



06 05 2018
591004

ORIGINAL

Respondents complete this review before calling any witnesses in its case—including witnesses Respondents intend to call during the next three days.

Complaint Counsel's request would impose an unnecessary burden on Respondents at a

parties aren't going to have to perform surgery on expert reports and submit redacted versions, but those orders are issued thinking that the parties know what's public and what's not and that we don't have this much testimony in camera." Trial Tr. 1746:6-12 (emphasis added). Now Complaint Counsel is asking the Court to impose a one-sided rule that Respondents undertake just that obligation, even though the Court was correct that the parties are familiar enough with the case to know what information is public or non-public. *See also id.* at 1746:17-20 (noting that public earnings calls are "obviously public"). The end result would be to waste Respondents' time and resources, and risk a potential delay of trial, for no good reason.

For the foregoing reasons, Respondents ask the Court to deny Complaint Counsel's motion to compel. Complaint Counsel and Respondents can and will continue to work together to follow the Court's instructions about presentation of evidence in open court. But the order Complaint Counsel requests is unnecessary and unfairly burdensome to Respondents.

Dated: June 5, 2018

Respectfully Submitted By: /s/ Michael F. Williams, P.C.
Michael F. Williams, P.C.
Matthew J. Reilly, P.C.
Karen McCartan DeSantis
Megan Wold
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 2005
(202) 879-5000
(202) 879-5200 (facsimile)
michael.williams@kirkland.com
matt.reilly@kirkland.com
karen.desantis@kirkland.com
megan.wold@kirkland.com

ATTORNEYS FOR TRONOX LIMITED

James L. Cooper
Peter J. Levitas
Ryan Z. Watts
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE
SCHOLER LLP
601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001-3743
(202) 942-5000
(202) 942-5999 (facsimile)
james.cooper@apks.com
peter.levitas@apks.com
ryan.watts@apks.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR NATIONAL
INDUSTRIALIZATION COMPANY
(TASNEE), THE NATIONAL
TITANIUM DIOXIDE COMPANY
LIMITED (CRISTAL), AND CRISTAL
USA INC.**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 5, 2018, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark
Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113
Washington, DC 20580
ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110
Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I caused the foregoing document to be served via email to:

Chuck Loughlin
Dominic Vote

Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20580
cloughlin@ftc.gov
dvote@ftc.gov

Counsel supporting Complaint

/s/ Michael F. Williams
Michael F. Williams

Counsel for Respondents Tronox Limited

EXHIBIT A

7 "Williams, Michael F." <mwilliams@kirkland.com>
) June 5, 2018 at 9:27:49 AM EDT
u "Vote, Dominic E." <dvote@ftc.gov>, "DeSantis, Karen McCartan" <kdesantis@kirkland.com>, "Cooper, James L. (James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com)" <James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com>, "Levitas, Pete (Peter.Levitas@aporter.com)" <Peter.Levitas@aporter.com>
"Loughlin, Chuck" <doughlin@ftc.gov>, "Lee, Joonsuk" <jlee4@ftc.gov>, "Oberschmied, Simone" <soberschmied@ftc.gov>, "Durand, Caitlin" <cdurand@ftc.gov>
o k u #) V

Dominic — Following up further on your email of yesterday morning. We have reviewed the transcripts and expert reports that you asked us yesterday to re-designate — all of the deposition transcripts, investigative hearing transcripts, and expert reports that you might decide to use in cross-examination. To have us re-review the documents that are currently in camera would be extremely burdensome during the middle of trial. I expect Complaint Counsel is familiar enough with this case at this point to understand that we are seeking in camera treatment of information that is internal to the respective respondents or third parties, and we have no problem with public discussion of information that is public. If you have any questions about whether we consider a particular matter to deserve in camera treatment, I am happy to answer them.

Could you please answer my question of yesterday afternoon regarding expedited post-trial briefing? Thank you.

U#=# -07 † @Uo h# ' M&M V) ' -000h
655 15th Street, NW, Suite 1200 | Washington, DC 20005
1+202-879-5123 PH | <http://www.kirkland.com/mwilliams>

On Jun 4, 2018, at 11:11 AM, Williams, Michael F. <mwilliams@kirkland.com> wrote:

Dom -- Thank you for your email of a few minutes ago. As I understand your message, you are requesting that we redact certain transcripts no later than tomorrow for Wednesday's testimony and that we review and revisit the deposition transcripts for all of the witnesses we intend to call to trial. This strikes me as burdensome and unnecessary. Moreover, given that we had corresponded over the weekend about Judge Chappell's instructions, it is unclear why you did not raise this request sooner. We will need to review your request and get back to you.

U#=# -07 † @Uo h# ' M&M V) ' -000h
655 15th Street, NW, Suite 1200 | Washington, DC 20005
1+202-879-5123 PH | <http://www.kirkland.com/mwilliams>

7 Vote, Dominic E. <dvote@ftc.gov>
o Monday, June 4, 2018 11:00AM
u Williams, Michael F. <mwilliams@kirkland.com>; DeSantis, Karen McCartan <kdesantis@kirkland.com>; Cooper, James L. (James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com) <James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com>; Levitas, Pete (Peter.Levitas@aporter.com) <Peter.Levitas@aporter.com>
#

<soberschmied@ftc.gov>; Durand, Caitlin <cdurand@ftc.gov>

o Tronox/Cristal, Docket No. 9377

Counsel –

I am writing to address Judge Chappell's statement last week that significantly more of the trial should be held in public session going forward. In order to comply with Judge Chappell's directive, Complaint Counsel will need to know what materials the parties will continue to claim *in camera* treatment for. In particular, we note that the entirety of the deposition and investigational hearing transcripts for Mr. Stoll, Mr. Romano, and all of the witnesses that appear on your witness list, are currently deemed *in camera* in their entirety. Moreover, we note that the expert reports of Dr. Shahadeh, Mr. Stern, and Mr. Imburgia are also currently designated *in camera* in their entirety. In order to appropriately prepare public direct and cross examinations, and in order to avoid the unintentional disclosure of any sensitive confidential material, we request that Respondents provide Complaint Counsel with appropriately redacted versions of the investigational hearing and deposition transcripts of Mr. Stoll and Mr. Romano as soon as possible, but no later than tomorrow. We further request that you provide appropriately redacted versions of each of Respondents' expert reports, as well as the transcripts of any witnesses Tronox and Cristal intend to call at trial, at least 72 hours before that witness is called. This information will allow Complaint Counsel to properly determine what information is appropriate for public session and what information must be dealt with *in camera*. Please let us know by 3pm today whether you agree to provide revised *in camera* designations for the transcripts of the remaining witnesses. If we cannot come to an agreement on this issue, we intend to file a motion with the Court. We are available if you would like to discuss. Thanks.

Dom

Dominic E. Vote
Deputy Assistant Director
Mergers II Division
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission
(202) 326-3505
dvote@ftc.gov

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on June 05, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Respondents' Response to Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel, with:

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 110
Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 172
Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on June 05, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Respondents' Response to Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel, upon:

Seth Wiener
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
seth.wiener@apks.com
Respondent

Matthew Shultz
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
matthew.shultz@apks.com
Respondent

Albert Teng
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
albert.teng@apks.com
Respondent

Michael Williams
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
michael.williams@kirkland.com
Respondent

David Zott
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
dzott@kirkland.com
Respondent

Matt Reilly
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
matt.reilly@kirkland.com
Respondent

Andrew Pruitt
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
andrew.pruitt@kirkland.com
Respondent

Susan Davies
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
susan.davies@kirkland.com
Respondent

Michael Becker
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
mbecker@kirkland.com
Respondent

Karen McCartan DeSantis
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
kdesantis@kirkland.com
Respondent

Megan Wold
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
megan.wold@kirkland.com
Respondent

Michael DeRita
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
michael.derita@kirkland.com
Respondent

Charles Loughlin
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cloughlin@ftc.gov
Complaint

Cem Akleman
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cakleman@ftc.gov
Complaint

Thomas Brock
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
TBrock@ftc.gov
Complaint

Krishna Cerilli
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
kcerilli@ftc.gov
Complaint

Steven Dahm
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
sdahm@ftc.gov
Complaint

E. Eric Elmore
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
eelmore@ftc.gov
Complaint

Sean Hughto
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission

shughto@ftc.gov
Complaint

Joonsuk Lee
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jlee4@ftc.gov
Complaint

Meredith Levert
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mlevert@ftc.gov
Complaint

Jon Nathan
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jnathan@ftc.gov
Complaint

James Rhilinger
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jrhilinger@ftc.gov
Complaint

Blake Risenmay
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
brisenmay@ftc.gov
Complaint

Kristian Rogers
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
krogers@ftc.gov
Complaint

Z. Lily Rudy
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
zrudy@ftc.gov
Complaint

Robert Tovsky
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
rtovsky@ftc.gov
Complaint

Dominic Vote
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
dvote@ftc.gov
Complaint

Cecelia Waldeck
Attorney

Federal Trade Commission
cwaldeck@ftc.gov
Complaint

Katherine Clemons
Associate
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
katherine.clemons@arnoldporter.com
Respondent

Eric D. Edmondson
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
eedmondson@ftc.gov
Complaint

David Morris
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
DMORRIS1@ftc.gov
Complaint

Zachary Avallone
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
zachary.avallone@kirkland.com
Respondent

Rohan Pai
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
rpai@ftc.gov
Complaint

Rachel Hansen
Associate
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
rachel.hansen@kirkland.com
Respondent

Peggy D. Bayer Femenella
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
pbayer@ftc.gov
Complaint

Grace Brier
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
grace.brier@kirkland.com
Respondent

Alicia Burns-Wright
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
aburnswright@ftc.gov
Complaint

I hereby certify that on June 05, 2018, I served via other means, as provided in 4.4(b) of the foregoing Respondents' Response to Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel, upon:

Seth Weiner
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
Respondent

Andrew Pruitt
Attorney