

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

10 11 2018 592557

In the Matter of:

Benco Dental Supply Co., Henry Schein, Inc., and Patterson Companies, Inc.,

Respondents.

Docket No. 9379



# RESPONDENT HENRY SCHEIN, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 3.43(b) TO ADMIT PRIOR TESTIMONY FROM OTHER PROCEEDINGS<sup>1</sup>

Complaint Counsel seeks to admit into evidence thirteen transcripts from twelve state dental associations that Complaint Counsel did not deem relevant or important enough to depose in this case (the "Association Transcripts").<sup>2</sup> Complaint Counsel, however, has not made any specific designations of such testimony, but rather seeks wholesale admission, regardless of the specific testimony's relevance, the witnesses' foundation or knowledge, or the general admissibility and reliability of such testimony. Nor does Complaint Counsel identify any portion of any transcript that would be non-duplicative, material, and sufficiently reliable such that its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent Patterson Companies, Inc. joins this opposition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Specifically, Complaint Counsel seeks wholesale admission of the following transcripts from SourceOne Dental, Inc. v. Patterson Co. et al.: CX9024 (Donovan Osio, Texas Dental Association); CX9051 (Ward Blackwell, Louisiana Dental Association); CX9052 (Pamela Brockhaus, Colorado Dental Association); CX9055 (Terry Dickinson, Virginia Dental Association); CX9056 (Kevin Earle, Arizona Dental Association); CX9067 (Elise Rupinski, Virginia Dental Association); CX9069 (Craig Start, Michigan Dental Association); and CX9075 (Terry Jon Xelowski; Arizona Dental Association); the following transcripts from *In re Dental* Supplies Antitrust Litigation: CX9064 (Robert Killpack, Washington State Dental Association); CX9068 (W. Scott Ruthstrom, Florida Dental Association); CX9070 (Dr. Robert Talley, Nevada Dental Association); and CX9071 (Antony Kainoa Trotter, Washington State Dental Association); and the following Texas AG EUO transcript: CX9065 (Donovan Osio, Texas Dental Association).

use would be fair *in this proceeding*.<sup>3</sup> Because the Association Transcripts do not meet these requirements of Rule 3.43(b), Complaint Counsel's motion should be denied.

Finally, Complaint Counsel asserts that it will use these Transcripts to show "the impact of Respondents' response to these state dental association buying groups." As an initial matter, these associations did not actually operate buying groups, but rather, simply "endorsed," or considered endorsing, an on-line distributor like SourceOne (a distributor Complaint Counsel excludes from its definition of the relevant market) as an approved vendor in exchange for a kickback, or so-called "marketing fee." But even if these associations were somehow "buying groups," there is no allegation that Respondents were asked, or refused, to supply or offer discounts to them. Thus, these depositions dealt with an entirely different situation than the issue here. More importantly, these association witnesses are not competent to testify about "Respondents' response to these state dental associations." Certainly, the associations cannot speculate about Respondents' state of mind or motive. Indeed, most of the association witnesses never d a with the Respondents about their proposed endorsement program. With the sole exception of the Texas Dental Association, Complaint Counsel does not allege that Respondents boycotted these buying groups. See Schein's Pretrial Brief at 77-130 (citing CC Second Amended Response and objections to Schein's First Interrogatories (RX3087)).

The lack of relevance of these associations is also evidenced by Complaint Counsel's own conduct in this case. They did not subpoena any of these associations, and did not depose any of them during the investigation or discovery phases of this case. If *any* of these witnesses were material, then Complaint Counsel would have deposed at least some of them. That Complaint Counsel deemed them so irrelevant as to not even be worthy of a deposition (or even a subpoena for documents) speaks volumes as to their irrelevance.

Finally, the Scheduling Order in this case makes clear that "[w]itnesses shall not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has

personal knowledge of the matter," citing F.R.E. 602. This rule applies to transcripts from other

512-305-4800 (Facsimile)

Colin R. Kass ckass@proskauer.com Adrian Fontecilla afontecilla@proskauer.com PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 600 South Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 416-6800

Fax: (202) 416-6899

Tim Muris tmuris@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8000 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT HENRY SCHEIN, INC.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 11, 2018, I caused the foregoing document to be electronically filed using the FTC"s E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm.H-113 Washington, DC 20580

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I further certify that I delivered via electronic mail a copy of the foregoing document to:

Lin W. Kahn lkahn@ftc.gov Jeanine K. Balbach jbalbach@ftc.gov Thomas H. Brock tbrock@ftc.gov **Emily Burton** eburton@ftc.gov Diana Change dchange@ftc.gov Thomas Dahdouh tdahdough@ftc.gov Thomas Dillickrath tdillickrath@ftc.gov Karen Goff kgoff@ftc.gov Joseph Goodman jgoodman@ftc.gov Jessica Moy jmoy@ftc.gov Danica Noble dnoble@ftc.gov Jasmine Y. Rosner

jrosner@ftc.gov Ronnie Solomon rsolomon@ftc.gov John Wiegand jwiegand@ftc.gov Erika Wodinsky ewodinsky@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission Western Region – San Francisco 901 Market Street, Suite 570 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 848-5115

### Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Howard Scher
howard.scher@bipc.com
Kenneth Racowski
kenneth.racowski@bipc.com
Carrie Amezcua
carrie.amezcua@bipc.com
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
50 S. 16th Street Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Geoffrey D. Oliver gdoliver@jonesday.com Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001 Phone Number: 202-879-3939

Craig A. Waldman cwaldman@jonesday.com Benjamin M. Craven bcraven@jonesday.com Ausra O. Deluard adeluard@jonesday.com Jones Day 555 California Street 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone Number: 415-626-3939

Counsel for Respondent Benco Dental Supply Company

James Long(Attorney)

jlong@briggs.com
Jay Schlosser(Attorney)
jschlosser@briggs.com
Scott Flaherty(Attorney)
sflaherty@briggs.com
Ruvin Jayasuriya(Attorney)
rjayasuriya@briggs.com
William Fitzsimmons(Attorney)
wfitzsimmons@briggs.com
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.
2200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone Number: 612-977-8400

Phone Number: 612-977-8400 Fax Number: 612-977-8650

Joseph Ostoyich
joseph.ostoyich@bakerbotts.com
William Lavery
william.lavery@bakerbotts.com
Andrew George
andrew.george@bakerbotts.com
Jana Seidl
jana.seidl@bakerbotts.com
Kristen Lloyd
kristen.lloyd@bakerbotts.com
Baker Botts L.L.P.
1299 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004

Phone Number: 202-639-7905

Counsel for Respondent Patterson Companies, Inc.

By: /s/ David W. Heck Attorney

# **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed documents that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

October 11, 2018

By: /s/ David W. Heck Attorney

### Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on October 11, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Respondent Henry Schein, Inc's Opposition to Motion to Admit Prior Testimony, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on October 11, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Respondent Henry Schein, Inc's Opposition to Motion to Admit Prior Testimony, upon:

Lin Kahn Attorney Federal Trade Commission lkahn@ftc.gov Complaint

Ronnie Solomon Attorney Federal Trade Commission rsolomon@ftc.gov Complaint

Matthew D. Gold Attorney Federal Trade Commission mgold@ftc.gov Complaint

John Wiegand Attorney Federal Trade Commission jwiegand@ftc.gov Complaint

Erika Wodinsky Attorney Federal Trade Commission Complaint

Boris Yankilovich Attorney Federal Trade Commission byankilovich@ftc.gov Complaint

Jeanine K. Balbach Attorney Federal Trade Commission jbalbach@ftc.gov Complaint

gdoliver@jonesday.com Respondent

Craig A. Waldman
Partner
Jones Day
cwaldman@jonesday.com
Respondent

Benjamin M. Craven Jones Day bcraven@jonesday.com Respondent

Ausra O. Deluard Jones Day adeluard@jonesday.com Respondent

Joseph Ostoyich Partner Baker Botts L.L.P. joseph.ostoyich@bakerbotts.com Respondent

William Lavery Senior Associate Baker Botts L.L.P. william.lavery@bakerbotts.com Respondent

Andrew George Baker Botts L.L.P. andrew.george@bakerbotts.com Respondent

Jana Seidl Baker Botts L.L.P. jana.seidl@bakerbotts.com Respondent

Kristen Lloyd Associate Baker Botts L.L.P. Kristen.Lloyd@bakerbotts.com Respondent

James Long Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. jlong@briggs.com Respondent

Jay Schlosser Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. jschlosser@briggs.com Respondent Scott Flaherty Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. sflaherty@briggs.com Respondent

Ruvin Jayasuriya Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. rjayasuriya@briggs.com Respondent

William Fitzsimmons Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. wfitzsimmons@briggs.com Respondent

Hyun Yoon Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC eric.yoon@bipc.com Respondent

David Owyang Attorney Federal Trade Commission dowyang@ftc.gov Complaint

Karen Goff Attorney Federal Trade Commission kgoff@ftc.gov Complaint

Emily Burton Attorney Federal Trade Commission eburton@ftc.gov Complaint

Jessica Drake Attorney Federal Trade Commission jdrake@ftc.gov Complaint

Ashley Masters Attorney Federal Trade Commission amasters@ftc.gov Complaint

Terry Thomas Attorney Federal Trade Commission tthomas 1 @ ftc.gov Complaint Danica Nobel Attorney Federal Trade Commission dnoble@ftc.gov Complaint

Mary Casale Attorney Federal Trade Commission mcasale@ftc.gov Complaint

Thomas Manning Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC Thomas.Manning@bipc.com Respondent

Sarah Lancaster Locke Lord LLP slancaster@lockelord.com Respondent

Owen Masters Associate Proskauer Rose LLP omasters@proskauer.com Respondent

Stephen Chuk Proskauer Rose LLP schuk@proskauer.com Respondent

Rucha Desai Associate Proskauer Rose LLP rdesai@proskauer.com Respondent

Jessica Moy Federal Trade Commission jmoy@ftc.gov Complaint

Thomas Dilickrath Federal Trade Commission tdilickrath@ftc.gov Complaint

Caroline L. Jones Associate Baker Botts L.L.P. caroline.jones@bakerbotts.com Respondent

David Munkittrick Proskauer Rose LLP dmunkittrick@proskauer.com Respondent