but I can't buy a
7 refill for pet medication anywhere but a vet's office or
8 through a veterinarian.

9 To recap, let me make just three quick points:
10 Drs. Foster & Smith is uniquely qualified to fill
11 prescriptions; portability without product availability
12 is a sham; and restricted distribution just doesn't make
13 sense.

14 Thank you.

15

1 wholesale distribution services, for our contracted
2 veterinary hospital customers. We hold pharmacy
3 licenses in all 50 states, as well as wholesale
4 distribution licenses in all required states. We are
5 Vet-VIPPS accredited, and our outsource pharmacy services
6 enable veterinarians to offer the convenience of home
7 delivery directly to their clients. In essence, we
8 operate a specialized central fill-like pharmacy that
9 gives veterinarians an Internet presence.

10 We designed our business model similar to other
11 business models that exist in the marketplace to operate
12 as an extension of the veterinarian's pharmacy and to
13 fit within the context of the current veterinary
14 pharmaceutical network. This means that we do not
15 acquire any of our products via the gray market.

16 Regarding the distribution of pet medications,
17 we believe that veterinary medicine represents a special
18 niche within the practice of pharmacy. As has been
19 stated by other panelists, the medications, their unique
20 dosing, side effect profiles and uses for the veterinary
21 industry are very different from those in human use.

22 For these reasons, we believe it's a better
23 standard of care, pet health care, to utilize health
24 care professionals that have specific training in this
25 area of medicine. Of course this includes

1 veterinary medicine.

2 Until this training gap is closed and the
3 pharmacist-DVM relationship more closely models the
4 pharmacist-MD relationship, we believe that some
5 level of selective distribution by manufacturers or
6 additional regulatory standards is warranted to ensure
7 pet safety. I also think it's important to point out
8 that restricted distribution is not unprecedented in
9 human pharmacy. Some human medications requiring
10 specialized knowledge for dispensing, counseling and
11 management are only sold to specialty pharmacies that
12 have demonstrated competency in supporting the proper
13 use of those medications.

14 On the matter of gray market distribution of
15 veterinary prescription products, we feel that this
16 unregulated product trafficking has the potential to
17 endanger pet health. The lack of regulatory oversight
18 means that the appropriate mechanisms are not in place
19 to ensure that prescription products are stored and
20 shipped under their required conditions. This also means
21 that there's a lack of transparency in the chain of
22 custody of the products for the dispensing pharmacists
23 as well as for the pet owner. Furthermore, this gray market
24 distribution channel creates substantial risk of adulterated
25 or counterfeit compounds being introduced

1 into the supply chain.

2 Generally, veterinarians are authorized to
3 dispense prescription products via the respective
4 veterinary practice acts of the states within which they
5 practice. These acts require that the prescription
6 dispensing by the veterinarian is to occur within the
7 context of the valid Veterinarian-Client-Patient-
8 Relationship. This requirement is violated when
9 veterinarians wholesale products outside of the
10 context of this relationship to other businesses.

11 Additionally, anyone reselling prescription
12 products needs to be properly licensed according to the
13 state boards of pharmacy, just as is required of
14 legitimate wholesale veterinary distributors. We feel
15 that gray market sales are occurring in violation of one
16 or more statutes in nearly every state. Although the
17 state boards are consumed with many pressing issues in
18 their mission to protect the public health, we encourage
19 them to revisit this issue in veterinary medicine and
20 remind veterinarians that this practice is not approved
21 or sanctioned.

22 Once again, we appreciate the FTC's invitation
23 to participate in this workshop and we look forward to
24 the ensuing discussion.

25 Thank you.

1 \$25 billion company. We're the fifth largest grocer in
2 the United States. We operate 784 grocery stores and 565
3 pharmacies. Our pharmacies are in 11 states and the
4 District of Columbia, and in 2012, we'll fill
5 approximately 27 million prescriptions.

6 So, the question is, why is a retail pharmacist
7 interested in pet medications? A couple of points were
8 brought up in presentations this morning, 63 percent of
9 all Americans own pets. Very strange that between 60
10 and 65 percent of our customers who shop our grocery
11 store also shop our pet aisle. So, it is a natural
12 offering that we can offer our customers more services
13 such as being able to fill their pet medications.

14 We also -- as Dr. Pion pointed out -- fill many
15 prescriptions today from the human supply chain for pet
16 medications. However, we have limited ability to do
17 that. We have basically three ways to fill
18 prescriptions today for pets. One is from the human

1 prescription through the mail to them at home.
2 Obviously, being a brick-and-mortar retail establishment,
3 that is not our preferred method, but it at least allows
4 us to play in the arena.

5 There have been questions raised this morning as
6 if we are actually qualified to dispense pet medications
7 as retail pharmacists. I would like to thank Dr. Pion
8 for using the picture of the retail pharmacist. That was
9 an Ahold pharmacist. So I was very happy when he said
10 the part about the trusted partner, but then we ended up
11 on the bad side of the equation where there were just
12 dollar signs and the word "danger."

13 Pharmacists are not 100 percent trained in vet
14 medications. I agree with that completely. However, a
15 pharmacist's experience, knowledge and education --
16 pharmacists go to school for six years, sometimes take
17 up to two years of post-doctorate work -- you can use your
18 education to develop and work with veterinarians on a
19 regular basis. I've had many opportunities as a
20 pharmacist myself, when I was presented with a
21 prescription for an animal that, I'll be honest, was not
22 quite sure of what that dose was.

23 A specific example with a horse -- a dose that
24 would have killed a human being -- that I needed to go
25 speak with the vet who wrote the prescription. So, the

1 relationship does exist, and retail pharmacy wants to
2 play in this space, not only to increase sales -- because
3 let's face it, we are a business -- but we do care
4 for our patients and animals are our patients, also.

5 What I think the future should look like? I
6 think pet owners should have the right to choose where
7 they get their prescriptions filled, whether it be a
8 retail establishment, a mail order pharmacy or their
9 local vet. I believe that competition will only help
10 prices for pet owners. And I also learned this morning
11 that the average dog only makes it to the vet 1.6 times
12 a year. I need to talk to my wife, because it seems like
13 we go many more times than that.

14 So, in conclusion, I would like to thank the FTC
15 again for the opportunity to speak here, and just to
16 reiterate, pharmacists are qualified and we would like
17 to play in this space.

18 Thank you.

19 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Dayton.

20 Our next panelist is Gregg Jones. He is the
21 compliance manager for the National Association of
22 Boards of Pharmacy.

23 MR. JONES: Good morning. Thank you for the
24 opportunity to be here to speak with you about some of
25 the observations that the NABP, National Association of

1 Boards of Pharmacy, has made. I, too, am a pet owner. I
2 consider myself having five children -- three daughters
3 and a German Shepherd and a Spaniel. I love those dogs
4 more as I get older. They stay home with me and watch
5 ball games and seem to love it, and they don't ask for
6 money or anything.

7 NABP primarily assists its members, boards of
8 pharmacy, in protecting public health. That's our
9 primary mission. We issue the Vet-VIPPS accreditation
10 to online pharmacies that dispense prescription drugs
11 for companion animals. What we do is offer an assurance
12 to the consumer that they are buying their medications
13 from a licensed pharmacy and a pharmacy that complies
14 with state and federal laws. Our pharmacies that are
15 accredited undergo an extensive application process,
16 and once they're accredited, they undergo an annual
17 compliance review and every three years are re-surveyed
18 to ensure their compliance with the standards.

19 I would like to touch on a few of the
20 observations that we have made regarding the acquisition
21 of drugs that are, as we've heard, exclusively
22 distributed to veterinarians and how we have seen these
23 entering into pharmacies. Overwhelmingly, the majority
24 of the pharmacies that we see obtain their drugs from
25 wholesale distributors. Included in that process are

1 wholesale distributors and pharmacies that solicit
2 veterinarians to purchase medications. We see
3 veterinarians who serve as consultants to pharmacies or
4 wholesalers and the drugs are purchased in the name of
5 the veterinarian and then transferred to the wholesaler.

6 There are situations where veterinarians
7 actually own pharmacies and buy the drugs in the
8 veterinarian's name and then transfer them over to the
9 pharmacy. There are some situations where we have seen
10 veterinary wholesalers that are purchasing directly
11 from manufacturers and we're not sure exactly how they
12 have obtained those relationships, but they are buying
13 directly from the manufacturer certain types of
14 medications that appear to be restricted. We think
15 some of these involve situations where the wholesale
16 distributor license was obtained under the name of
17 possibly a veterinary hospital and then the veterinary
18 hospital went out of business and the wholesaler
19 continued.

20 We have heard -- I think it was mentioned earlier
21 by one of the veterinarians -- about the relationships that
22 exist between veterinarians and some of the online
23 pharmacies, and the financial arrangements that are made
24 between them. We have confirmed that there are
25 pharmacies that are removing secondary bar coding that

1 has been placed on certain types of medication to
2 identify the veterinarian that purchased that product.
3 Shortly after we learned of that, the pharmacies moved
4 to removing those medications and placing them into
5 vials and dispensing much like a human drug would be
6 dispensed.

7 I would like to touch on some of the differences
8 in the human drug distribution supply chain and
9 veterinary drug supply chain. Under the Food, Drug and
10 Cosmetic Act, human drug distributors must be licensed
11 by their resident state in accordance with rules
12 established by the FDA. Those requirements do not exist
13 for veterinary distributors. Under the federal act,
14 human drug sales must be tracked back to a manufacturer
15 or authorized distributor in accordance with FDA rules.
16 And again, this does not apply to veterinary
17 distributors. The licensing of wholesale distributors
18 for veterinary drugs varies widely by the states. Some
19 states do not license veterinary wholesale distributors
20 of drugs and some states do not require veterinarians to
21 have a wholesale license to sell to a pharmacy.

22 In the human prescription supply chain, we
23 strive for and have the highest confidence in a closed
24 distribution system where drugs move from the
25 manufacturer to the wholesale distributor to the

1 pharmacy or practitioner, through what is referenced in
2 the wholesale distribution for human drugs as the "normal
3 distribution chain." This type of system is not
4 developed for animal drug distribution.

5 NABP's accreditation of online pharmacy ensures
6 that they are operating in accordance with the laws and
7 rules of their state and federal requirements, and
8 ultimately ensures that the medication that we give our
9 pets is safe.

10 Thank you very much.

11 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

12 Our next panelist is David Miller. He is the
13 chief executive officer of the International Academy of
14 Compounding Pharmacists.

15 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Stephanie.

16 Good morning, everyone. I am going to cover a
17 few quick points, but before we get into that, pretty
18 much everyone up here has some sort of relationship with
19 the veterinary industry. Some of us are clinicians,
20 some of us are pharmacists, some of us are involved in
21 manufacturing and distributing, but I would say most of
22 us in this room, when we were listening to Dr. Pion's
23 presentation, was thinking about the fur balls that we
24 have at home. How many of you own dogs? Yes. How many
25 of you held out your cell phone to show the person next

1

For The Reco

1 When it comes to purchasing medication for their
2 pets, consumers are at a severe disadvantage. They
3 can't buy pet medications without a prescription. The
4 prescriber, in this case the veterinarian, chooses the
5 medication, and is free to choose a medication
6 distributed only through veterinarians. But this
7 system, with its inherent conflicts of interest, also
8 puts the veterinarian in a tough spot. It's unfair to
9 both, and the government should step in to assure
10 consumers are treated fairly, their ability to choose
11 is protected, and competition is allowed to flourish.

12 Allow me to summarize my remarks in five points.
13 First, the distribution practices for pet medications
14 cost consumers money. These practices inflate prices
15 for pet medications and limit competition. They
16 discourage the prescribing of generics, which would save
17 consumers money, in and of itself, and put a downward
18 pressure on prices for the name-brand drugs. And it would
19 serve as a strong incentive for pharmaceutical
20 manufacturers to develop new drugs.

21 Number two, veterinarians choose the medication
22 and the brand. This makes the marketplace much
23 different than for consumer products. It's fine to
24 limit the channel distribution if you're a manufacturer
25 of a premium brand that you want to associate with a

1 Nordstrom's and not a Walmart or a Costco. But it's not
2 okay when legally-established prescribing powers are
3 combined with exclusive distribution.

4 Number three, pharmaceutical manufacturers can
5 engage in practices with pet medications that they could
6 never do with human medications. There are examples of
7 manufacturers providing sales incentives to
8 veterinarians, protecting them from price competition,
9 and rewarding them with extra product that can be
10 resold. In 2011, Elanco sent a letter to veterinarians
11 highlighting and then condemning the decision by a
12 competing pharmaceutical company to sell its products
13 outside the veterinarian channel. I ask that a copy of
14 this letter be made a part of the record, and I will
15 provide that to you, Stephanie.

16 Number four, veterinarians can engage in
17 practices which human physicians do not or cannot.
18 Under the American Medical Association Code of Ethics,
19 where there is a potential of conflict of interest
20 between the physician's financial interest and that of
21 the patient, the physician is required to so advise
22 patients and to resolve the conflict to the patient's
23 benefit. The AVMA code recognizes that a patient whose
24 interest is in receiving quality health care is placed
25 in a difficult, if not impossible position when the

1 health care provider sells products or additional
2 services to that patient. Pet owners are the same.
3 If they ask for a copy of the prescription, it puts them
4 in an uncomfortable position of having to ask their
5 health care provider for permission to purchase
6 elsewhere. This is an unreasonable burden which is why
7 we don't have to ask for our prescriptions from human
8 physicians, or from an eye doctor, for that matter.

9 Five, finally, consumers have a right to know
10 they are grossly underrepresented in this marketplace
11 and they are the ones with the most at stake. Consumers
12 are unaware of the hostile market power. Pet owners
13 rightfully love their vets for the care they give;
14 however, veterinarians have an identity crisis on the
15 horizon. The system keeps prices high, discourages the
16 use of generics and more affordable or efficient
17 alternative solutions, and blocks more convenient access.

18 So, I commend the FTC for holding these
19 workshops, and I hope that this becomes the beginning of
20 creating solutions and a means to an end that will help
21 the consumer. When and where that occurs, I believe
22 that everyone will win. I believe that manufacturers,
23 veterinarians and consumers will all enjoy improved
24 economics and benefit from a change in the way we manage
25 and regulate this industry.

1 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

2 Our next panelist is Mark Cushing. He is a
3 partner with Tonkon Torp law firm and he is here today
4 representing the American Veterinary Distributors
5 Association.

6 MR. CUSHING: Good morning. It's a privilege to
7 represent the AVDA. Let me start with some broad
8 observations, and then I'll tell you a bit more about
9 AVDA and our role in the pet medication chain.

10 I look around the room and I see a number of
11 colleagues that, like myself, have been involved for the
12 past two years in efforts to defeat the retail support
13 for H.R. 1406 in Congress, which after two years is not
14 proceeding. I share that because what became clear on
15 Capitol Hill, fortunately for those of us who opposed
16 the bill, is that this is a classic solution in search
17 of a problem.

18 I will tell you that the discussion today and
19 the focus of this workshop is much the same. It is a
20 solution in search of a problem. It's fair in our
21 system to go to Congress, to go to an agency and raise
22 issues, that's great. We're here to have a good
23 discussion, but the very fact that you have the
24 conversation does not mean that you, in fact, do have a
25 problem that requires federal intervention.

1 Let me expand. For example, every state
2 extensively regulates the veterinarian-client
3 relationship. It is not the subject of a one-paragraph
4 statute buried in state statute books. It is a
5 comprehensive, multi-paged, detailed, administratively
6 enforced scheme to regulate the veterinarian-client
7 relationship. The intent of 1406 was to nationalize
8 that, and for the first time to have the Federal
9 Government, and specifically the FTC, regulate the
10 veterinarian-client relationship. Many of us felt, and
11 I believe the majority of Congress felt, that at this
12 time in our nation's history, that's not necessary and
13 not a good idea.

14 Second point. We have a vigorous, highly
15 competitive pet medication marketplace. I respect my
16 colleague, whom I have just met to my right, but I
17 couldn't disagree more with his conclusions. The notion
18 that consumers are trapped, that they're prisoners in
19 this simple veterinary-driven pet medication marketplace
20 is just not true. It is a highly competitive
21 marketplace.

22 My client, AVDA, shared in its comments -- and I
23 encourage you to take a look at this -- a study commissioned
24 by Axiom, an animal health consulting firm, to just get
25 a feel for broadly how competitive is the pet medication

1 marketplace. Take a look at that. One can only
2 conclude, as consumers understand, you can get pet
3 medications, both prescription and otherwise, OTC, from
4 a host of sources all over this country, online, retail,
5 veterinary and otherwise. It's simply not correct to
6 say that that marketplace is constricted and somehow
7 works against the consumer. Again, it's a solution in
8 search of a problem.

9 So, to my main point. At the heart of the
10 system, when you strip it down to its essentials, we're
11

1 decision about an individual pet.

2 I'll say to that end, I'm disappointed that we
3 don't have on any of the three panels today a
4 representative from state veterinary medical
5 associations, many of whom submitted comments, most
6 importantly from Oregon, my home state, documenting a
7 whole series of examples of adverse consequences for
8 pets when there was a decision made by a pharmacist,
9 online or retail, to change dosage, or to swap out the
10 particular prescription for a different drug reflecting
11 a lack of concern or understanding about how the
12 medication would work with a pet when a simple phone
13 call might have made the difference.

14 Of course, veterinarians, every day, I'm sure by
15 the time, 11:00 on the east coast, there have been a
16 thousand prescriptions written and probably handed to
17 clients that go to human pharmacies. Veterinarians
18 understand that. And what they hope is that a
19 pharmacist who has any questions, or more importantly,
20 gets some independent idea about what to do with that
21 prescription, would get on the phone and call the
22 veterinarian and ask for guidance. Unless that
23 pharmacist was trained to deal with animal-related
24 issues. That's fine, but we're not talking about that
25 in this context. So, I would just urge you to keep that

1 consideration in mind.

2 Very briefly, AVDA has 74 members. It is a
3 combination of both distributors and associate members
4 who are manufacturers. It has both generic and pioneer
5 manufacturers in its membership. It services
6 approximately 55,000 veterinarians and 25,000 practices,
7 as well as 10,000 other retail and over-the-counter
8 outlets. It should be obvious just from those figures
9 why distributors exist, from the manufacturer's
10 perspective, right? If you're trying to service that
11 broad of a market, you need the assistance, and
12 distributors provide that, and I think they do a good
13 job. They comply with a whole host of Federal agencies,
14 DEA, FDA, USDA, of course, EPA on the pesticides or
15 insecticides, as well as state boards of pharmacy and so
16 forth. It's a complicated business, and they take it
17 seriously, and I'm happy to answer questions as the day
18 goes on. Thanks.

19 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Cushing.

20 Once again, we have Dr. Paul Pion. He is the
21 president and co-founder of the Veterinary Information
22 Network and we wanted to give him an opportunity to
23 provide any additional comments to his presentation
24 earlier.

25 DR. PION: Thank you.

1 So, when I gave my presentation, despite the one
2 slide that I tried to show what veterinarians were
3 thinking, I tried to keep it as objective as possible
4 and just tell a story. Last night, when it kind of
5 dawned on me that I had to say more than that, I started
6 to jot down some thoughts to speak more as a
7 veterinarian.

8 One of the points I realized that I had left out
9 of my presentation was the issue of compounding, so
10 thanks for covering that. The only thing I would add
11 there is one of the patterns we've seen is there's a
12 great partnership between veterinarians and compounders,
13 but sometimes it's gone too far and not been regulated
14 and it's kind of merged into manufacturing when products
15 weren't yet available. So, just one other thing to
16 throw in the mix.

17 I would agree with the Distributors Association
18 that the market is right now very competitive. I mean,
19 just the fact that the Chairman of the Federal Trade
20 Commission could walk into Costco and buy Frontline and
21 give a product ad in front of this forum was
22 documentation that anybody can buy any product,
23 and if the chains were not open, these big retailers
24 would not be currently providing them if they didn't
25 think they had a sustainable supply chain. So, despite

1 the fact that what's written down and what's said
2 publicly by manufacturers and distributors, the chain is
3 quite open.

4 One of the things that really dawned on me is
5 that the focus has been on veterinarians in H.R. 1406,
6 and that kind of says it backwards, since the control
7 here has always been and is in the hands of the
8 manufacturer and distributor and their relationships
9 that are largely dictated by manufacturers.

10 Veterinarians want to do what is best for their
11 patients and clients. This is not to deny that losing
12 medication income has and will hurt veterinarians, but I
13 think they've already lost much of that. But I do
14 believe there's a real chance that as it increases,
15 there could be an increase, and we're already seeing an
16 increase in service fees that will result. In the end,
17 pet owners will end up paying more for their pet care,
18 or fewer pets will be seen, which will deteriorate the
19 health care of our pets and our population.

20 One of the things I don't want to see come out
21 of this is animosity between veterinarians and
22 pharmacists, in that there has always been a great
23 relationship between them. They're two very noble
24 professions, and I really see that trying to force it by
25 law will start to create that animosity.

1 So, yeah, I agree, and I think most colleagues
2 agree that pet owners should be informed, they have the
3 right to a prescription to purchase their medications
4 elsewhere. I think in that regard, all veterinarians
5 are asking is a level playing field, that they be able
6 to not have their clients purchase in other retailers
7 for less than the veterinarian can purchase for
8 themselves, which is often the case. They want to know
9 that the products that their clients purchase have a
10 known pedigree, and they've been handled properly.
11 That's one issue that hasn't come up, I think in all the
12 jiggling that goes on in the supply chain, who knows how
13 long those products sat out on the tarmac in Phoenix at
14 110 degrees.

15 We have to remember that dogs are not little
16 people, and that cats are not little dogs. Dispensing
17 for pets is like dispensing for an infant. The client,
18 like a parent, needs the person providing the medication
19 to be able to advise them and caution them about drug
20 interactions and possible side effects, how to
21 administer it safely and effectively and even to spot
22 inappropriate doses due to math or transcription errors.

23 These can all be overcome by education. I have
24 no doubt that pharmacists can learn this, but is it
25 realistic to believe that the big box stores and

1 pharmacies who largely see selling pet medications as a
2 way to increase traffic are going to pay adequate
3 attention to these issues?

4 I've said, most veterinarians agree that pet
5 owners should be informed and have a choice, but it
6 shouldn't be at the expense of ensuring that the
7 medications are dispensed appropriately with appropriate
8 ability to counsel.

9 So, I agree maybe veterinarians should do more
10 to inform pet owners they can get prescriptions
11 elsewhere, and maybe a sign in a lobby would be enough.
12 Most veterinarians, it just doesn't come up in the
13 conversation. I don't think it's an outright attempt to
14 restrict it. And if pharmacists don't get the proper
15 education and don't respect veterinary prescription
16 directions, meaning consult the prescribing veterinarian
17 before they consider substituting what they consider an
18 equivalent drug, or preparation, or questioning a dose
19 without first consulting the prescribing colleague, then
20 I think we're going to see lots of problems within the
21 market.

22 I've got hundreds of examples where this has
23 been an issue, just recently about a Dachshund in
24 California who was given 61 units of insulin when it
25 should have been six units, that ended up in the

1 veterinarian is in the best position to also dispense
2 the medications? In other words, as long as retail
3 pharmacists dispense prescriptions exactly as written by
4 the veterinarian, why should there be concerns about
5 safety if a retail pharmacist dispenses the medications?
6 And I would open this up to the panel.

7 Okay, Mr. Vranian?

8 MR. CUSHING: This is Mark Cushing, I'm sorry,
9 did somebody else go first?

10 MS. WILKINSON: That's okay.

11 MS. JEX: From now on, if you could put your
12 name card up on end and we'll call folks in order.

13 MR. CUSHING: It's always the lawyer that
14 misbehaves.

15 MR. VRANIAN: You've cited to the VCPR and the
16 importance of preserving that, and again I think it
17 comes to the portfolio of the manufacturer. If you have
18 non-prescription products or ones that have higher
19 safety and efficacy balancing acts to maintain, it's
20 important that the vet maintains these contact points --
21 and points include treatment, prescription, dispensing,
22 and follow-up. And dispensing is one of these contact
23 points that allows trained professionals to get some
24 feedback from somebody who doesn't speak any human
25 language. They are trained to acquire that feedback.

1 where these innovations can be made available to the pet
2 owner. By focusing on that channel and training them
3 and giving them those additional contact points where we
4 can keep sacred that Veterinarian-Client-Patient-
5 Relationship just ultimately enhances the quality of pet
6 health.

7 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

8 Mr. Bane?

9 MR. BANE: From our perspective, again, the
10 veterinarians are the ones who receive the formal
11 training, so it makes sense, as Clinton just mentioned,
12 that distributing those products to the professionals
13 that they know had experience in monitoring the side
14 effects and being able to get ahold of that group of
15 professionals to be able to train them appropriately,
16 monitor side effects, administer doses, et cetera, makes
17 some sense.

18 From our perspective, one of our closest allies
19 as a pharmacist -- and having to expend significant time
20 overcoming this training gap and the availability of
21 information for pharmacists -- one of our closest allies
22 is the veterinarian. So, in the case of dispensing,
23 often times our veterinarians, before they send us a
24 prescription they would like us to fulfill and send to
25 their client, they'll administer that first dose in the

1 hospital, where that one-on-one interaction with that
2 pet owner and that pet allows them to understand
3 how it's dosed and what signs to watch out for is very
4 important.

5 In fact, I think in some of these other
6 establishments, we can't see the patient, so that's an
7 important thing for us to maintain that very close
8 relationship with the veterinarian in that context where
9 they can explain those things to the client in a way
10 that's much more difficult in other ways.

11 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

12 Mr. Powers?

13 MR. POWERS: Thank you. I would like to make a
14 few points. First of all, with all due respect to Dr. Pion,
15 I think there's some clouding of the issue when Chairman
16 Leibowitz showed that product up there, Frontline, that

1 through the gray market or through the nefarious ways of
2 getting the product. My question, again, is I've heard
3 the comments of the veterinarian-pharmacist
4 relationship, we're a company who has both veterinarians
5 working in concert with pharmacists, we're still told we
6 can't get the drugs, they're restricted from us.

7 The third point that I wanted to make was
8 listening to the VCPR relationship, my vet is great, I
9 love my personal vet, but where does that relationship
10 begin and how does it progress? Pfizer in their
11 statement to the board here said that there were six
12 million prescriptions filled outside the veterinary
13 channel for pets. We've heard it again and again that
14 other people fill many of these, the pharmacists fill
15 many of these. Does that mean that each time each of
16 those six million times that somehow the
17 veterinarian-client relationship was diminished?

18 In my own case, I have a Groenendael that was
19 abandoned that I took in that recently had eye problems.
20 My veterinarian, Allison French, a wonderful woman, decided
21 the dog was coming down with glaucoma. She prescribed a
22 drug, pilocarpine, to reduce the pressure in that dog's eye,
23 but she said, "John, I don't carry it, here's the
24 prescription, you should take it to Walgreens or some
25 place to have it filled." Does that mean that once she

1 gave me that prescription for my dog that it diminished
2 the Veterinarian-Client-Patient-Relationship between
3 Allison and I? I don't think so.

4 MS. WILKINSON: Okay, thank you.

5 Mr. Miller?

6 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Stephanie.

7 I know we're the distribution panel, and it's
8 always a struggle sometimes for the clinicians in the
9 room. I think there's a lot of discussion today about
10 stuff. Stuff -- the things that we can buy, sell, what's
11 in the marketplace, what's in the chain. But to the
12 clinicians in the room, the veterinarians and the
13 pharmacists, this is not stuff. This is now we treat
14 and cure disease. Whether it's for an animal or for a
15 human.

16 Stephanie, your question was should we,
17 considering the VCPR, ensure that veterinarians still
18 have the ability to obtain and dispense medications,
19 even in an environment or a marketplace that's changing
20 and expanding so that other types of distribution
21 points, retail pharmacies, online pharmacies, whatever
22 it might be, evolve. The answer to that is so simple,
23 I guess, from a pharmacist's perspective, and I would
24 think from a vet's as well. Absolutely. Because we
25 know as clinicians that there are instances when a patient

1 veterinarian in the actual dispensing, not just in the
2 writing of a prescription. There's a simple practical
3 value. Many pet owners in the real world, at the end of
4 a workday, stop by their veterinarian, their pet may
5 have been examined during the day or treated, and they
6 pick up their pet to take them home, and it's extremely
7 convenient and it's very consumer friendly for the
8 veterinarian to play that role, just as a practical
9 matter.

10 More complex, and I would encourage you all if
11 you haven't read it to see the submission by the Animal
12 Health Institute, which had an excellent description
13 from the Bureau of Labor Statistics that just summarized
14 six or seven of the services, if you will, that
15 pharmacists typically provide to their customers, and
16 we've all experienced that in the human context.

17 If you go down that list, we don't just go to a
18 pharmacist and get something back. There's a
19 conversation, there's advice given, there's questions
20 asked. It's expected. It's part of the pharmacist view
21 of their own profession. That's appropriate.

22 The veterinarian, uniquely, that is unique
23 meaning there may be a veterinary-trained pharmacist in
24 a pharmacy, but for the most part, the veterinarian is in
25 that position to have that conversation with the client

1 as to how this works, what to do, what problems to
2 expect, what frustration you're going to meet in about
3 35 minutes when you get home and try to administer it,
4 how to respond to that and so forth.

5 That's much more than a pure prescription
6 writing service and I think it's appropriate.

7 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

8 Dr. Pion?

9 DR. PION: Well, you may be surprised that I'll
10 probably be the least likely to try to defend keeping
11 the status quo. I think most colleagues have accepted
12 that product medication sales has to become less a part
13 of their practice. I don't think we're here trying to
14 stop that.

15 I think that we are here to try to see it
16 done rationally. I think there are issues that relate
17 to convenience. I mean, we all go to the physician now,
18 and what happens? You might get a physical exam, you
19 get sent here for blood work, you get sent there for a
20 radiograph, you're sent there to pick up medication, and
21 that's not been classically what people are going to put
22 effort into for their pet's care, and I don't think
23 that's what the public wants to see.

24 So, physicians handle that. Manufacturers
25 handle, since physicians can't dispense, they handle

1 directing what physicians are likely to dispense by
2 providing them with samples. So, they tend to prescribe
3 what they can give you: here, here's a few doses and you
4 can go fill it in a couple of days when it's convenient.
5 So, the same still does go on in the human market.

6 I think veterinarians are all in favor of choice
7 and helping their clients, because veterinarians are
8 faced every day with the choice as opposed to humans,
9 where insurance covers costs. We're not able to
10 apply our healing arts because it's limited by money. I
11 think most of us would be happy if the medications were
12 available elsewhere, free, cheap, but in the end, the
13 client is going to look at the cost of that health care,
14 as what they spent at the veterinarian, and what they
15 paid for the medication.

16 If we take out efficiencies of the system, and I
17 talked about how, and I think Novartis and a few others
18 have addressed how it's just not efficient for them to
19 try to introduce these products and will it reduce the
20 incentive for innovation and introduction of great
21 products into pet health care if the unintended
22 consequences of the outcome here is that it actually
23 ultimately increases pet health care cost.

24 So, I think there's lots of conflicting issues
25 here, but I don't think you're going to find

1 veterinarians wanting to say I think that this should be
2 restricted in that way. I think most veterinarians,
3 they're good, honest, open people, and they would just
4 like the shenanigans to stop. And if these things are
5 going to be sold in the open chain, then that should be
6 fine and the public should have a choice and maintain
7 their relationship with their veterinarian.

8 MS. WILKINSON: Okay, thank you.

9 And finally, Mr. Hinckle?

10 MR. HINCKLE: Thanks. Stephanie, to get kind of
11 back to your question of why do we believe that a
12 pharmacist can't consistently follow directions on a
13 prescription and dispense the drug, I think the obvious
14 answer to that is that they can, and the reason why I
15 say it's obvious is because they do in a large number of
16 cases already.

17 As I think has already been mentioned,
18 pharmacists already dispense a lot of drugs for animal
19 patients. Many times it's off-label human drugs that
20 are being dispensed for the animal use. And bear in
21 mind in that case, the pharmacist doesn't even have an
22 FDA-approved package insert that discusses animal uses.
23 We're talking here about higher priced animal-only
24 prescription drugs where there is an FDA package insert
25 that a pharmacist can at least refer, aside from the

For The Rec05

1 through the secondary distribution system. What I'm
2 interested in understanding is whether there are any
3 inefficiencies associated with this secondary
4 distribution system for both prescription and
5 over-the-counter pet medications and how do these
6 inefficiencies impact consumers?

7 Mr. Hinckle?

8 MR. HINCKLE: Okay, I'll just pick back up
9 again. Again, speaking from somebody who represents
10 generic drug companies, one of the things that I've
11 heard that's a problem for getting generic companies'
12 products into, in this case a chain retail drugstore,
13 was the chain said, look, we can't really carry your
14 generic if we can't also carry the brand.

15 From a corporate perspective they said, look,
16 we're not comfortable buying product outside of what in
17 the human side is considered the normal distribution
18 chain. We don't want to get it outside. They deal in a
19 PDMA world, the Prescription Drug Marketing Act, where
20 everything is very controlled on the human side. I
21 think Gregg talked about that from that perspective.

22 So, they are very uncomfortable getting out of
23 that chain, and so the impact is that the generic
24 products can't get into the retail market either because
25 the brand products aren't there. That clearly has an

1 impact on consumers.

2 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

3 Mr. Dayton?

4 MR. DAYTON: You asked a question on
5 inefficiency, I think the largest inefficiency is time.
6 For products we cannot obtain, we go to a
7 secondary supplier, which takes longer to get the
8 medication to our patients. So, I think time is the
9 biggest inefficiency.

10 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

11 Mr. Smith?

12 MR. SMITH: I think just in classic supply chain
13 consideration, you're always going to look at how many
14 players are there in a supply chain, how many times is a
15 product received, touched, reaped, distributed, shipped
16 somewhere else. And so when you think about the chart
17 Dr. Pion put up with all the arrows, and all the
18 additional touches that are occurring all across the
19 supply chain, it inevitably has to cost more money when
20 you have more people making a profit along the chain,
21 more people touching it, more freight miles, it can't be
22 cheaper.

23 The other thing that I would add is, at some
24 level, when you think through that chart, and then you
25 think about the average end prices that are being

1 offered to consumers, it also makes no sense that the
2 product that gets tortured along the longest supply
3 chain with the most touches is generally showing up to
4 the market right now with the lowest price. It makes no
5 sense.

6 I think it demonstrates where margins must be
7 taken by certain players and the rate at which they're
8 taking those margins. It's not an efficient market. I
9 think the notion of convenience needs to be treated
10 carefully, because convenience at a cost is a certain
11 question. If I don't want to drive somewhere, because I
12 just want to have it prescribed and I want to take it,
13 fine. I think it's very well documented that prices are
14 much lower and if price becomes an important issue to
15 the consumer, then you can't claim that convenience at a
16 prescribe-and-fill location is better than how often do
17 they go to a supermarket or a place where a pharmacy is,
18 that's also convenient. We don't shop in a meat shop, a
19 bakery, and a sporting goods store. In our world today,
20 consumers need access and convenience to product, and
21 the obvious preference is they like to be able to buy
22 more than one thing in one place.

23 So, there's obviously inefficiencies for the
24 consumer as well. I don't think it's more convenient
25 to have to go to the vet every time I want my Heartgard

1 refilled.

2 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

3 Mr. Powers, did you have a comment you would
4 like to make?

5 MR. POWERS: I was going to echo his comments.
6 Any product, whether it's hardware or housewares, where
7 you include another step in the distribution channel, is
8 going to raise prices for the consumer ultimately. Most
9 companies have a minimum mark-up they can work on and
10 still be profitable. Cost enters into that equation.
11 So, every incremental cost you add, from the time the
12 product is manufactured until it gets to the ultimate
13 retailer, will definitely affect the price of that
14 product to the consumer.

15 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

16 Dr. Pion?

17 DR. PION: I think it's important to remember
18 there's many different sides to the answer. So, from a
19 cost basis, to me, the one who has the most to lose by
20 opening the supply chain is the manufacturer. The
21 Walmarts, et cetera of the world push back on them and buy
22 on consignment, as they do with all others, and it will
23 bring lesser prices. There's other dangers in that
24 even if they're over-the-counter products, it doesn't
25 mean they're without harm.

For The Record, Inc.

1 How do manufacturers typically respond when
2 veterinarians resell products to retail pharmacies or to
3 secondary distributors?

4 Mr. Vranian?

5 MR. VRANIAN: As the manufacturer on the panel,
6 I can only speak from experience at Novartis Animal
7 Health and only conjecture about what others might do.
8 There appears to be a range across the industry. Some
9 might leverage the secondary market to obtain sales they
10 might not have obtained through the veterinary channel.
11 We've seen, over the past two decades, companies claim
12 to try and be able to control that, claim to be able to
13 police it and implement measures that they say can stop
14 it, but even those products tend to wind up in the
15 veterinary market.

16 I think in both cases, it can be a distraction
17 for both the manufacturer and the veterinarian when our
18 primary purpose is ensuring the safe, quality health
19 care of our pets. So, we've been in this industry for
20 two decades. We started before the Internet, it was
21 before my time, but my sense is that this really started
22 happening with the advent of online retailing. We had a
23 history of trying to stop it. It was impossible. It's
24 clear that this is an economic force and where there's
25 sufficient demand for a product, the consumer or the

1 market is going to find a way.

2 It's not our place, and there are many
3 illegitimate secondary markets, but a secondary supply
4 can be done legally and it's not our place to prevent a
5 legal business from operating in any way.

6 So, we refocus on controlling what we can
7 control and that's focusing on the health and well-being
8 of our patients. To the extent that we see a
9 counterfeit or unapproved product, that is aggressively
10 pursued and reported. Let me put that out there. We
11 ask our contractual customers to guarantee what they are
12 going to do in the context of the Veterinarian-Client-
13 Patient-Relationship. We don't incentivize our sales force
14 to somehow look for opportunities to create a secondary
15 market. They are not incentivized if those things are
16 found. And if we learn of somebody that has represented
17 to us that they're going to sell in the context of the
18 Veterinarian-Client-Patient-Relationship and breaches
19 that representation, we have terminated supply
20 relationships with those clients.

21 We don't publish this to other customers, we
22 don't use it as a marketing tactic at all. I think
23 that's part of the distraction we're talking about.
24 Instead, we consider it our job to focus on what we can
25 control. Does that mean that some of our product leaks

For The Record, Inc.

(301) For The Record, Inc.

1 under their wholesale license.

2 So, these terms "diversion" may not be as negative
3 in the veterinary industry related to the legality of them
4 as they are on the human side.

5 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

6 Mr. Smith?

7 MR. SMITH: So, just maybe a quick point of
8 clarification. First, I used to work for Walmart two
9 years ago, I no longer do. So, at some point I'm
10 reflecting back on some of the things that we were
11 working on and considering then.

12 As it relates to the way Walmart, and I presume
13 other retailers, work, when a product comes into

1 as well, and it's not good for anybody when that legal
2 uncertainty exists.

3 I know Walmart, for one, would prefer to do
4 business with all these great manufacturers who provide
5 products to their human pharmacy. That's safer for
6 everyone involved, if that were the case.

7 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

8 I would like to move on now and discuss the

1 Everything from Novartis products to syringes to latex
2 gloves, they can rely on their distributor for.

3 We have one of the most highly qualified or
4 highly respected sales forces in the industry, about 300
5 folks out in the field. But for every one visit that one
6 of our guys or one of our sales representatives has in

For The Record, Inc.
(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 merits of the product, achieved extraordinary
2 penetration within a year. It's been good.

3 We've also been on the other end of it. We
4 recently launched a generic version of a blockbuster
5 product, and a differentiated generic, the one that had
6 the off-patent molecule with a compound that provided
7 superior efficacy. This product was well adopted by the
8 vets that adopted it, but we were unable to access
9 distributors. We presume that was due to an exclusive
10

1 of course changes. Bayer just announced it acquired Teva
2 on the animal side. So, our members of the AVDA
3 typically have between 20 and 50 generic products in
4 their portfolio. As counsel for Novartis said, it's a
5 very competitive business, multiple distributors carry
6 multiple manufacturers' products and that happens all
7 the time out there in the marketplace. Some
8 distributors are regional, a handful are national, and
9 the instances are very few. You can count on one hand,
10 I believe, you don't need all five digits to count to my
11 understanding the cases where there would be an
12 exclusivity only as to a specific generic tied to a
13 specific pioneer product. And there's a couple of those
14 instances, but this is not a typical practice and certainly
15 not one on a scale that would, I think, concern the FTC.
16 It's also not unlawful, to state that up front, but it's
17 just not a common practice. So, I think it's much less of
18 a concern.

19 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

20 Mr. Bane?

21 MR. BANE: It's become less of a concern, it's
22 actually changed over the last handful of years or so,
23 and there are fewer of these instances. I think it's
24 becoming less and less of a problem. In addition,
25 because of some of the newer business approaches, not

1 everybody is under those same restrictions. In fact,
2 we've never signed an exclusivity contract with anyone.
3 We feel as though from a pharmacist's perspective, we
4 need to be able to provide those medications that we're
5 being requested to fulfill, so I have never signed an
6 exclusive arrangement with any manufacturer.

7 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

8 Mr. Smith?

9 MR. SMITH: I'm kind of confused by that because
10 I don't understand how access is being made available to
11 all pharmacists, it's just not true. A pharmacist can,
12 like a Walmart pharmacy, for instance, can secure the
13 drug if we're willing to work with a diverter, but there
14 is an exclusive distribution reality in terms of who the
15 product is going to and it's to certain distributors who
16 then in turn will not deliver it to Walmart.

17 I think it's important here to kind of talk
18 about exclusive distribution and what I think it
19 effectively does. From the manufacturer's perspective,
20 they're very happy to have brands that are effectively
21 supported by that recommendation of the veterinarian.
22 And as long as they can preserve a place where theirs is
23 the exclusive product that's being recommended, that's a
24 tremendous place to be when every consumer is interested
25 in following the advice and the counsel of their trusted

1 veterinarian. The brand value associated with that vet
2 recommendation is I can charge higher prices, I can have
3 higher margins, because it's what the veterinarian has
4 established from a brand perspective as the most
5 efficacious, the most optimal medical treatment.

6 From the veterinarian's side, if they can avoid
7 a brand or a competing product, they have a challenge as
8 well, because they have a conflict of interest, because
9 their recommendation creates a lot of sway with the
10 consumer.

11 To the comment earlier -- "this is a solution
12 looking for a problem" -- I think there's a real problem
13 that needs a solution. And I think when you look at the
14 American Medical Association, and this is a quote from
15 the American Medical Association, I think I referenced
16 it, "Under no circumstances may physicians place their
17 own financial interest above the welfare of their
18 patients. If a conflict develops between the
19 physician's financial interest and the physician's
20 responsibility to the patient, the conflict must be
21 resolved to the patient's benefit." So, to me, the
22 problem here is when an exclusive distribution is
23 connected to the legal right to also prescribe, and it's
24 in a limited number of places where that product can be
25 dispensed, you have a problem with a conflict of interest.

1 We don't dislike our human physicians, but we expect our
2 human physicians to be completely objective and independent
3 in the things that they prescribe to us, the medical
4 direction they give us. And as long as there's a personal
5 interest in there, that can be really challenged. I think
6 that's the problem that needs the solution.

7 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

8 Dr. Pion?

9 DR. PION: So, a couple of points. One, I think
10 when you look at 1406 and you look at the prior comment,
11 I don't think the focus really needs to be on the
12 veterinarian. I think if you look historically -- and your
13 question was more about manufacturer-distributor
14 relationships than it was to pharmacists, but of course
15 they're down the chain -- it is true that it's less of
16 an issue today. But I don't think that was as much a
17 voluntary choice as just the reality that as is happening
18 in many industries, very much in the veterinary industry,
19 consolidation is taking place. The number of
20 distributors who came together and were bought up and
21 gobbled up, it just created confusion. Because now you
22 had to actually -- when the consolidation began,
23 actually that discussion happened, okay? You sell this
24 manufacturer and this manufacturer. When you
25 consolidate, you're going to have to choose. I think

1 eventually it got down to so few that that conversation

2

1 they were considering launching what I would consider
2 more of a branded generic, much like Novartis was
3 talking about. So, these are generics in the sense that
4 they're approved through a pathway that relies somewhat
5 on a prior product, but the fact is they are sold as a
6 branded product through the veterinary channels directly
7 to vets. They opted to discontinue their R&D program,
8 because they felt like because of the exclusive
9 arrangements that were there, they were not going to be
10 able to get market penetration. That's one anecdotal
11 thing from my experience.

12 I would also say that there's a difference when
13 we're talking about generic products because those
14 branded generics that compete with the brands as a brand
15 product with a sales force through the veterinary
16 channels versus what one would consider on the human
17 side a more typical straight generic that's sold maybe
18 even without a brand name in a retail pharmacy and
19 relies on pharmacy substitution or drug selection
20 depending on the state laws where the pharmacist
21 actually makes the switch in the pharmacy.

22 That's what I've pointed out before is really
23 missing in the animal drug market now.

24 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

25 Finally, Mr. Cushing?

1 MR. CUSHING: Yes, just two points to respond to
2 my colleague. First, we were talking, there's two types
3 of exclusivity, and I think you may have been thinking
4 this statement was made that there's not a number of
5 exclusive relationships vis-a-vis veterinarians. Yes,
6 there are exclusive vet channels. We were discussing, I
7 thought, the issue of exclusivity in terms of very
8 limited practices where a manufacturer would say to a
9 distributor, if you carry X, you can't carry Y, that was
10 the comment made there, and that was quite limited.

11 However, having the microphone, I do want to
12 comment that I think, and I'll just be b5

1 disappoint folks, I don't think that's the reality of
2 the U.S. veterinary practice. I think if it was, you
3 would have seen consumers storming Congress when there were
4 efforts made by parties supporting 1406, there was a
5 broad social media effort to get consumers to go to
6 Congress and show your concern about this practice.
7 Hence my solution in search of a problem, because guess
8 what, the phones didn't ring, the emails didn't fly.
9 You didn't see pet owners perceiving that they were in
10 the sort of vise that's been described, and I just don't
11 think that's the factual case and for that reason
12 Congress hasn't taken any interest after two years.

13 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

14 Mr. Hinckle, would you like to briefly respond?

15 MR. HINCKLE: No, I'm sorry.

16 MS. WILKINSON: I saw your placard up. So, we
17 are technically --

18 MR. POWERS: I have a response.

19 MS. WILKINSON: Brief response, Mr. Powers?

20 MR. POWERS: I disagree once again with
21 Mr. Cushing down there. I do believe there's a problem.
22 I believe that 1406 may or may not be a bad bill, we can
23 discuss that this afternoon, but I don't think as many
24 consumers, pet consumers knew about that or were able to
25 be as reactive to that as Mr. Cushing stated. I do

For The

1 distribution requirements from state to state, and there
2 are concerns that when we have what's called a normal
3 distribution supply chain on the human side that's
4 regulated by pedigree, that was put in place for a
5 reason. There were tremendous abuses going on. If
6 anybody wants a good read, Dangerous Doses is a
7 fantastic historical account of exactly why those rules
8 were put in place.

9 I think that some of the practices today create
10 these loopholes whereby it's just a matter of time
11 before there's some adulterated or counterfeit product
12

1 practitioner, as a veterinarian, then there is a safety
2 issue.

3 The unfortunate thing -- and we see this on the
4 human side, it's even worse on the veterinarian side -- is
5 if we have treatment failure because of a medication,
6 we don't know because of the current marketplace whether

7

1 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

2 Mr. Dayton?

3 MR. DAYTON: As I said in my presentation, a
4 pharmacist might not always know the answer to every
5 question. But it was mentioned earlier that if you have
6 a package insert that is the way a pharmacist, when they
7 do not know information, gathers information and uses it.
8 So, if we have the package insert coming from a
9 manufacturer, we have a better chance to answer
10 questions and dispense medication properly. So, I think
11 that it addresses the safety. Dr. Pion in his presentation
12 addressed that pharmacists are already a trusted partner
13 in the medications that we do dispense. If the market
14 is opened up, we have access to that information. I feel
15 that pharmacists can continue to be that trusted partner.

16 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

17 Mr. Miller?

18 MR. MILLER: The question was whether the
19 manufacturing industry has a responsibility to educate
20 the pharmacy profession. I would say absolutely not.
21 That is ultimately our responsibility. It needs to fall
22 within our curriculum, it needs to fall within the
23 continuing professional education, our board
24 certification processes, the specialty that pharmacy
25 has, just as any other health care profession does.

For The Record, Inc.

1 to work together, not the manufacturers.

2 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

3 I'm going to give Mr. Cushing and Dr. Pion a
4 chance to respond, but just in follow-up to what
5 Mr. Miller just raised, should retail pharmacies or
6 pharmacy schools be offering veterinary pharmacology
7 training to pharmacists?

8 Mr. Miller?

9 MR. MILLER: Yeah, that's a no-brainer, sorry,
10 Stephanie. Yeah, of course, we should.

11 MS. WILKINSON: I wondered if anybody else
12 wanted to respond to that.

13 Okay, Mr. Cushing?

14 MR. CUSHING: Thank you. I think, first of all,
15 the key is for pharmacists, which most do, to understand
16 that if they are inclined to change and not deliver the
17 product that was prescribed by the veterinarian, pick up
18 the phone and call. I mean, that's the most basic idea
19 here. I will say, had we had state VMA officials
20 participate, you would have heard it is not an easy
21 thing. And there have been many efforts in many states
22 to work with state boards of pharmacy. And as you may
23 expect, some are easier to work with than others. Some
24 are more successful than others. It's to get the pet
25 owner, the veterinarian may hear about it much later to

1 get in and make sure you've got all the evidence to go
2 to a state board of pharmacy and begin a proceeding.
3 It's complicated. People can decide it may or may not be
4 worth their effort to do. There's a lot of ongoing
5 discussion. I know in the case of Oregon, the Oregon

1 even just off-label at indication, it's moving it into
2 other species. Most of the time a product gets into
3 another market just for one species or two species and
4 then they're looking to refine where we can use it in
5 other species. There's many indications where the label
6 dose is wrong, and it doesn't go back and get changed.
7 But it's through collegial communication that it gets
8 communicated that this is a better dose, and you can
9 follow that in many ways.

10 Just to address the simplicity of reporting
11 things to the boards, I know in our work, we have called
12 many veterinary boards, many pharmacy boards, on
13 pharmacy issues, and often there's confusion in the
14 states about who's responsible. We call the pharmacy
15 board, they say, why are you calling us, call the
16 veterinary board. We call the veterinary board, they
17 say, why are you calling us, call the pharmacy board.
18 And they don't even know if diversion, as we've defined
19 it here, is legal or illegal. They don't know if in
20 their states veterinarians can resell prescription drugs.
21 So, I think there's many levels here that contribute to
22 the situation where we're at.

23 MS. WILKINSON: Thank you.

24 One final question that I will probably pose to
25 Mr. Vranian, what position do manufacturers take on

1 from, the origin of the call does not make the
2 determination.

3 Now, if somebody calls us from the street and
4 they've purchased it from the secondary market and
5 demands free product, that will probably be the end of
6 the discussion right there and that's just not something
7 we do. Each of these is honestly looked at on a
8 case-by-case basis, but that diagnostic reimbursement
9 guarantee is what I would call our premium level
10 support, and honestly, where a veterinarian is involved,
11 where they initiate, it is not determined by product
12 origin.

13 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Powers, if you would like to
14 briefly respond?

15 MR. POWERS: The second part of that you asked,
16 Stephanie, what about expired product, et cetera. I
17 think as David Miller suggested, the easiest way to
18 solve the distribution channel issue in secondary
19 distribution in gray markets is for the manufacturer to
20 have direct relationships through themselves or through
21 authorized distributors with companies like ours. When
22 you talk about old or outdated product, ironically --
23 and I don't mean to cast any aspersions on the veterinary
24 profession, some of my best friends are veterinarians --
25 an article this summer in DVM Magazine reported when in

1 hallway about lunch venues that are nearby and many of them
2 move very quickly. Thank you.

3 (Whereupon, at 12:04 p.m., a lunch recess was
4 taken.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the prescription of medication.

2 So, with that context and framing in mind, what

3 we hope to focus on in 1.00eb'r

1 1406.

2 So, let's get right to it. The basic tenets of
3 prescription writing. Pet medications are either
4 dispensed by a veterinarian as medically indicated, or the
5 veterinarian provides a written prescription to a client
6 who may then have the prescription dispensed at the
7 pharmacy of his or her choice, either retail or online.

8 Prescriptions sometimes are provided by fax or
9 telephone, although that's subject to state rules, and
10 also DEA rules on controlled substances.

11 Traditionally, we've heard that veterinarians
12 stock and dispense pet medications due to his or her
13 specialized knowledge and training, and the fact that
14 pharmacies didn't stock many animal drugs years and
15 years ago. It was seen, and I think it still is, as one
16 product of a larger service provided by that
17 veterinarian.

18 In the last 30 years or so, we have seen more
19 pharmacies, especially online, selling pet medications
20 and prescriptions are being written. What caught my
21 attention is a study referenced in the AVDA comments
22 submitted to the FTC. During a 12-month period, in
23 2010-2011, more than 45,000 veterinarians provided more
24 than four million prescriptions to be filled through a
25 retail pharmacy location. So, these prescriptions are

1 requires a VCPR. Now, almost every state has adopted
2 this language in some form. The VCPR is required for
3 treating a patient, but also for prescribing or
4 dispensing.

5 The VCPR requirement is also specifically
6 incorporated into the federal rules in three places,
7 which I'm going to show briefly. I don't plan on going
8 into too much depth here. I wanted you to have the Code
9 of Federal Regulations citation if anyone wants to do
10 some follow-up on this, but autogenous biologics is one
11 area, extra label drug use, VCPR definition was
12 incorporated in this FDA rule, and veterinary fee
13 directive, which applies more to food animals, but I did
14 want to mention that the VCPR is also mentioned in those
15 requirements.

16 Another AVMA Principles provision that is of
17 interest here is paragraph III(c), a veterinarian should
18 honor a client's request for a prescription in lieu of
19 dispensing. Now, let's take a look at how states have
20 addressed this provision. We have the 17 states that
21 you see in green, that have a specific law or regulation
22 or policy statement that basically mirrors that
23 provision of the AVMA Principles that clients, when they
24 request a prescription, the veterinarian should honor
25 that client's request.

1 dispensing, must offer to give a written prescription to
2 the patient that the patient can then elect to have
3 filled with a pharmacy, or with a prescriber. So,
4 California has a notice requirement, and in addition to
5 that, also a requirement that the prescriber must offer
6 to write a prescription.

7 Before we leave California, though, I did want
8 to talk a little bit about the other 23 states, because
9 I don't want to leave you with the wrong impression.
10 It's important to note that even in states without
11 specific laws or regulations, the state boards of
12 veterinary medicine, as we heard before, regulate the
13 profession. They could easily find in acting on a
14 complaint that failure to honor a client's request for a
15 prescription constitutes unprofessional conduct, which
16 can lead to discipline.

17 Unprofessional conduct generally refers to a
18 departure from or failure to conform to the standards of
19 acceptable and prevailing practice of veterinary
20 medicine. State boards do routinely look at the AVMA
21 Principles of Veterinary Medical Ethics as a guiding
22 tool or principle in how to define unprofessional
23 conduct.

24 In addition to the threat of disciplinary
25 action, veterinarians also have some other practical

1 veterinarians from requiring owners to purchase a
2 prescribed drug as a condition for providing that
3 prescription; would prohibit requiring payment for
4 providing or verifying a prescription; and would
5 prohibit requiring an owner to sign a waiver or disclaim
6 liability as a condition of providing or verifying a
7 prescription.

8 H.R. 1406 would also require the FTC to
9 promulgate rules implementing and enforcing the act
10 within 180 days of its enactment and violations of the
11 rule would be treated as unfair or deceptive practice
12 under the Federal Trade Commission Act.

13 While the AVMA is supportive of a client's
14 ability to have a copy of the written prescription
15 should they request it, AVMA, as you've heard earlier
16 today, strongly opposes this federal mandate every time
17 a written prescription is prescribed, and we look
18 forward to explaining our rationale the rest of today.

19 I want to mention, there are other organizations
20 opposed to this legislation, including some in the
21 pharmacy community, such as the American Veterinary
22 Distributors Association and the Society of Veterinary
23 Hospital Pharmacists, and they are also opposed to
24 federal mandates when the states are governing this
25 issue adequately.

1 That's my presentation, and thank you for your
2 attention.

3 (Applause.)

4 MS. KOSLOV: While we have all of our panelists
5 coming up and taking their seats, I will remind,
6 especially those who are watching via webcast, that you are
7 welcome to submit questions at the hash tag #FTCpets. We
8 will also be taking questions here on comment cards if
9 anyone in the audience wants to pass them along.

1 veterinarian, we have three other veterinarians on staff
2 and have a full team of pharmacists to sell
3 prescriptions in all 50 states. Our pharmacy is both
4 Vet-VIPPS and PCAB certified. For 29 years, we have
5 dispensed thousands of prescriptions each year and have
6 never had a single state or federal dispensing violation

1 portability, is the false impression some drug
2 manufacturers create as they suggest to the public or
3 clients that all online pharmacies are not trustworthy.
4 We have a proven track record and the appropriate
5 accreditations showing that we are trustworthy.

6 The AVMA suggests that Vet-VIPPS certification
7 is something a veterinarian and their client should look
8 for when evaluating an Internet pharmacy. We have that
9 certification. And just so you know, to be Vet-VIPPS
10 certified, pharmacists have to do the dispensing. So,
11

1 that such medications should only be dispensed through
2 veterinarians. I am a veterinarian. Moreover, do they
3 really mean to say that we are qualified to dispense
4 medication for a child but we are not qualified to
5 dispense medications for a dog or cat?

6 Now, in closing, let me get that straight. Our
7 pharmacy has veterinarians and pharmacists on staff
8 every day. We have over 80 years combined experience
9 amongst the veterinarians, thirty right here. We have
10 over 150 years combined experience in our pharmacists.
11 We are FDA-inspected, DEA-inspected, Vet-VIPPS
12 certified, PCAB certified, and have never had a single
13 violation in 29 years.

14 We can buy all human drugs from companies such
15 as Pfizer, Merial and Lilly to fill your prescriptions
16 for you and your kids. But somehow I'm not qualified to
17 buy their medications to fill prescriptions for your cat
18 or even your pet rat? I mean, does it make sense to any pet
19 owner in the audience? Really?

20 And while this may sound like a subject for the
21 previous panel on restricted distribution, it is not.
22 Prescription portability cannot exist without medication
23 availability. I think pet owners deserve better.

24 Thank you.

25 MS. KOSLOV: Thank you, Dr. Foster.

1 Next, we welcome back Nate Smith, previously a
2 retail product strategist at Walmart.
3

1 what a consumer buys under the belief it is best for
2 their pet's health.

3 Number three, and I think potentially the most
4 important, having the prescription put directly and
5 automatically into the hands of the consumer, without
6 requiring the consumer to ask for it, sign a waiver or
7 pay a fee is absolutely key. That piece of paper lets
8 the consumer know he or she has a choice. It is the
9 most effective, most efficient means of creating a
10 consciousness of choice.

11 Number four, pet care is a discretionary
12 expense. If a choice is spurred and competition
13 encouraged, prices will drop, convenience will be
14 created, and Americans will buy more pet care to the
15 benefit of all, to the pet owner, to the manufacturers,
16 to the veterinarian communities, everyone.

17 Number five, we must not lose sight of the big
18 picture. This is a very tough economy. Every
19 indication is that it will stay tough for the
20 foreseeable future, and Americans at most income levels
21 are looking to save money. It is also a different
22 economy. Many families are burdened by severe time
23 constraints, so convenience matters. The Internet and
24 purchasing using the Internet has become the norm rather
25 than the exception. So, while a couple of decades ago,

1 buying pet medication only from your vet may have been
2 the only practical choice, the world is much different
3 today.

4 The Federal Government is already in this
5 marketplace It bars pet owners from buying most
6 medications without a prescription. I hope the
7 government will step in again to allow this marketplace
8 to operate like those for other prescription items,
9 whether that is a prescription drug, eyeglasses, or
10 contact lenses. Doing so will allow consumers to reap
11 the full benefit of technological advancement and have
12 the freedom to purchase their pet meds where they want,
13 based on the best price, service and convenience.

14 It was a decade ago that the FTC, in issuing the
15 Eyeglass Rule, recognized that automatic prescription
16 release is essential to letting consumers know they have
17 a choice. As the FTC stated in its 1997 review of the
18 rule it issued, this automatic release requirement,
19 based on finding of consumers' lack of awareness that
20 eyeglasses could be purchased separate from the exam.
21 Automatic release is still the most effective and
22 efficient means of letting consumers know they have a
23 choice.

24 As the FTC stated in its 2004 review of the
25 Eyeglass Rule, "Release might not occur in the absence

(301) For The Record, Inc.

1 people by helping their beloved pets. By forming strong
2 partnerships with my clients, my patients benefit.
3 During the course of a patient visit, client concerns
4 are identified, an examination occurs, and clinical
5 recommendations are presented. Those recommendations
6 may include diagnostics, lifestyle modifications, and
7 medications.

8 In prescribing medications to a pet, the best
9 medication for the disease process is the reason that I
10 select a drug. Additional considerations include:
11 species, age, size, breed, existing medical conditions,
12 potential for adverse drug reactions, and client input.
13 Client education and communication is critical for
14 satisfactory outcomes.

15 If there are several good options that exist,
16 dialogue with a client occurs, and that includes the
17 drug differences, also discussing cost. I routinely
18 offer to write prescriptions if I'm aware that there are
19 significant cost savings at human pharmacies. I acknowledge
20 that health care for pets is expensive, or can be
21 expensive, and I feel it's my obligation to lessen those
22 costs when possible.

23 Today we're examining pet medications,
24 specifically, and you haven't heard a lot about this,
25 but specifically in regard to H.R. 1406. There are

1 significant concerns regarding this proposed
2 legislation. Those concerns include compliance and
3 safety.

4 I feel confident that when I dispense a
5

1 veterinarian and also president of the American
2 Veterinary Medical Association.

3 DR. ASPROS: Thank you. I am still Dr. Doug
4 Aspros, president of the American Veterinary Medical
5 Association, and represent the interests of more than
6 82,000 veterinarians, approximately 83 percent of the
7 profession. We're dedicated to the science and the art
8 of veterinary medicine.

9 I've practiced companion animal medicine in New
10 York since my graduation in 1975 from Cornell
11 University's College of Veterinary Medicine. I'm a
12 partner at Bond Animal Hospital in White Plains, New
13 York, and in Pound Ridge Veterinary Center in Pound
14 Ridge, New York. I'm also the managing partner of the
15 Veterinary Emergency Group in White Plains.

16

1 educational offerings in veterinary pharmacy for
2 practicing pharmacists, as well as pharmacy students.

3 As a licensed pharmacist, I may also be able to
4 offer some insight into the changes that have occurred
5 to the practice of pharmacy via the advent of third
6 party payers, that is very common in the managed health
7 care market that we all experience today.

8 I'm a firm believer in the development of close
9 working oTrking oTrking oTrking oTrking oTrking 600 crl000shcween

For The Record, Inc.

(

1 Now, someone coming from academia, I can tell
2 you it is absolutely impossible to teach a student
3 absolutely everything they need to know about every
4 topic. One of the most important things that you can very

For The Record, Inc.
(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

topic. One of the most important things that you can very

1 Office of the American Society for the Prevention of
2 Cruelty to Animals.

3 MS. PRESS: Thank you for the opportunity to
4 participate and for organizing this panel.

5 I'm here to speak on behalf of pet owners, and
6 really on behalf of our nation's pets and our shelter
7 animals. The ASPCA supports the concept of prescription
8 portability, because it will make pet care more
9 affordable. More choice encourages competitive pricing,
10 and competitive pricing makes it more affordable to be a
11 pet owner.

12 Our support for prescription portability and for
13 the Fairness to Pet Owners Act comes down to two basic
14 points, both related to the affordability of pet care.
15 The first point is that making vet care more affordable
16 is good for animal health. It means that more animals
17 who need medical care will get it, and more animals can
18 avoid medical intervention by access to affordable
19 preventative treatments.

20 The second point is that making pet care more
21 affordable encourages pet ownership, and that means
22 getting more animals out of shelters. Making quality
23 pet care more affordable is really the broad goal here,
24 and giving the pet owners the choice to take advantage of
25 less expensive sources of medicine is a small but

1 logical step toward that goal.

2 A little bit of background about the ASPCA.
3 Animal health is a cornerstone of our mission. The
4 Bergh Memorial Animal Hospital was founded in New York
5 City in 1912. We serve 20,000 patients a year. We have
6 22 vets on staff, and we provide general and specialized
7 veterinary services to pets. Our hospital also treats
8 our shelter animals. We run a large adoption center in
9 New York City. We have 300 animals at any given time,
10 and last year we adopted between 3,500 and 4,000 animals
11 out to the public. Our hospital also treats victims of
12 animal cruelty. The ASPCA has a humane law enforcement
13 division that investigates thousands of animal
14 cruelty cases every year. We treat those victims at
15 our hospital as well. At our hospital, we do release
16 prescriptions when it would benefit the client and
17 patient. Vets at Bergh provide either written
18 prescriptions or they will call prescriptions in to
19 retail pharmacies. Our vets will affirmatively suggest
20 the clients fill prescriptions elsewhere if they know
21 that doing so will be significantly less expensive.
22 I'm going to go back to those two main points that I
23 mentioned to elaborate a little.

24 The first point was that affordable vet care is
25 good for animal health because it means wider access to

1 care costs are the number one reason people who
2 previously owned dogs currently don't have them.
3 30 percent of previous dog owners, and 25 percent of
4 previous cat owners, cited vet care cost as the reason

1 on the generic drug issue again.

2 Let me just say, starting out, that we talked
3 earlier in the last panel about how distribution issues
4 affect the ability of real substitutable generics to get
5 in the market and provide that competition and low priced,
6 affordable products that we see on the human side. But
7 I will say that the lack of prescription portability is
8 probably the primary reason why consumers are currently
9 denied access to affordable generic drugs.

10 When I say generic drugs in this context, I'm
11 talking about substitutable generics. We see branded
12 generics that are sold as a generic that's approved
13 through the abbreviated new animal drug process, so it
14 is a generic drug in the FDA sense, but they're sold
15 with a brand name.

16 So, when we think about what makes a generic
17 drug affordable to consumers, it's really two things.
18 It's one, you don't have to repeat all the R&D work.
19 There's still an expense to doing the bio studies that's
20 necessary to get a generic approved, generic animal
21 drug, but you don't have to repeat all that R&D work,
22 because you get to piggy-back off the pioneer drug.

23 But there's also the cost of branding and
24 marketing a product -- selling the product out to
25 veterinarians, paying through the distributors to have

1 their reps sell the product, all those marketing costs.
2 You don't pay those on human generic drugs, because of
3 generic drug substitutability. That is, on the human
4 side, when the physician writes for the drug, he or she
5 writes for the brand drug, it goes to the pharmacy, and
6 the pharmacist then dispenses the generic if he has
7 the generic available. In many states, that's required by
8 law to make that substitution if Medicaid is paying for it.
9 If state Medicaid is paying for it, it's required to make
10 the change. You say, well, why is that the case? Well,
11 if the government or insurance companies are paying for
12 drugs, they're going to demand that they pay for the
13 low-cost generic. We don't have that market pressure on
14 the animal drug side, so we don't see these animal drug
15 generic substitutable products available at the
16 pharmacy.

17 But for all this to work, for all this to work
18 at the animal drug side and provide these kind of
19 savings, there has to be a prescription. If that client
20 walks out of the vet's office and has been dispensed a
21 drug instead of the prescription, this whole generic
22 drug substitutability process and the savings that can
23 flow from that just aren't going to happen.

24 Now, there are some challenges besides the
25 prescription issue, that's for sure. The state laws

1 present some issues with regards to substitution. FDA
2 presents some issues. They published the approval of
3 generic drugs in a different book. The states haven't
4 caught up sometimes. The state pharmacy laws aren't
5 clear as to when you can substitute -- there's probably 15
6 states that aren't sure when a pharmacist can substitute
7 a generic animal drug.

8 But those are things that can be overcome, as I
9 think the distribution side can be, too, if there's a
10 demand. Right now, there is no demand for these
11 products at the retail pharmacy level because those
12 prescriptions aren't there.

13 Now, we talked about ethical veterinarians, and
14 I expect everybody that's sitting here is an ethical
15 veterinarian, you're taking the time to be here. Most,
16 if not all, ethical veterinarians do provide prescriptions
17 when they're requested. I expect that's true even in
18 states where it's not required by law, regulation, or board
19 policy. The problem really comes to this, that just as a
20 matter of historical business practice, they're just not
21 offered. The drug was just dispensed and given, and the
22 bill was given. There are incentives for veterinarians to
23 dispense more drugs, the pioneer drug companies provide
24 those incentives. But even that, I think it's more just
25 a sort of historical practice that people don't

1 Well, obviously we have a tremendous amount of
2 expertise on this panel and they have raised a wide
3 variety of issues. Chris and I are going to do our best
4 to unpack some of those a little bit and explicate them
5 some more.

6 So, the way we thought we would begin is framing
7 this by looking first at what we would call, as
8 antitrust lawyers, the demand side, and then looking at
9 the supply side. So, on the demand side, looking at
10 situations where pet owners are likely to seek portable
11 prescriptions, and then look from the supply side at how
12 veterinarians tend to respond when they get those
13 requests.

14 So, let's start with the idea of when pet owners
15 seek portable prescriptions. Are there instances where
16 clients are more or less likely to seek a written
17 prescription and also looking at how often that's
18 happening?

19 So, Dr. Hauser, do you want to start us off on
20 that?

21 DR. HAUSER: Sure, that would be great. Thank
22 you.

23 There are several times that prescriptions are
24 either requested or provided by the veterinarian, and
25 some of the times that that would be would be if the

1 drug is not stocked in the hospital. And that may be due
2 to a low demand or perhaps due to human abuse potential.
3 It's sometimes a little bit better for our veterinary
4 hospitals not to keep those things readily in stock.

5 When there's a need for compounding, we've heard
6 a lot about compounding this morning. I think you need
7 to look at there are cost variations, especially with
8 chronic medications, and I would say that of the
9 prescriptions that are requested in my practice, it
10 tends to be mainly for the chronic anti-inflammatory
11 drugs and the heartworm medications.

12 I also think you have to take a look at the type
13 of the practice that you're in, the setting. I'm
14 limiting my comments today to small animal medicine,
15 because I'm a small animal practitioner. But in talking
16 to some friends that are mixed animal practitioners and
17 large animal practitioners, they'll tell you, this ship
18 has already sailed for them. Large animal lost that
19 prescribing, dispensing, or I should say the dispensing
20 aspect years and years ago when the drugs went into the
21 feed stores.

22 So, what you're looking at is this, is an issue
23 that's going to impact primarily small animal
24 veterinarians. I believe, I don't have proof, but I
25 believe it's going to impact veterinarians that are in

1 more suburban and urban areas. I used to live very
2 rurally. My husband still thinks we live rurally, but I
3 don't quite think ten miles out from town is rural. And
4 quite frankly, if you live in a very rural area, it's
5 more convenient for you to get the drug from the vet
6 than to drive 25 or 30 miles, like my parents would have
7 to go, to a Walmart.

8 So, I think that the location also plays a role,
9 and I do think that the types of clinical settings play
10 a role. I spoke with a lot of stakeholders to make sure
11 that I was fairly representing as broad of a spectrum of
12 veterinarians as I could, and I wanted to keep my own
13 biases out of this. Certainly my experience will come
14 in, but I think it's their voices you need to hear.

15 I have a friend that owns an emergency and
16 specialty practice, he sees this as a very minimal
17 consequence for him for the number of prescriptions
18 will actually not be filled at his facility. When we
19 get into some of the other issues, it's going to have
20 impacts of huge magnitude on his operational efficiency.
21 But right now, he says, no, people need it, it's an
22 urgent situation. It's not a low-grade chronic pain
23 medication where the owner isn't even sure that they
24 fully believe you that their dog is in pain, it's that their
25 dog is seizing and they need to take the medications home.

1 So, those are some of my thoughts.

2 MS. KOSLOV: Did anyone else have anything to
3 add on those points? I don't know if Dr. Foster, did
4 you have anything else you wanted to add to that?

5 DR. FOSTER: No, but I agree pretty much with
6 what she said. I mean, it's the long-term therapeutics and
7 the preventatives where the pet owner is getting gouged.
8 That's where the prescriptions are going to come in.
9 Compare the prices, you'll see.

10 MS. PRESS: I'll briefly highlight the
11 convenience issue, but I want to highlight a
12 slightly different aspect, and that is just access. Most
13 neighborhoods have access to a pharmacy, not all
14 neighborhoods are served by veterinarians. I live in a
15 city and I don't have a car, so getting to the
16 veterinarian is always a little bit of a problem. If I
17 need to refill my prescription every month, it's just a
18 lot easier to do at a local pharmacy. So, access to
19 veterinarians is another issue that affects neighborhoods
20 differently.

21 MS. KOSLOV: Dr. Aspros?

22 DR. ASPROS: I was going to say that we really
23 have very little data, maybe no data to really answer
24 this question. It's really anecdotal, and some of these
25 things sort of make sense, but whether or not there's

1 any truth in any of them is hard to say.

2 MS. KOSLOV: Do any of the panelists have any
3 sense of whether there are differences based on client
4 socioeconomic status in terms of whether they are more
5 or less likely to seek a portable prescription?

6 DR. HAUSER: Not in my practice. In my
7 practice, I certainly have clients that are very cost
8 sensitive, and you can bet those are always the ones
9 that I offer the prescriptions to. And I am surprised
10 that probably about 50 percent of them will look at me
11 and say, you know what, I would just rather get it from
12 you. I would just rather get it while I'm here, I want
13 to get him started on the medication.

14 So, I cannot see a lot of socioeconomic
15 variations, but I should also reference that by the fact
16 that I am in a very stable neighborhood from a
17 socioeconomic point of view.

18 MS. KOSLOV: Did anyone else have any
19 perspectives on that question?

20 MS. PRESS: I'll just say that I don't know if
21 we can link it to socioeconomic status, but some people
22 are savvier shoppers than others. Some people are more
23 assertive than others when it comes to speaking out and
24 being advocates for themselves. So, some people just
25 may be more comfortable asking questions of their

1 veterinarian than others. We like making prescription
2 release automatic, because it just does away with that
3 information disparity, that disparity and comfort.

4 So, again, I can't say it's necessarily linked
5 to socioeconomic status, but there are certainly
6 differences across the board in people's comfort.

7 DR. FOSTER: Can I add something to that? Are
8 we supposed to put our cards up?

9 MS. KOSLOV: Ideally, yes. We're a smaller group
10 and Chris and I placed ourselves in the middle, so we
11 could try and keep track of all of you.

12 DR. FOSTER: I think we're talking about the
13 comfort level of pet owners when they go ask a

14

1 My son is a physician, and has never had a client sign a
2 waiver, by the way.

3 I realize that the AVMA's position is it
4 should be up to the practicing veterinarian to
5 determine that, at least I shouldn't say it's the AVMA's
6 position, I saw that in the Texas association in their
7 letter they wrote to the FTC. What I'm trying to tell
8 you is it can be very intimidating for a consumer to
9 have to do that.

10 We have a file this deep of waivers and
11 complaints, and for routine medications I'm talking
12 about, not chemotherapeutics that are unapproved.
13 Waivers are common then. But there is this
14 intimidating factor, and it ties to the socioeconomic
15 factor because typically the more educated the consumer
16 the more likely they are to question it. If you're a
17 lawyer, or you're a nurse, you're educated, a doctor.
18 Like why do I have to sign this to get Amoxicillin for
19 my dog? Geez, I just filled my prescription for my
20 child and I didn't have to sign anything.

21 I think we've got to clean that up. I'm on the
22 side of the veterinary profession, but I'm also on the
23

For The Record, Inc.

1 client-patient relationship, that's at the point where
2 the drug is prescribed. Now we just have to count the
3 pills and fill it.

4 Yes, pharmacists have some other roles, and in
5 our pharmacy, veterinarians serve some of those roles,
6 too, to speak with a client. But it's not like we're
7 this far apart. Guys, I served some time on the board
8 at Michigan State University. To the best of my
9 knowledge, of the 25 colleges that have pharmacies in
10 their veterinary school, 24 of them have pharmacists in
11 charge, not veterinarians. It's a common thing. Just
12 think about that. Michigan State is one of them.

13 MS. KOSLOV: So, I think with that answer, we
14 have transitioned to what I had called the supply side,
15 I'm talking about how veterinarians respond when clients
16 seek a portable prescription. So, to paraphrase what I
17 think we heard from Adrian Hochstadt's introductory
18 presentation and what we just heard from Dr. Foster, and
19 some of the presentations this morning, it seems as though
20 what we're hearing is that most vets do supply prescriptions
21 upon request. I just wanted to see, would anyone on this
22 panel disagree with that statement or want to clarify
23 that statement?

24 DR. ASPROS: No, I would absolutely agree that
25 AVMA's Principles of Veterinary Medical Ethics requires

1 veterinarians to honor clients' requests. AVMA supports
2 client choice, and I think veterinarians have done a
3 very good job. If they had not done that, I don't think
4 Race Foster would be here, because he wouldn't have a
5 business to represent.

6 DR. FOSTER: I would be selling more live fish.

7 MS. KOSLOV: Looking at it from the vet
8 perspective, one of the other issues we wanted to
9 explore is are there situations where vets proactively
10 might offer prescriptions to their clients on their own
11 initiative as opposed to waiting for a client to request
12 a prescription?

13 Dr. Hauser, is that something that you do?

14 DR. HAUSER: I absolutely do. I would say that
15 95 percent of the medications that I dispense on a daily
16 basis are human generic drugs. So, if I'm aware of
17 significant cost savings, I will absolutely let that
18 client know that there is a cost saving, and do they
19 want to go pick that prescription up. And again, I
20 would say it's about a 50/50 split with my clients.

21 MS. KOSLOV: Dr. Aspros?

22 DR. ASPROS: Yeah, there are drugs that -- and
23 again, I'm speaking for myself, not for AVMA, as a
24 practitioner -- there are drugs that we can't
25 easily stock, because there's just not enough demand for

1 them, and yet there's a need for them on the part of our
2 patients. Those we assertively write prescriptions
3 for our patients.

4 MS. KOSLOV: Ms. Press, do you have any
5 perspectives from the ASPCA's animal hospital
6 perspective?

7 MS. PRESS: Yeah, I mean, our policy is very
8 similar to Dr. Hauser's. When we know that it will
9 result in significant cost savings, we will
10 affirmatively suggest that the prescription be filled
11 elsewhere, and when it will benefit the client and the
12 patient, that's what we do.

13 Certain medicines, we can't do this for. They're
14 not available at retail pharmacies. But yeah, when we
15 know it will help, when we know that there will be a
16 significant cost difference, we will suggest it.

17 MS. KOSLOV: So, go ahead.

18 DR. ASPROS: I also am the managing partner of
19 an emergency clinic and I would say that that's one
20 situation where we don't do that because of the time
21 frame. These are emergent conditions -- it's frequently
22 the middle of the night, on holidays, on weekends. It's
23 important that the patient begin treatment as soon as
24 possible. It's often not easy for the client, or even
25 possible for the client, to fill that prescription in a

1 remarks, every person knows that when I'm handed a piece
2 of paper from my human physician, that gives me the
3 chance to go where I want to fill it where I think is
4 the best for me, whether it be for convenience or
5 economics or whatever the case is. And I don't think
6 anyone will argue that the prices online are generally
7 much lower than in a veterinary clinic.

8 So, if I'm given a prescription every time, my
9 mind changes in the way that I think about how I can
10 access these medications, and I'm now more conscious of
11 the fact that I have different options of where I can go
12 to get a medication filled. I think that change in the
13 consumer mentality will cause a significant shift in
14 where products are being sold when consumers start to be
15 more aware of the market condition they live in.

16 One final point, I do acknowledge and I have
17 sympathy for the fact that if we leave it the way it is,
18 the veterinarian has a stronger influence in the way
19 that a treatment is administered and the way that
20 people get their medications. But it comes with an
21 expense, an expense that will limit the number of pet
22 owners who can seek out -- this is the ASPCA's point of
23 view -- who can seek out and get those medications in
24 the first place.

25 So, is the additional therapeutic value of

1 having your vet so closely administer the release of
2 Heartgard worth the fact that the inefficiencies are keeping
3 the prices so high that far fewer consumers can avail
4 themselves to those treatments?

5 I think we'll discover in the next panel about
6 how that worked with contact lenses, that when prices
7 came down, more consumers started to use contact lenses
8 as prescribed and wouldn't wear them longer and created
9 kind of a better patient health and safety outcome. The
10 same thing will happen here. More dogs will get the
11 treatments they need when they become more affordable,
12 and the value of that oversight I think diminishes the
13 total gain or the total benefit of consumers.

14 MS. KOSLOV: So, we don't want to steal too much
15 thunder from the next panel, which will be discussing
16 the contact lens issue in more detail. I did want to
17 pick up, Nate, on one point that you raised and just
18 open this specific point up in case other panelists have
19 any thoughts on it.

20 So, what economic incentives or other incentives
21 might affect the perspectives that vets might have on
22 providing a written prescription? So, Nate raised the
23 idea of the vet's economic interest. Are there any other
24 points anybody wants to raise on that topic?

25 DR. FOSTER: As I mentioned before, I think the

1 veterinary profession has to get smarter. Guys, we have
2 a profession where drug companies dictate what we do.
3

1 forces dictate. Why don't we just do that?

2 MS. KOSLOV: Dr. Hauser, I think you're anxious
3 to respond.

4 DR. HAUSER: Certainly I am. I have a lot of
5 responses, I am going to hope that more pertinent points
6 will come up a little bit later in the conversation. We
7 have about a half hour left.

8 I have a lot of responses back to what
9 Dr. Foster just said, but I'm going to limit them to the
10 question that was actually asked. What are our concerns
11 that would affect vet perspectives? As a veterinarian
12 that's practiced for 25 years, as a veterinarian that
13 has been I would say 99 percent responsible for
14 deciding, with input from my associates, what goes in my
15 pharmacy, those drugs are selected not based on buyback
16 programs or buy-in programs, and percentage discounts.
17 They're selected because they're the best medications
18 that I can offer my patients, period. So, that was
19 actually very offensive to me, and you can tell.

20 So, to get back to the question at hand,
21 compliance is a huge issue. Safety, especially with
22 diverted drugs. You bet I have my clients sign a waiver
23 if they want to order online, and the reason that I do
24 is because I can't guarantee the safety of those drugs.
25 I love my patients. And I love my clients, and if I

1 wouldn't give that drug to my pet, why in the world
2 would I have them give it to theirs? I'm happy to write
3 the prescription. They're never just handed a waiver
4 and said, hey, fill this out. It's explained to them.
5 I look at that waiver as informed client consent,
6 period.

7 I do like the fact that I feel it releases me
8 from some liability. Mis000 (n)Tj7.8000 0.0000 TD(c.)TjET1. smcm

1 MS. KOSLOV: I'm going to transition us to the
2 next topic, and I have a feeling you'll have an
3 opportunity to raise some other points here. As we were
4 preparing for this panel, we realized that the bottom
5 line question we're really trying to get at with this
6 panel is: how is this pet medications marketplace working
7 right now from the perspective of pet welfare and from
8 the perspective of consumer choice?

9 So, with the particular emphasis on the role
10 that the portability issues play in that, because
11 obviously this morning we talked a lot about the
12 distribution issues come into play. But the bottom line
13 question really is: is the market functioning well today?

14 I would open up that question to anyone here on
15 the panel who wants to try to get at that bottom line
16 question. distribution issues come into play. But the bottom line

1 MR. HINCKLE: Can I comment on that one?

2 MS. KOSLOV: Mr. Hinckle?

3 MR. HINCKLE: I think I probably disagree.
4 It's not necessarily well functioning right now and
5 it's probably going to get worse if there's not
6 prescription portability and some true interchangeable
7 generics. Because as we see more and more, as the
8 companion animal market becomes more lucrative and new
9 drugs come out that are wonderful drugs to help with the
10 quality of life for our pets, but those drugs are going
11 to go off patent sooner or later. When those drugs go
12 off patent, the question is going to be, are consumers
13 going to continue to pay those patent monopoly prices or
14

1 vet and I say, hey, why are you \$16 and they're \$10?

2 And it's a bad example.

3 I should use Heartgard, because Heartgard is an
4 Rx drug. But if I see the price of Heartgard and I see
5 the price of Heartgard in a vet clinic and I say to my
6 vet, I would like the prescription because I would like
7 to go get Heartgard for much less money. Well, okay,
8 then you need to sign this waiver and this consent
9 because all hell is breaking loose out there, this could
10 be bad product, it could be degraded.

11 So, then there's no generics in the market. So,
12 from the consumer perspective, is the market working
13 efficiently when I see the price differences and I'm
14 told by my trusted vet that this is dangerous territory,
15 you've got to sign this consent if I'm going to release
16 a prescription. That doesn't sound like a well-tuned
17 market to me.

18 I think we've all talked about the diversion
19 issue, and have largely vilified it as if it's evidence
20 of it not working correctly. So, this idea that the
21 market is robust and competitive when distribution is
22 limited, that just makes no sense.

23 MS. KOSLOV: Dr. Aspros or Dr. Hauser, does
24 either of you have a perspective on whether
25 veterinarians are responding on price based on any

1 additional competition in the marketplace?

2 DR. ASPROS: I think AVMA does not have data on
3 that. I don't think anybody collects data on that. I
4 can speak from my perspective as a companion animal
5 practitioner, and I would tell you that most of the
6 time, unlike what Nate Smith said, most of the time
7 we're actually cheaper. We're not in business to sell
8 drugs, we're in business to serve clients and our
9 patients, and a lot of the pharmaceuticals that we
10 carry, we carry because it's convenient for clients.

11 We know we need to put patients on medications
12 in order to keep them safe and living longer, and we are
13 aware of the fact that there are lots of other
14 opportunities for clients to obtain prescription
15 medications, and I 00 0.0s15

1 DR. FOSTER: I would encourage you to do your
2 own study on the pricing. Sorry to interrupt. Some are,
3 some aren't.

4 MS. KOSLOV: So, we have two other topics that
5 we're going to try and address in the remaining 20
6 minutes of this program. I'm going to turn it over to
7 Chris to migrate over to those.

8 MR. GRENGS: This morning we heard the topic of
9 qualifications for pharmacists to fill animal
10 medications prescriptions, and this is a topic that's
11 also come up in some of the written comments that we
12 have received and I thought I would ask Professor Blythe
13 if she can give us a quick summary of the types of
14 education and training opportunities that are available
15 to pharmacists during their formal education, and after,
16 when they're practicing, and any other types of
17 supplementary information or training that they might
18 receive.

19 MS. BLYTHE: You bet, Chris.

20 I think in the context of today's discussion,
21 you can take pharmacists and all licensed pharmacists
22 within the continental United States and you can almost
23 divide those out into three different groups. The vast
24 majority, the large majority are pharmacists who do not
25 have any training in veterinary pharmacology or

1 veterinary pharmacy and they typically do not feel
2 comfortable filling those types of prescriptions and
3 frequently they will self-identify as, boy, I don't know
4 on this, I'm not comfortable.

5 You then have kind of a second group of
6 pharmacists who have had access to elective courses
7 within the pharmacy curriculum. They could have been in
8 the form of didactic electives or clinical electives via
9 rotations. So, those types of pharmacists have had
10 opportunities via education while they're in the PharmD
11 program, after they exit the PharmD program, whether it
12 be continuing education courses or other courses that
13 are offered by veterinary organizations, or even more
14 commonly, pharmacy organizations.

15 So, there's a subset of pharmacists who have
16 sought additional training and education. They have an
17 interest in veterinary pharmacy and they are motivated
18 to self-educate, and typically will seek avenues to
19 shadow, consult a veterinarian, and they are typically
20 very proactive in developing positive working

1 veterinary teaching hospital, an online pharmacy, a
2 brick-and-mortar pharmacy that specializes in veterinary
3 pharmaceuticals only or in teaching academia. So,
4 that's an even smaller subset of pharmacists out there.

5 So, certainly groups two and three, I think with
6 education and training and on-the-job training, peer
7 training, can educate each other and they can get to the
8 point where they can safely and confidently field some
9 of your most common chronic and preventative medications
10 used in companion animals, and by that I say largely
11 cats and dogs, much as Dr. Hauser has referenced.

12 So, those are kind of the three, how they shake
13 out.

14 With regards to specific numbers, let me start
15 by saying there is no requirement that a pharmacy
16 student take any type of course in veterinary
17 pharmacy. If they are available, they are entirely
18 elective. So it could be a didactic course in a
19 face-to-face environment, it could be an online course,
20 or it could be a clinical course that they take typically
21 in the fourth year of their pharmacy education and we call
22 it a clinical rotation or an advanced pharmacy practice

1 curriculum. Of those, of the schools that are currently
2 accredited by ACPE, and that is the Accreditation
3 Council of Pharmacy Education, there are 127 accredited
4 pharmacy schools in the United States, of those 102 have
5 full accreditation, 17 have partial accreditation, so
6 they are the newer schools, and then there are two that
7 have pre-accreditation status. But collectively, we
8 have 127 schools that are taking pharmacy students in
9 today in the United States.

10 Of those, to the best of my ability to
11 collect data and knowledge of my peers from being in
12 pharmacy academia for so long, roughly 20 to 25 percent
13 of those schools will have a faculty member on staff who
14 is offering a face-to-face didactic elective in
15 veterinary pharmacy and/or a clinical rotation in
16 veterinary pharmacy for those students.

17 If that is not an option, which is the case for
18 the majority of pharmacy schools in the United States,
19 there is always the option to take online courses in
20 veterinary pharmacy. They are available to everyone
21 within the continental United States, for interested
22 students as well as a continuing education course for
23 practicing pharmacists.

24 So, that's kind of how it shakes out with
25 regards to numbers, what is currently available, and so

1 perhaps that will give some data for a framework to
2 reference here.

3 I can confidently say that ~~000DecnumBey workongses~~
4 in veterinary pharmacy within the schools, whether they
5 be didactic or clinical education experience, has been
6 on the increase in the past ten years. Without
7 question, more schools are recognizing the need to train
8 pharmacists in those types of medications, more schools
9 are embracing faculty to offer those specialty services
10 or have knowledge in that area or their area of
11 expertise. More pharmacy schools are actively working
12 with other stakeholders within the pharmacy profeia60 0.0000 c6he

1 First of all, I think ongoing continuing education is
2 absolutely essential. At our place, at Foster &
3 Smith, we use University of Wisconsin. They have some
4 continuing education classes. The pharmacist's letter
5 also has some that they have taken for CE. I am not
6 going to ever sit here and say that the pharmacists are
7 trained as well as the veterinarians right now, but
8 remember, they're not prescribing, they're dispensing.
9 And there's room for improvement.

10 I think what Elaine said, if pharmacists
11 want to participate in the field of veterinary medicine,
12 it should be mandatory that they have CE, I think, in
13 this field. Just my opinion.

14 MR. GRENGS: And to follow up on that point, are
15 there any other types of best practices that you feel
16 are important in running a pharmacy?

17 DR. FOSTER: I think that the AVMA already has
18 established some of that by their recommendation of a
19 VIPPS-certified pharmacy. And there's I believe 16
20 VIPPS, there might even be more today, certified
21 pharmacies. That's some assurance.

22 That's the best standard that we go by today.
23 Other than that, remember, we're governed by the Board
24 of Pharmacy. And in my case, our pharmacy is licensed
25 by the Board of Pharmacy. The veterinarians work under

For The Record, Inc.

(

1 particular, you can maybe address those as well.

2 DR. ASPROS: Well, I will start out repeating
3 something that we said earlier today, this looks like a
4 solution looking for a problem, in search of a problem.

5 AVMA is unaware of any data, any data, that
6 suggests that there's a problem associated with
7 veterinarians providing written prescriptions that this
8 is a problem that requires a solution, a legislative
9 solution in Congress.

10 If there is any issue, there's certainly no
11 federal recourse required to resolve it. State boards
12 of pharmacy and state boards of veterinary medicine
13 certainly have the tools they need to identify and solve
14 this problem if they decide that there is one.

15 MS. KOSLOV: Ms. Press?

16 MS. PRESS: Yes. So, the ASPCA does support
17 this bill, and we think that there is a federal problem.
18 We think it's also a problem of consumer education. We
19 think both are issues here. Right now, there's no
20 uniform framework to guide consumer expectation, and the
21 benefit of a federal solution is that consumers know
22 what to expect every time that they go to the vet.
23 They're going to walk out with a prescription in hand
24 and they can choose to fill that with a vet or fill that
25 elsewhere. So, there's going to be certainty.

1 So, we do see benefits to a federal solution to
2 this issue.

3 MR. GRENGS: Mr. Hinckle?

4 MR. HINCKLE: Yeah. There definitely is a
5 problem that needs a solution, and again, coming back to
6 American consumers, when Congress passed the Generic
7 Drug Act for animal drugs, it had a reason to believe that
8 eventually they were going to get affordable generic
9 drugs. That's not happening, and I think it's in large
10 part because there's not enough demand because people
11 just don't ask for the prescriptions many times. For
12 whatever their reason may be.

13 That lack of demand means that there's not a
14 market for the generic drugs. We talked about prices
15 are competitive. Well, prices are too high. Prices
16 should be lower. Prices would be lower if we had a
17 robust, generic industry, and it would also be helpful
18 for everyone in the sense that a robust generic industry
19 drives the innovator companies to develop the new
20 generation of products instead of using marketing
21 techniques to continue to evergreen their existing
22 products.

23 So, I kind of keep tooting the horn here, but
24 that's what this industry is missing is a real robust,
25 substitutable generic business.

For The Record, I

1 something you have thought of as well and this also
2 responds to one of the many questions that we received,
3 but I do want to pick up this one in particular. If
4 there is greater prescription portability, how does
5 this affect the financial viability of veterinarian
6 practices? Is this something that you've thought about
7 and would we see a situation where perhaps the price of
8 the medication goes down but the price of services goes
9 up?

10 DR. HAUSER: So, before I answer that, I want to
11 further a little along what Dr. Aspros just said in
12 relation to what Dr. Pion also said this morning.
13 Veterinarians want an equal playing field. The point
14 that needs to be perfectly clear is, at least in
15 Colorado, I am happy to write those prescriptions for my
16 clients. When I have other clients bring in
17 prescriptions from other hospitals, I can't fill them.
18 So, it's not an equal playing field under 1406. Any
19 retail pharmacy, any online pharmacy, and obviously, the
20 VCPR veterinarian will be able to fill those
21 prescriptions. So, I just wanted to clarify that.

22 As far as the economics, they're significant,
23 and make no mistake about it. I love listening to
24 Ms. Press say how lovely it's going to be in this ideal
25 world when pet prescriptions drop and the cost of

1 veterinary care drops. If you own a small animal
2 business -- not small animal business, just a small
3 business -- and you look at losing 17 percent, which is
4 the number we heard today, and that by some accounts is
5 a conservative number, 17 percent of your total gross
6 revenue, how are you going to keep the doors open?
7 You're going to have to increase costs somewhere else.
8 The most likely place is going to be through
9 service-based increases.

10 I had a dialogue with a gentleman earlier this
11 morning. When I sold my practice in 2008, it cost me
12 \$3.75 a minute turnkey cost. I think that was the last
13 time I calculated it. But \$3.75 a minute. So, for every
14 minute that I was open, that's what it cost me, without
15 compensating my doctors. So, that was just the fixed
16 costs, not variable costs like pharmacy.

17 So, if I have a 30-minute office visit, the true
18 cost to have that client in the building is over \$120,
19 and I charged, at that time, actually \$55.

20 So, there's a sharing perspective that goes
21 along to keeping those doors open, and I would love to
22 be seeing a client every single minute that I am in that
23 hospital, but that does not work either. So, you talk
24 about the economics of it, you can't have it both ways.
25 I do not predict -- my personal opinion -- that you will

1 legal or policy issue thasrsa-quires a particular formalTjET1.000

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1 **PANEL THREE**

2 **LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE CONTACT LENS INDUSTRY**

3 MR. GILMAN: Hi, I wonder if people could start
4 to make their way to their seats.

5 So, let's get started. A couple of
6 preliminaries. So, I would like to welcome you all back
7 to this, our third and final panel of the day. I hope
8 it's been an interesting and fruitful day for everyone
9 here. I would like to introduce our panelists to Erin
10 Flynn, who is sitting in front of me, for two reasons:
11 One is Erin is an honors paralegal here at the FTC, she
12 has been terrifically helpful to us in preparing for
13 this workshop, and it's sort of unsung work, and so I
14 would just like to say thank you.

15 (Applause.)

16 MR. GILMAN: For our panelists, I just want to
17 say that Erin will be the timekeeper and enforcer on
18 your brief presentations. She will hold up a little
19 sign warning you when you've got one minute to go, 30
20 seconds to go, and no time whatsoever, and I'll just ask
21 that you sort of look her way as you're going through
22 your presentations. No need to be mindful of her six
23 black belts in different martial arts.

24 So, here we go. This panel could have been
25 titled, "And now for something completely different."

1 We're not going to talk so much directly about animal
2 medicines. We're going to talk about the FTC's
3 experience with and learning about the contact lens
4 industry. The reason for that is that this isn't
5 something wholly different, although there are
6 differences and we want to keep them in mind and ask
7 when and to what extent they're important.

8 So, there are some salient similarities here.
9 This is part of the FTC's general interest in
10 e-commerce, and it's an area where we've got
11 considerable experience in optical goods and contact
12 lenses. There are some common issues. The common
13 prescriber/vendor model, some common competition and
14 consumer protection issues, questions have been raised
15 about restrictions on distribution or what might be seen
16 as private vertical restraints. Prescription release
17 and portability questions have been raised. Consumer
18 credence issues for established and new markets have
19 been raised. Quite a lot of flux in the market is also
20 true.

21 So, we want to explore the basic question, what
22 we've learned about our experience with the contact lens
23 industry and enforcing the FCLCA and the Contact Lens
24 Rule, and whether or to what extent that learning might
25 inform our thinking about issues in this new space.

1 So, to sort of kick this off and to provide some
2 background, we're fortunate to have our colleague Sydney
3 Knight. Sydney is an attorney in the Division of
4 Advertising Practices here at the Federal Trade Commission,
5 that's in our Bureau of Consumer Protection,
6 which is actually charged with the enforcement of the
7 Contact Lens Rule, which is the FTC's implementation of
8 the Fairness to Contact Lens Consumers Act. So, I would
9 like to introduce Sydney and give him an opportunity to
10 provide some framing remarks for our discussion.

11 MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much, Dan.

12 Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Sydney
13 Knight, and as Dan said, I'm an attorney in the Federal
14 Trade Commission's Division of Advertising Practices
15 here in the Bureau of Consumer Protection.

16 Today, I would like to provide you with a brief
17 overview of the Fairness to Contact Lens Consumers Act,
18 and the FTC's implementing regulation known as the
19 Contact Lens Rule.

20 Now, obviously this is mainly background to the
21 main focus of your discussions here today; however, we
22 believe that these measures set forth in this statute
23 could provide some guidance for your consideration. But
24 before I go any further, let me state our usual
25 disclaimer, that my comments today reflect my own views,

1 they do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal
2 Trade Commission or any individual commissioner.

3 The Fairness to Contact Lens Consumers Act was
4 passed by Congress in 2003. Now, it turns out that
5 during the decade that preceded the enactment of that
6 statute, the use of contact lenses had seen a tremendous
7 growth throughout the United States. In fact, in 2003,
8 it was estimated that American consumers were spending
9 approximately \$3.5 billion annually on
10 replacement contact lenses.

11 However, along with this phenomenal growth in
12 the industry, concerns were raised about the lack of
13 competition in the industry. Particularly in light of
14 the prevailing practice at that time where various state
15 laws permitted a prescriber to be the only entity that
16 could fill the prescription.

17 So, to address these concerns, Congress held a
18 series of hearings. Congress then determined that the
19 practice of contact lens prescriptions being filled only
20 by a prescriber resulted in an unnecessary limitation on
21 the consumer's ability to shop for the best price for
22 their contact lenses. So it was that Congress passed
23 the Fairness to Contact Lens Consumers Act to increase
24 competition in the sale of contact lenses and to bring
25 substantial savings to America's consumers and contact

1 lens wearers.

2 So, let's take a look at the specifics of the
3 statute itself. At the very heart of the Fairness to
4

1 for verification. In this instance, if the prescriber
2 does not respond, the statute says that the prescription
3 is deemed verified. Thus, this is clearly a passive
4 verification method whereby the prescriber simply cannot
5 ignore the request for verification and thereby
6 frustrate the wishes of the consumer.

7 Moreover, the act also provides a few other
8 provisions designed to ensure that prescribers do not
9 impose other requirements as a condition of providing or
10 verifying the contact lens prescription. For example,
11 the act also mandates that prescribers may not require
12 the purchase of contact lenses from the prescriber as a
13 condition of release of verification of the prescription.
14

1 of a prescription would be calculated.

2 So, according to the Contact Lens Rule, a
3 business hour is defined as one hour between 9:00 a.m.
4 and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding
5 holidays. So, essentially, if a verification request is
6 received at 4:00 p.m., the clock stops running at 5:00
7 p.m., and then will continue running at 9:00 a.m. the
8 next business day. Therefore, it's not 24 hours, eight
9 hours whenever. It has to be within those business
10 hours, 9:00 to 5:00, except the FTC also allowed a
11 business hour to include a prescriber's regular business
12 hours on Saturdays, if the seller has actual knowledge
13 that the prescriber has Saturday hours. So, if the
14 prescription comes in at 4:00 p.m. on Friday, and the
15 prescriber has Saturday hours, then those hours count
16 towards the eight hours.

17 Another important provision of the Contact Lens
18 Rule specifies that sellers of contact lenses maintain
19 certain types of records, including the seller's
20 verification requests. Such recordkeeping
21 provisions provide the FTC with an opportunity to
22 investigate whether there has been a rule violation by
23 the seller, and in some instances to seek civil
24 penalties for such violations.

25 Pursuant to the FTC's enforcement authority,

1 the FTC has investigated and brought a number of cases
2 under the Contact Lens Rule. In fact, since the
3 issuance of the Contact Lens Rule in 2004, the FTC
4 has brought ten different enforcement actions against
5 various individuals and entities. Here's a list of
6 those cases, and they can all be found on the FTC's
7 website.

8 Now, I won't go into the details of every
9 individual case, but just to give you a sense, our
10 settlement orders have generally provided injunctive
11 relief which, for example, would prohibit the seller
12 from selling contact lenses without obtaining a
13 prescription from a consumer. It would also prohibit
14 the seller from selling contact lenses without verifying
15 the prescriptions first, by communicating directly with
16 the prescriber. It would also prohibit the seller from
17 failing to maintain records of prescriptions and
18 verifications. As I said, in some instances, we have
19 actually obtained civil penalties from some of these
20 sellers.

21 Finally, I would like to point out to you some
22 additional resources about the Contact Lens Rule that
23 are available from the FTC. The FTC has some online
24 resources available, one publication known as The
25 Contact Lens Rule: A Guide for Prescribers and Sellers,

1 and as you can see, it's available on the FTC's website.
2 We also have another very important brochure that
3 provides Qs and As for how do you comply with the
4

For The

1 will speak a lot more about that.

2 What's important is in that settlement, the
3 parties eventually settled with the manufacturers,
4 agreeing to abandon their restrictive policies on
5 distribution, and the AOA agreed that it shall not make
6 claims that ocular health is impacted by the channel
7 from which consumers purchase their replacement lenses.

8 Also in 2002, the FTC staff testified in a
9 regulatory proceeding in Connecticut. The FTC suggested
10 that passive verification was the correct system to
11 settle the conflict of interest between an eye doctor
12 who also sells what he prescribes, and an outside
1300 480.6000 TD()Tj0.0000.004r The FTC suso sectount 1 inhow Tete.00u3.

1 Thank you.

2 MR. GILMAN: Thanks, Joe.

3 Our next panelist is Dr. Clarke Newman, a fellow
4 in the American Academy of Optometry, and a long-time
5 member of the American Optometric Association.

6 DR. NEWMAN: Thank you for allowing me to attend
7 and to address the FTC workshop.

8 I am a doctor of optometry and I have been a
9 contact lens specialist in private practice in Dallas,
10 Texas for 27 years. I have been asked by the American
11 Optometric Association, or the AOA, to address the
12 optometric experience with the Fairness to Contact Lens
13 Consumers Act, and I'll call it the Lens Act for short.

14 I also cite the official position of the AOA is
15 contained in the letter to the FTC by Dr. Robert Jordan,
16 chair of the AOA Federal Relations Committee, and I
17 incorporate those comments here as I expand on some key
18 points. I have provided expanded written remarks, since
19 time is short.

20 Our experience with the Lens Act, I think, is
21 quite instructive for all pet medication stakeholders,
22 legislators and regulators as they consider the passage
23 and the promulgation of rules under the Fairness to Pet
24 Owners Act of 2011, which I'll refer to as the Pet Act.

25 The Lens Act was a very good thing for the

For The

1 skeptically unless one can provide substantive evidence
2 of health care issues related to sale of prescription
3 products by alternative sellers, and I certainly agree
4 with that.

5 We now have that evidence and it is compelling.
6 In a 2008 study, a large prospective population
7 surveillance study was published in one of the most
8 respected peer-reviewed ophthalmological journals by a
9 group of highly respected researchers in eye care, led
10 by Dr. Fiona Stapleton. Since the annualized incidents
11

1 based on multivariate analysis controlled for wearer
2 demographics and lens wearer modality. We have found
3 these findings to be fairly robust.

4 Further, in 2010, Yvonne Wu, Nicole Carnt and
5 Dr. Stapleton published data that shows a significant
6 difference in the after care awareness of those who
7 purchase their lenses from alternative channels of
8 distribution. We find that compliance with contact lens
9 care recommendations is low, ranging from 59 percent
10 down to nine percent.

11 In 2008, Fogel and Zidile found that Internet
12 purchasers were more likely to engage in harmful eye
13 care practices and to trust non-evidence-based
14 information found on the Internet rather than seeking
15 out the best practices as recommended by their
16 prescriber. Only two-thirds of the sellers ask for
17 prescriptions. Three out of four ordered lenses even
18 though they knew their prescription was expired. Three
19 out of four Internet purchasers did not have annual eye
20 exams, while three out of four who purchased them from
21 their provider did have annual eye exams.

22 I really don't have a dog in the pet fight,
23 that's a bad pun, I know, but I think it's important not to
24 make the same mistakes when contemplating what to do with
25 the Pet Act. Since we are dealings with drugs that have

1 significant potential harm, even when used correctly,
2 and since the end-consumers of these medications cannot
3 advocate for themselves, it would be far better to err
4 on the side of patient protection than consumer
5 protection. That is the lesson one should take from the
6 Lens Act experience.

7 Knowing what we know now about the increased
8 risk of alternative channels of distribution for
9 disposable contact lenses, more respect should be given
10 to preventing needless injury to the public while
11 crafting any law or regulation aimed at protecting
12 consumer rights.

13 Thank you very much.

14 MR. GILMAN: Thanks.

15 So, our next speaker is Bob Hubbard. Bob is
16 assistant attorney general in the Antitrust Bureau of
17 the New York State Attorney General's Office, a position
18 he has held since 1987.

19 MR. HUBBARD: Hi, good afternoon, pleased to be
20 here. I was pleased that Sydney finally said the
21 disclaimer that I thought always was here, I speak only
22 for myself and not for any state.

23 I had the opportunity to prepare a statement and
24 it goes into a lot more detail about the history of how
25 states dealt with contact lenses. I had the pleasure of

1 being the chair of the Plaintiff States Steering
2 Committee in the contact lens litigation that consumed
3 about eight years of my life. So, this is somewhat like
4 going to a high school reunion for me, you know, these
5 themes coming back that I thought I had moved beyond.

6 But it is very interesting and I found this very
7 thought-provoking and I appreciate the invitation and
8 the opportunity.

9 Now, the Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust
10 Litigation was an antitrust claim. I think that what
11 we're talking about here is a legislative fix that if it
12 were an antitrust violation, we wouldn't be talking
13 about this. We would be talking about whether there was
14 enough enforcement and stuff. But in contact lens, they
15 did a whole lot more than what you've heard about here.

16 The AOA and the practitioners had something we
17 call the supply restraint that go to the contact lens
18 manufacturers. They say: "We know how to write
19 prescriptions, we know that we can limit the prescriptions
20 so that only J&J lenses are sold. We can limit them to
21 only Bausch & Lomb lenses if you'd like. So, because you
22 know we have that power, we don't want you to sell to
23 1-800 anymore." And they reached an agreement. They
24 were pretty blatant about those kind of things.

25 In addition to that, they had something that we

1 labeled the demand restraint. The optometrists knew
2 that the power over prescriptions gave them a
3 competitive advantage. They knew that as soon as a
4 consumer had a prescription, there were things that that
5 consumer could do with that prescription. And so they
6 did things to prevent, as some of the documents talked
7 about, the prescription from walking out the door. So,
8 they had training films about how to prevent the consumers
9 from asking. They had these forms that if you signed it
10 you thought that your firstborn was going to be committed
11 for the rest of your life. There were all sorts of very
12 burdensome requirements and the disclaimers and other
13 things that restricted the demand for using alternatives
14 that we challenged in the disposable contact lens
15 litigation. We went all the way to five weeks of trial.
16 We settled. We got the kind of stuff that Joe mentioned,
17 sort of in passing, and I go through in more detail in my
18 statement, more of that information.

19 But even after we had finished all of that, we
20 didn't think we were done, because one of the things
21 that happened was that the prescription gave a power to
22 the prescriber that you usually don't have in
23

1 happen individually within an individual optometrist or
2 an individual ophthalmologist.

3 So, we thought that it was important to make
4 sure that the prescriptions got released. We urged the
5 FTC in 1997, just after we had filed, in December of
6 '96, to extend the Eyeglass Rule to contact lenses. We
7 thought that contact lenses had become manufactured in
8 an easy, replicable way. No longer did you
9 individually fit the lens on the eye. They were a
10 replacement, you replaced them much more frequently than
11 otherwise. We argued that the rule ought to be extended
12 to contact lenses. We were happy that the FTC didn't
13 rescind the Eyeglass Rule, but they did not extend it to
14 contact lenses.

15 So, the effort went to legislation, and we wrote
16 letters in support of legislation, and we wrote

1 that prescribing and dispensing were two separate

1 wholesalers, lawyers, realtors, undertakers,
2 optometrists, and now veterinarians.

3 My favorite of all time was when I debated the
4 head of the Texas Car Dealers Association at the
5 National Conference of State Legislators who told me if
6 you bought a car over the Internet from a producer, that
7 you would get ripped off, unlike when you buy it from a
8 car dealer.

9 They engage in this through three principal ways.
10 One is collusion with producers. Bob talked eloquently
11 about that. The second is limiting access to key resources.
12 We've heard about that with prescriptions, and that's in
13 theory what the 2003 Contact Lens Rule was designed to
14 do. But I say designed because as late as 2007 in
15 Contact Lens Spectrum Magazine, a professional magazine
16 for optometrists who surveyed optometrists and found
17 that in 2007, "Despite this federal legislation, only
18 half of the respondents replied yes to every patient
19 when asked if they release contact lens prescriptions,
20 even though they're required by law," which makes you
21 wonder not only their ethics, but their intelligence for
22 why they would answer a question that is illegal to take

1 of an array of laws, including state laws requiring
2 face-to-face transactions, limited sales, et cetera.

3 So, what can we learn from FCLCA? I think
4 several things. One is that we learned that
5 optometrists would oppose any threat to their business
6 model and do virtually anything and say anything to keep
7 their business model intact. We can also learn that
8 ultimately optometrists benefitted from this law because
9 of the change in the examination rule. Third, we can
10 learn that really despite what you've heard, there's
11 very little evidence of adverse health impacts.

12 The study that was cited here earlier, the Fogel
13 and the Zidile study, which we have an article in there
14 rebutting, is really a study when you look at it, that
15 it's just chock full of methodological errors. It's not
16 a study that would pass a rigorous statistical journal
17 for peer review. I'm not going to go into detail on
18 that.

19 The other one that we heard about, the
20 Australian study that had multivariate analysis, which
21 if you look at that, that fourfold increase, what that
22 is a fourfold increase of has two problems. One is that
23 the increase is very, very small. So, it might be a
24 fourfold increase, but it's off of a base that is
25 incredibly minute. The biggest risk in that study is

1 sleeping with your contact lenses on all night, that's
2 the giant risk. The teeny little risk is this other
3 one.

4 Secondly, I'll just mention this Australian
5 study, which the AOA representative cited, the study
6 says, "The risks associated with Internet mail order
7 purchase may be related to contact lens care attitudes
8 and behavior, not Internet sales." So, in other words,
9 they haven't controlled for that and they admit that in
10 the study.

11 Now, the other argument you will hear is that we
12 don't, and James may make this argument, that even with
13 the passage of this law, we haven't seen significant
14 consumer benefits, that essentially the market is the
15 same way it was, and that the contact lens providers
16 have not lowered their prices. James Cooper has written
17 a study on this, which he may talk about, but let me
18 just comment on the study.

19 One of the things that James did in his study,
20 he looked at 2004 as the base year, and 2007 as the
21 final year. The big problem with that is in 2004, the
22 act was already in existence. So what he was trying to
23 look at is did optometry prices, getting your lenses from
24 optometrists, did they actually go down relative to online
25 over this period? But it was actually after the law was

1 passed, so you would expect a price impact right away,
2 not later.

3 The second problem is that the base year, the
4 end year, 2007, which we haven't talked about, was still
5 right around the time that the CooperVision restrictions
6 were in place, and CooperVision was not under this AG
7 restraint. They were able to sell and basically sell
8 lenses to optometrists that were doctors only. So, they
9 would prescribe this lens, you simply couldn't buy it
10 anywhere else. Luckily, they've been stopped, they have
11 stopped doing that.

12 Just anecdotally, by the way, a sample of one,
13 if you go out to Montgomery Mall and you go to
14 LensCrafters, I took this picture last night, I'm sure
15 you can all see it, but basically what it says, and I'm
16 happy to give you a copy, basically it's a doctor there
17 providing a little price description, and it says his
18 prices or her prices are lower than 1-800 and Walmart.
19 So, actually what it says is 1-800 and Walmart prices,
20 and then Dr. Solomon's prices. It appears to me that that
21 doctor is competing on the basis of price with Walmart and
22 1-800-Contacts and is trying to tell his or her customers,
23 yeah, I'm going to compete on price and you should buy
24 here.

25 Now, let's just say hypothetically that that's

1 what's going on. That, to me, is pro-consumer and
2 suggests that consumers have benefitted from the law, and
3 I would suggest that consumers would benefit from a pet
4 meds law as well.

1 because of this, really not very many, to be honest,
2 this is it.

3 In my very limited time, what I want to talk
4 about here is I have done some empirical work. Some of
5 it comes off of the Contact Lens Report, where we did
6 gather some data, and then on my own, after that, I
7 gathered some more data. So, one paper that I have right
8 now, it's
9 currently a working paper, it's under review at a
10 journal, we'll see what happens, I'll keep you posted if
11 you're interested, but it is to see if the prescription
12 release requirement, how that affected prices.

13 I'll go forward with the punchline is I don't
14 really find any evidence, but my takeaway from that
15 isn't that it was a bad idea or that consumers didn't
16 benefit. So, the methodology of the study is I did look
17 at prices, we collected for the contact lens study in
18 2004, that was about a month after the Contact Lens
19 Rule, the act passed, but it didn't go into effect until
20 the Contact Lens Rule. It's a weakness in the study and
21 it's front and center in the report. I devote about
22 three pages discussing it in the caveats of the data.

23 However, then we go back in 2007 and collect
24 data. So, the idea that if about a month after the
25 Contact Lens Rule went into effect requiring

1 prescription release, you wouldn't see all the effects
2 right away, and so you go back three, three-and-a-half
3 years later, see how the market has changed. I won't go
4 into the pretty rigorous methodology, and what I find is
5 really no effect on price. On average, there isn't any
6 effect on price.

7 If there's anything that you can tease out of
8 the data, it's one, that when places like LensCrafters
9 and Pearl Vision, the optical chains, their prices
10 actually rose over the time period, vis-a-vis online,
11 the gap. So, what I'm measuring is the gap between
12 online and offline. If prescription portability worked
13 and the idea was that they would compete more vigorously,
14 you would expect to see the price gaps narrow. The gap
15 between warehouse clubs and online maybe shrunk a
16 little.

17 So, but overall, you don't see much of a change.

18 I'm quickly running out of time. So, I will
19 skip through to another little bit of empirical work I
20 did in 2007 looking at the limited distribution
21 strategies, and as alluded to already through Coopervision,
22 lenses that have limited distribution, I did some
23 empirical work there. I didn't really find that the
24 margins or prices of those limited distributed lenses
25 were statistically distinguishable from other lenses

1 like Acuvue, et cetera, that were not limitedly
2 distributed.

3 So, I hope we talk more about this in the panel,
4 is prescription release, why do we not see a market
5 effect? Maybe doctors aren't obeying the rule, that's
6 one possibility. The other is something called ordered
7 search, where search is costly and consumers are already
8 there, and they think, okay, I'm going to buy from the
9 first price draw I have, and doctors take advantage of
10 that. They know it's costly to go and find something,
11 so they charge a premium for that.

12 Limited distribution, I would just make the
13 point here that there is a presumption in the antitrust
14 laws that vertical restraints, both price and non-price,
15 are efficient. The burden is on the moving party to
16 show why they're inefficient. So, I think that's a
17 pretty high burden. We should make sure to distinguish
18

1 thank the Federal Trade Commission for this opportunity.

2 I have been asked to speak to the similarities
3 and differences between the contact lens and pet
4 medications industries from my perspective as a
5 practicing veterinarian. Both eye care professionals
6 and veterinarians prescribe and dispense products for long-
7 term use in their patients.

8 These products, contact lenses for people, and
9 parasite control medications for pets, are typically
10 sold in six-month supplies. However, these medications
11 represent a minority of those prescribed by
12 veterinarians. Most medications are acute short-term
13 care medications and are much more varied in form,
14 function and efficacy than contact lenses.

15 In addition, the potential for and severity of
16 side effects associated with pet medications is much
17 greater than with contact lenses. For example, the most
18 commonly prescribed oral flea control medication and the
19 most commonly prescribed treatment for mange will often
20 cause a life-threatening side effect if administered to
21 a dog within days of each other.

22 Further, some medications can be life-saving in
23 one species and life-threatening for another, or even
24 another breed within the same species.

25 While both large and small animal practice

1 entities exist among eye care professionals and small
2 animal veterinarians, the vast majority of pet practices
3 are very small businesses and tend to be less profitable
4 and less sophisticated from a business perspective than
5 eye care professionals. Accordingly, veterinary practices
6 are less able to absorb the expense and management effort
7 associated with any additional regulatory burden without
8 passing the additional costs on to consumers.

9 The veterinary profession is currently
10 experiencing numerous economic challenges. While these
11 challenges intensify during the recession, they
12 certainly predate the downturn in the U.S. economy and
13 will persist even as the overall economy improves.
14 Included among these are the progressive margin compression
15 on veterinary medications that spans more than a decade.
16 While this has reduced the profitability of veterinary
17 practices, it has been beneficial to consumers in that it
18 has reduced the cost of pet medications and it is an
19 example of successful function of the free market.

20 Today, the mark-up for the most commonly
21 prescribed parasite control medication in my practice is
22 about half of what it was ten years ago, even though
23 there is still no generic competition for that
24 medication.

25 As I understand it, the price competition among

1 effective in the pricing of these medications within
2 most veterinary practices as set based on the prices
3

1 Importantly, veterinarians may appropriately use
2

1 medicine, however, is very large, includes the
2 diagnosis, prevention, control and treatment of all
3 animal diseases and conditions. In the course of such
4 practice, most companion animal veterinary hospitals are
5 analogous to human hospitals, providing inpatient,
6 outpatient and emergency care, surgical, medical imaging

1 critical role, matching the correct product with the
2 correct patient is important for many products and
3 extends beyond just prescription products to encompass
4 other types of animal health products, particularly as
5 veterinarians frequently and appropriately use them in a
6 manner that differs from their approved labeling, such
7 as treating a different species, using a different
8 dosage regimen or a different indication for use.

9 In this environment, the veterinarian is the

1 You said that there was an increase in eye exams
2 and that's not true. Among those that purchased their
3 lenses, three out of four don't have annual exams,
4 whereas three out of four who purchased lenses from the
5 provider do have annual exams. Okay.

6 MR. SCHRAG: If the moderator can break in, it
7 sounds as though we maybe should start under the broad
8 overarching question that people will have a reaction
9 to, which is have consumers benefitted from the FCLCA,
10 Fairness to Contact Lens Consumers Act, the associated
11 Contact Lens Rule and the distribution changes that were
12 brought about by the state attorneys general lawsuit.
13 So, why don't we just open with a general round table on
14 have consumers benefitted.

15 Dr. Newman?

16 DR. NEWMAN: I'm surprised to hear the data
17 about the lens cost because I thought it went down, and
18 see that's the neat thing about research is we can think
19 whatever we want, but the data tells us otherwise, and
20 provides us with inconvenient truths.

21 One other thing: We're not required to release
22 every prescription. There are a lot of us that
23 prescribe rigid contact lenses that are custom
24 prescribed, and so those numbers are not ever going to
25 be 100 percent on the surveys of whether we release

1 prescriptions or not.

2 But if you say that, I mean, I think that
3 patients have their prescriptions, but if the cost isn't
4 going down, and we're seeing morbidity that's isolated
5 on a multivariate analysis to this particular group that
6 purchase lenses from alternative distribution channels,
7 have we helped the public or not? That's a good
8 question.

9 MR. SCHRAG: Well, thank you for your comment.
10 Why don't we just move down the line. First,
11 Joe Zeidner, please.

12 MR. ZEIDNER: Thank you. I know from our point
13 of view, the passage of a law, we did a test in Texas
14 and in California. In California, people were able to
15 purchase through passive verification. There was a law
16 that passed in California before the Federal law passed,
17 and passive verification means you don't have to get a
18 copy of your prescription from your doctor, that the
19 seller will contact the doctor and verify if the
20 information is correct. Then the doctor can choose if
21 he wants to get back to us or not. If there's a
22 problem, we hope he gets back to us and lets us know.

23 In Texas, we had an agreement with the Texas
24 Optometric Association, and they said that if we would
25 agree to wait to get a copy of the prescription, they

1 would make sure that all the doctors gave us a copy of
2 the prescription when we requested. They didn't. There
3 are over 60,000 complaints filed with the Texas Optometric
4 Board and they said, we're sorry, we told our doctors to.
5 So, there is definitely a problem.

6 W0 0.1a 2Co TD(W0 0.1a 2Co TD(W0 0.1a

1 What I'm saying is that when a surgeon recommends a
2 surgery, there is still a profit motive in place and
3 there are a lot of people, in fact there was a whole
4 thing, a whole study about this just released recently
5 about this whole health care paradigm being a
6 fee-for-service. You know, we don't want you to die, we
7 don't want you to get well, we need a whole new system.

8 MR. ZEIDNER: But they don't sell prescriptions
9 to the people.

10 DR. NEWMAN: Well, let's take an example.
11 Ophthalmologist says you need cataract surgery. Well,
12 the intraocular lens comes in a box, it's packaged in a
13 commodity way. Why are we not requiring the
14 ophthalmologist to allow the patient to shop for their
15 intraocular lens before they have their cataract
16 surgery? Heart stents are the same way. This notion that
17 because it's packaged and can be put at the front desk
18 of a Walmart or Walgreens for sale somehow changes
19 the ethics of the whole thing is not true.

20 That was my point, is that we have an ethical
21 construct to prescribe and to dispense products, whether
22 they're eyeglasses, contact lenses or whatever, in an
23 ethical manner, just like the veterinarians do, and just
24 like general physicians do, just like dentists do.
25 There's really no distinction.

1 What I objected to was the false distinction
2 that we are somehow different from everybody else, and
3 we're not. That's what the point I was trying to make.

4 MR. SCHRAG: So, now maybe Bob Hubbard would
5 like to react.

6 MR. HUBBARD: Yeah. No, I mean, this really
7 does bring back memories for me and I remember when the
8 testimony on the legislation was going on, similar
9 fights were going on, and I was sort of sitting in the
10 middle, and I tried to represent consumers as best I
11 can.

12 So, I want to give as many alternatives as I can
13 to consumers, and the portability of the prescription is
14 one thing that that does. If there is an adverse health
15 consequence, that's something that the regulatory system
16 should address, and that should be discussed with
17 evidence, and we should go forward from there.

18 So, I think that the better alternatives
19 available to consumers are what's better, and in terms
20 of like if everything is broken, so let's not fix what
21 we can see that's broken, I've never particularly liked
22 that idea. When people come in and say that everybody
23 in the industry is doing it, I say, I'm open to evidence
24 about your competitors. I'm willing to name them as a
25 defendant, also, if you'd like.

1 the study could be right, could be wrong, it looks like
2 there are some problems, but we don't know. That's the
3 key point, we don't know.

4 But let's just say hypothetically there is a
5 risk of instead of one in 10,000 it goes to one in
6 8,000, but at the same time, consumers have saved \$8
7 billion. Is that worth it? Any federal cost benefit
8 analysis would tell you that is definitely worth it.

9 So, the notion that there may be risk, and
10 again, I don't claim that there is, we don't know if
11 there's any risk. To say that that is the objective
12 standard for whether this is a good thing or a bad
13 thing, you cannot look at risk without looking at
14 benefit.

15 Now, to get to the benefit point, just a couple
16 of points on James' study. One of the things that James
17 did is he looked at basically the control group in his
18 study was online sellers. So, he looked at the ratio of
19 the changes with a various group of different sellers --
20

1 say that I think Rob and I actually agree in general. I
2 mean, again, I went into this online, off, looking at
3 the 2004 to 2007 comparison, completely agnostic, not to
4 prove a point one way or the other, just what happened.
5 We did this, let's see what happened to the prices. I
6 think I do a pretty good study.

7 Again the caveat with the 2004, I admit that, I
8 wish we could go back in time, but I didn't have RAs or
9 anyone in the FTC willing to collect data for me until
10 the fall of 2004. So, again, the Contact Lens Rule is
11 what was the triggering event and that went into effect
12 in October or September of 2004, and we collected data
13 starting in October.

14 So, we did miss a month. I'm doubtful that all
15 the price change, if there were increased competition,
16 occurred in that one month. We came back three-and-a-half
17 years later with the exact same lenses, exact same
18 eye care practitioners. So it's a matched sample from
19 both.

20 So, I think to the extent, given the caveats,
21 the data is pretty well done. With respect to the 2007
22 end date, I know this is kind of getting into the weeds,
23 but the Proclear compatible. Number one, the
24 econometrics I use, I have what's called a
25 lens-specific, it's fixed effects. So, I have a little

1

1 time, there could be costs to that.

2 If, as Rob has pointed out, back to the contact
3 lens, if the costs were minuscule and increased the risk
4 of this micro -- this eye disease, compare that to what
5 consumers may have saved, then I think that that cost
6 benefit analysis, if those n.00gefit an1.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.

1 in listening to this discussion, I can tell you that our
2 industry is about providing useful tools that can be
3 used by veterinarians in the delivery of health care. A
4 cost benefit analysis for us to say, well, gee, we only
5 had a little bit of increase in adverse events, but we
6 saved some money, those aren't the types of analysis
7 that are important to veterinary practitioners. We're
8 about providing patient care, not worrying about just, oh,
9 there's only a small amount of the increase of adverse
10 events that could be prevented.

11 The other part of this that I heard that I
12 wanted to comment on is there's a lot of touting of the
13 online outlet for these products. To contrast that with
14 the pharmaceutical world or the animal health products
15 world, concurrent with the planning and preparation for
16 this workshop, the Food and Drug Administration has
17 undertaken a consumer awareness program warning them
18 that approximately 97 percent of online pharmacies don't
19 comply with state or federal law.

20 So, it's not like this is just an innocuous
21 alternative way to provide product to the consumer, and
22 there's just a lot of differences, I think, in a very
23 standardized product that's being dispensed versus
24 products being used in a myriad of different ways.

25 MR. SCHRAG: Thank you, Mr. McClure.

1 Dr. Newman?

2 DR. NEWMAN: Just a couple of things, I don't
3 want to get off in a ditch on this thing, but the
4 Journal of the American Optometric Association is a
5 peer-reviewed journal, all three of these articles were
6 written by academics, reviewed by academics and
7 corrected by academics, and the comment that this is
8 a very rare finding and that a four, almost five times
9 increase among this one group that has been controlled
10 in multivariate analysis, I think is a disservice to the
11 public when we're bean counting relative to the cost
12 savings.

13 Ford bean counters did that with the Pinto and
14 it didn't work. If your kid was one of the 13 percent
15 that had permanent vision loss associated with microbial
16 keratitis, how many billions of dollars would you be
17 willing to trade for that? It ain't rare if it's in
18 your chair. Yes, these are not widespread events, but
19 they're catastrophic events when they do occur.

20 MR. SCHRAG: Thank you, Dr. Newman.

21 Joe Zeidner?

22 MR. ZEIDNER: Yeah. Just to answer your
23 question about how things have changed, I have a slide,
24 a couple of slides I was going to add to my presentation
25 but ran out of time, but it talks a little bit about the

1 price comparison in today's dollars, and since we sell
2 more contact lenses than anyone, it might be
3 instructive, but really, prices have gone down quite a
4 bit.

5 If you want to put it up, just for an example,
6 the most popular, Acuvue 2 in 2004 in the FTC study was
7 \$19.95, our price to consumers. In constant dollars in
8 2012, it's now \$24.83. Our current pricing is \$18.99 or
9 \$20.99 if you buy just one box. So, prices have
10 definitely gone down.

11 The most important area, I think, is in 2003, as
12 indicated in our product brochure, we sold 37 different
13 brands and types of disposable lenses. Today there are
14 91 different types and brands, and there has been a lot
15 of manufacturer research and development. There's all
16 kinds of new polymers, more safe polymers that people
17 can sleep in, silicon hydrogels that are more
18 comfortable and have a higher oxygen permeability, and
19 that's what happens in the competitive marketplace when
20 manufacturers have to market the products based on what
21 the products do instead of who sells it. So, we think
22 that there have been some very big differences.

23 MR. SCHRAG: Thank you.

24 DR. NEWMAN: One quick comment?

25 MR. SCHRAG: Yes, Dr. Newman?

1 DR. NEWMAN: Yes, real quick. That may be true
2 that you guys are selling a lot more lenses, but a lot
3 of that owes to the fact that a lot of those lenses
4 weren't available when the Lens Act first came up.

5 One other point is in the Stapleton study, there
6 was no difference in the rates of problems with the
7 silicon hydrogels versus the regular hydrogels.

8 MR. ZEIDNER: No, I think that's why there are
9 more lenses, because of the act, and that's right. They
10 did not exist then, and I believe it's because of the
11 competition that we have more now.

12 MR. GILMAN: Thank you.

13 We're having a very useful discussion, I would
14 like to make time for a couple of the questions that
15 we've gotten from the audience. One of them from a
16 couple of sources really sort of has two components, and
17 I think points both to similarities and differences
18 here.

19 It's a question both about the full range of
20 pharmaceutical products that might be prescribed to
21 non-human animals, to pets, but also highlights, I
22 think, and how much more complex maybe that is than the
23 contact lens issue, but we talk not just about
24 prescription release here, but about restrictions on
25 distribution, and the question also asks whether we

1 would really want the same treatment for EPA-regulated
2 products, for over-the-counter products.

3 So, I guess I would like to ask panelists, now I
4 know we have a very few vets here, but we do have
5 veterinarian representation on the panel, and I guess if
6 we could circle back, I would like to ask whether, on
7 behalf of some others, whether that might be a decent
8 fit and whether we can think of a good medical or
9 business reasons for restrictions on distribution, not
10 on the full range of animal medicines, but for
11 EPA-regulated, over-the-counter products.

12 Dr. Welborn?

13 DR. WELBORN: I'll weigh in on that. Actually,
14 this was a subject that I wanted to bring up, based on
15 some of the comments that Mr. Zeidner made. He
16 mentioned that there were 60,000 complaints to the Texas
17 Optometric Board about individuals' eye care
18 professionals that were not releasing prescriptions, and
19 my question was, how many complaints have been received
20 from consumers about veterinarians not releasing
21 prescriptions, but that's sort of the corollary. The
22 number that sort of struck me that's somewhere close to
23 60,000 was 44,000, that was the number of complaints
24 that the EPA received in one year related to consumer
25 concerns about adverse events related to

1 over-the-counter flea and tick control products that at
2 one point in time were distributed predominantly through
3 veterinarians, and now are to a large degree distributed
4 through other outlets.

5 One questions whether or not that number of
6 complaints about side effects for those medications
7 would have occurred had those products been continued to
8 be distributed predominantly through veterinarians. The
9 most common adverse event was related to applying a dog
10 product on a cat, which can be life-threatening for
11 cats. That is very unlikely to happen if the
12 veterinarian is dispensing the product because the
13 instruction on the use of the product is fairly
14 straightforward in that regard, whereas if it's
15 purchased from another outlet, there's typically no
16 guidance in the use of the product at all.

17 MR. GILMAN: Doctor, can I ask just a follow-up
18 question? I mean, one thing we know from the human side
19 is that highly trained professionals -- for instance in a
20 hospital setting, physicians, pharmacists, nurses --
21 dispensing human medicines all within the building have
22 certain incidents -- maybe some find it alarming; the
23 Institute of Medicine has found it alarming -- of
24 medication errors leading to serious adverse events.

25 I guess that raises the question, these all seem

1 to be serious safety concerns we might have about one or
2 another channel of distribution, but I guess one
3 question I would ask is how good is the information, how
4 good are the data, what do we really know about the
5 incidence of adverse events or medication errors
6 associated with sort of the traditional what's sometimes
7 called ethical channel of distribution?
8

(301) For The Record, Inc.

1 know for considering policy interventions in the
2 veterinary space.

3 Dr. Newman?

4 DR. NEWMAN: First off to address the
5 differences between Australia and the United States. In
6 Dr. Stapleton's paper, she addresses that up front.
7 There are several large-scale epidemiological studies
8 regarding the incidence of this one thing that we focus
9 on, microbial keratitis, which is the worst of things
10 that can happen with contact lenses, but there are a
11 whole bunch of other minor complications that can happen
12 across the board, and I would like to see data done on
13 those elements relative to the mode of distribution and
14 controlled well in multivariate analysis.

15 In the Stapleton study, her data was very, very
16 consistent and correlated very well with the Poggio and
17 Schein studies relative to the risk of microbial
18 keratitis. So, the inference from that was that things
19 are not that different in Australia versus the United
20 States.

21 So, I think we can compare those studies, but it
22 would be nice to see that exact same study done in the
23 United States as well. I would like to see the same
24 type of multivariate analysis that parses out these
25 defects in large scale studies done for not only

1 microbial keratitis, but also for some of the minor
2 complications that we see in eye care.

3 MR. GILMAN: James?

4 MR. COOPER: First I would like to have a time
5 machine and go back to, say, August of 2004. So, that's
6 wish number one. But leaving us and staying in the
7 realm of reality, I think one thing that's unclear, my
8 results suggest that I have to look indirectly. I'm
9 looking at competition, so I'm looking at price. The
10 price gap between online and offline, but it would be
11 interesting to have direct evidence on kind of
12 microdata, what are consumers actually doing at
13 prescribers, have a sample of prescribers that you
14 follow the prices they're charging.

15 One thing I can't rule out is I don't find an
16 effect. I kind of assume that there's a law and people
17 are following it, but listening to this panel, it sounds
18 like maybe some people aren't. So, maybe the no effect
19 is because eye doctors aren't giving away their
20 prescriptions. I can't rule that out, that would be a
21 piece of data that would be interesting to know to what
22 extent is the choice to stick with your prescriber one
23 you already have it in your hands and you just decide to
24 stay there.

25 One of my hypotheses and a possible explanation

1 for this data is this thing called ordered search that I
2 never really got to, but if you're going to search in a
3 predetermined order, and everybody knows that. So, the
4 eye doctor knows that he is going to give you the
5 prescription and he also sells the lens that he
6 prescribes, he is going to be the first draw in your
7 price distribution.

8 So, if you're going to search for prices, he
9 knows he's always going to be, he or she knows
10 that they are always going to be first. Knowing that, and
11 knowing that search is expensive, even if I want to go
12 somewhere else and look on 1-800-Contacts, or go and
13 check with Walmart, it costs something. It's not free.
14 So, that allows that first person in the queue of search
15 to extract a premium. Maybe that explains this
16 persistent prescriber premium we see, or at least some
17 extent of it.

18 One thing that would be interesting, and this
19 came in the conversations I had with Dan and Joel
20 leading up to this conference, is nowadays we all have
21 one of these (*cell phone in hand*), right, so my doctor says
22 I'm going to give you Acuvue 2, and you can pick it up in
23 the lobby. Okay, well how much is it? Hold on, let me
24 check 1-800-Contacts. I say it half jokingly, but there's
25 a large literature on how online and offline, how having

1 price search engines has perhaps reduced search costs
2 and led to more competition among sellers. I mean, here
3 you go, you can find prices.

4 DR. NEWMAN: Practically, that's true. I have a
5 large-scale long sample of one doctor over years. There
6 is no question that I am not that first in the chain.
7 In this day and age. Maybe ten years ago, I was the
8 first guy in that distribution chain. Now, practically
9 every patient I come in contact with already knows how
10 much they're selling them for, Coastal and Walmart and
11 Costco, when they walk into my office. So, I'm usually
12 like the fifth guy in the chain.

13 MR. COOPER: And I guess that's assuming that
14 they already know what you're going to prescribe. Are
15 these return customers in the sense that they have been
16 wearing Acuvue forever?

17 DR. NEWMAN: Most of them, yeah, most of them
18 are already wearing them.

19 MR. COOPER: And I think that's going back to
20 the data, the sort of fine data to figure out. Because
21 there are different incentives with respect to each
22 consumer.

23 DR. NEWMAN: One way you could parse that out is
24 look at neophyte wearers versus existing wearers,
25 because the doctor is almost always the first guy in the

1 chain.

2 MR. COOPER: And you're exactly right, that's a
3 way to tease out that ordered search effect.

4 Let me say one more thing and then I'll shut up,
5 but you gave me the floor to talk about the data I want.
6 The last thing, this is a theory that let's say that
7 there's this lock-in. Let's say that it's right, that
8 you can still take advantage, that the eye docs can
9 still take advantage of their consumers by locking in,
10 they'll say limited distribution lenses or somehow get a
11 premium out of that. There's a theory that, well, since
12 you're bundling the eye care exam with the lens, the eye
13 care, there is a lot of competition to write
14 prescriptions.

15 So, if you know once you get a customer in the
16 door to write a prescription, you're going to be able to
17 screw them over with the high price at the end. Well,
18 there's going to be competition up front to get that
19 after-market lock-in.

20 So, that leads to a slight inefficiency, a
21 distribution inefficiency or allocation inefficiency
22

1 It would be interesting to see, again, I didn't
2 have the resources or the ability to get prices of eye
3 exams in 2004, and then go back in 2007, but that's,
4 again, a theory that would be very interesting to test.
5 It may be ripe in the pet meds area as I understand the
6 legal landscape is there's a lot of state variation in
7 laws, and there's also no federal law at the time. So,
8 you could take advantage of that state variation to do a
9 much more rich econometrics potentially to look at how
10 states vary and you could maybe get vet exam prices in
11 different states with different legal regimes. So,
12 I'll be quiet now.

13 DR. NEWMAN: One quick comment.

14 MR. GILMAN: Actually, I'm sorry to interrupt,
15 but I do want to give Rob Atkinson a chance in case he
16 has some thoughts on this.

17 MR. ATKINSON: Just a couple of quick thoughts.
18 I actually think that Dr. Newman made my case for me,
19 which is that consumers now are coming in and saying
20 here's what I can buy, the repeat consumers coming in, I
21 can get it from this price and they're demanding and
22 expecting that price in return. That to me is an
23 unalloyed, direct consumer benefit from having more
24 competition from prescription release.

25 I think one of the interesting things that a

1 couple of people have alluded to in the studies, which
2 don't ask, is online really a gross measure?
3 Online from Fred the gas station who happens to run a
4 little website or online from 1-800-Contacts or online
5 from your eye care provider. Nobody asked that
6 question.

7 So, that's my other question. If online is so
8 bad, why do optometrists run websites? You can buy from
9 many optometrists, you can go and get your lenses from
10 their website. If online is really the problem, where
11 it's leading to ocular health, then why are optometrists
12 even prescribing online? So, I think that would be
13 useful to put in a study.

14 The other thing I think we need is we need, if I
15 were ever king, my first rule would be Congress would
16 create the Office of the Federal Statistician, and we
17 would send these studies to the Office of the Federal
18 Statistician and they would say, these are legitimate
19 studies. Simply saying they're multivariate, if you
20 know statistics, is essentially saying they're a study.
21 I mean, that's a meaningless term. It could be a good
22 multivariate study and it could be a bad multivariate
23 study. When I took Ph.D. statistics, you learned that
24 pretty early in the first couple of classes.

25 So, I think what we really need if we're going

1 to look at these health effects, we need a legitimate
2 objective understanding from people who understand
3 rigorous statistics and research methods to look at
4 these studies and say they stand up or not, because
5 right now we don't really have that.

6 MR. GILMAN: And only inside the beltway can we
7 find people who can honestly say that would be their
8 first act as king.

9 MR. ATKINSON: I readily admit that.

10 MR. GILMAN: So, I do want to get to Joe Zeidner
11 and Dr. Newman before we turn things over for the
12 conclusion.

13 MR. ZEIDNER: Yeah, I think one really good
14 study that we would like to see done deals with
15 prescription release. We know that the FTC found that
16 that was a problem with the Eyeglass Rule after the
17 Eyeglass Rule was passed. It's been a problem with the
18 Contact Lens Rule, even as late as 2007, by admission of
19 doctors.

20 We believe that there has been a lot of scrutiny
21 of our industry, and we have had a lot of complaints to
22 deal with, and we have talked with FTC, Congressmen,
23 optometrists. But there has not been a study done on
24 whether or not optometrists are releasing prescriptions,
25 which could account for some of the lack of data in your

1 study, James, and we think that that would be something
2 that would be a good follow-up is to see if doctors
3 really are releasing prescriptions.

4 MR. GILMAN: Thanks, Joe.

5 Dr. Newman?

6 DR. NEWMAN: A couple of comments. I don't
7 think that's the problem. Sure, we could do that study.
8 The state boards hammer anybody that gets a complaint,
9 and so if a patient is not getting a prescription, they
10 complain to the state board and they get hammered almost
11 immediately. So, I think it's a pretty good --red almost

immediately. So, I think it's a pretty good --red almost

1 There's an old poker adage that you can shear a sheep many
2 times but only skin them once, and we find that out very
3 quickly in private practice. Whether we're talking
4 about Medicare, with Physician Compare that's coming
5 online, comparing the quality outcomes and costs, this
6 is something that's huge in the health care reform
7 industry, it's something that we're all feeling
8 pressures, whether you're a physician, an optometrist, a
9 podiatrist or a doctor of veterinary medicine. If you
10 don't toe those lines, then you're going to be out of the
11 system, and I think that's something that would factor
12 into the cost analysis between exams and lenses.

13 MR. ATKINSON: You shouldn't forget, by the way,
14 that in 2007 there was a study done by your professional
15 association that says that half their doctors don't
16 release their prescription. That to me is pretty
17 obvious that there's a potential problem. It may not be
18 a problem, there may be a reason, but the fact that half
19 report they don't give a prescription.

20 DR. NEWMAN: Well, I mean we need to look at
21 that data with your statistician, as we go down the
22 line, but it is something that's worth looking at.
23 Again, you'll never have 100 percent on contact lens
24 prescription release because we're not required to
25 release every contact lens prescription.

1 transcript will be forthcoming in the near future, also
2 on the webpage.

3 Also, just a reminder that the Commission has
4 extended the public comment period to November 1st, so
5 please feel free to submit any additional comments or
6 responses to today's presentations and discussion.

7 In closing, I would like to thank all of the
8 members of the Pet Meds Workshop team, especially our
9 panel moderators and co-moderators who have worked very
10 hard to prepare for and conduct today's workshop. From
11 the Office of Policy Planning: Dan Gilman, Christopher
12 Grengs, Elizabeth Jex, Tara Koslov, Susan DeSanti and
13 Stephanie Wilkinson; from the Bureau of Economics:
14 Joel Schrag; and from the Bureau of Competition: Kelly
15 Signs, Erin Flynn and Lauren Rine.

16 A special thanks to Stephanie Wilkinson from
17 OPP, who spear-headed our efforts, kept us focused and
18 moving forward, as always with good cheer. Well done.
19 It's over, Stephanie, where are you? There she is.

20 (Applause.)

21 MR. GAVIL: And our appreciation to the Office
22 of Public Affairs for help with publicity and social
23 media, and the staff of the Office of the Executive
24 Director for event planning and technical support. Yes,
25 it takes a village to put on a workshop.

1 Finally, I would like to thank Chairman
2 Leibowitz for joining us this morning and for the
3 support of his office. For those of you who have been
4 obediently sitting and staying as he requested, you are
5 now released, but please do heel as you leave the
6 building. As a relative newcomer to the Commission, I
7 feel reassured by his participation today that we haven't
8 been barking up the wrong tree, which might have landed
9 me in the doghouse. Yes, I couldn't resist. Thank you
10 all for joining us, bye-bye.

11 (Applause.)

12 (Whereupon, at 4:38 p.m., the workshop was
13 concluded.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

