



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580

Office of the Commissioner

July 10, 2015

VIA EMAIL

The Honorable Marilyn W. Avila
North Carolina House of Representatives
2217 Legislative Building
16 W. Jones Street
Raleigh, NC 27601-1096

Dear Representative Avila,

I write to concur with the letter submitted on July 22, 2015, regarding the repeal of Nebraska's CON law at 1

https://www.ftc.gov/policy/policy-actions/advocacy-filings/1988/03/ftc-staff-comment-hon-john-f-bernice-labeledz-; FTC Staff Comments Concerning Repeal of Nebraska's CON law at 1

https://www.ftc.gov/policy/policy-actions/advocacy-filings/1988/03/ftc-staff-comment-hon-john-f-bernice-labeledz- concerning; FTC Staff Comments Concerning Repeal of Pennsylvania's CON Law at 14 (Mar. 30, 1988) ("We believe that the continued existence of CON regulation would be contrary to the interests of health care consumers in Pennsylvania."), available at [https://www.ftc.gov/policy/policy-actions/advocacy-filings/1988/03/ftc-staff-comment-hon-john-f-](https://www.ftc.gov/policy/policy-actions/advocacy-filings/1988/03/ftc-staff-comment-hon-john-f-bernice-labeledz-)

stringent CON laws, such as North Carolina, the stringency of the program is positively and significant