suggest that [m] and atory. . . collaborative pactice agreement requirements are likely to impede competition among health care providers . . . leading to decreased access to health care services, higher health care costs, reduced quality of care, and less innovation in health care 5 delivery.

We hope you will keep these considerations as you evaluate the Bills.

## Discussion

## I. HB1491

HB1491 would establish particular licensure requirements for APRNs, to be implemented by the Board of Nursing. HB1491 also appeterprovide that APRNs may, within the scope of their practice and training, assess and diagnose patients order both diagnostic and therapeutic tests and procedures, without obtaining a collaborative practice arrangement with a particular physician.

Section III of the FTC staff policy paper discuss in detail the potential competitive harms from overly restrictive PRN physician supervision requiremented also identifies the types of mandatory collaboration regular managements that often amount to de facto physician supervision requirements. In brief, the policy paper suggestissat

- 1) supervision or "collaborative practice" requirements exacerbatedwellmented provider shortages that could be mitigated by expanding N practice;
- 2) such requirements any increase he0 Td 7-(2))Tj o(o1icTJ g1 -0.02sct)-6(s)-15ntsra-4(u)-4(i)e pht

First, the United States faces a substantial and growing shortage of physicians, especially in primary care. As a result, for many Americans, including some in Missauriess to basic health care services may be limited pecially in poor or rural area. According to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Sees, "[h]ealth care resources in rural Missouri are limited, even for those who have health insurance, have no financial difficulty, and have access to transportation. . . . As regards access to primary health care services, the vast majority of rural counties are designated as Health Professional Shortage Areas (HTPSAs) poss the country, APRNs already "make up a greater share of the primary care workforce in less densely populated areas, less urban areas, and lower income areas, as well as in HPSAs."

Second, APRNs tend to providere at lower cost than physiciamsen they are not subject to unnecessary regulatory requiremental and undue legal or regulatory husalle may raise the costs of APR vices, reducing supplyindfurther diminish Tw 11.58 0 w [(limite)6 0 Td ()]

of care, satisfy their business objectives, and comply with applicable regulatory requirements New models of collaboration represent an important form of innovation in health care delivery. Proponents of tearbased care have recogned the importance of this type of innovation, given the myriadapproaches to tearbased care that may succeed in different practice setting. Rigid collaborative practice requirements arbitrarily constrain this type of innovation, as they can impose limits or costs on new and beneficial collaborative arrangements, limit a provider's ability to accommodate staffing changes across central and satellite facilities or preclude some provider strategies altogether."

Fourth, we have seen no evidence **that**utory collaborative practice agreement requirements are necessary to achieve the benefits of **that** health care. On the contrary, as noted above, rigid supervision and collaboration requirements may impede, rather than foster, development of effetive models of teanhased care. Collaboration between APRNs and physicians is common in all states, including those that permit APRNs to practice without mandatory collaborative practice agreement agreement affects. APRNs work for institutional providers or physician practices, with established channels of collaboration and supervision, and even "independently" practicing APRNs typically consult physicians and refer patients as appropriate. HB1491 would maintaintatelevel APRNoversight to ensure safe and responsible practice within a variety of care delivery settings luding a requirement of collaboration and referral to meet patients' needs.

HB1491 appears consistent with FTC staffecommendation that state legislators avoid imposing restrictions on APRN spec of practice unless those restrictions are necessary to address welfounded patient safety concerns. As noted about the second and experience, expert bodies have concluded that ARPNs are safe and effective as independent providers of many health care services within the scope of their training, licensure, certification, and current practice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Id. at 31 (citing Pamela Mitchell et aNat'l Acad. of Sciences, Inst. of Med. Discussion Paper, Core Principles & Values of Effective Teamased Health Care (2012)ttp://www.iom.edu/~/media/Files/Perspectives/2012/ <u>DiscussionPapers/VSRTTeamBasedCarePrinciplesValues.pdf</u>(IOM-sporsored inquiry into collaborative or teambased care)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Id. at 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Id. at 34 (citing NST. OF M

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew I. Gavil Director Office of Policy Planning

Martin S. Gaynor Director Bureau of Economics

DeborahFeinstein Director Bureau of Competition