1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5	NORTHERIV DISTRICT OF CALLI ORIGIN	
6		C-10-04879 JCS
7	Plaintiff,	C-10-04077 JCS
8	OR	DER DENYING MOTION TO MISS FIRST AMENDED
9	CO	MPLAINT FILED BY DEFENDANTS LLNESS SUPPORT NETWORK,
10	AL., INC	LEASES SOLLORI AELWORK, ., ROBERT HELD AND ROBYN LD [Docket No. 30]
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	I. INTRODUCTION	
14	Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") brings this action for injunctive relief and other	
15	remedies under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b),	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## Case3:10-cv-04879-JCS Document45 Filed09/12/11 Page3 of 6

manufactures; broad application of these standards to Defendants constitutes an impermissible 2 attempt to circumvent the rulemaking procedures required under the Administrative Procedures Act 3 ("APA"); 4) the FTC's standard is an unlawful use of a guidance document to the extent that it is based on a policy statement; and 5) the Ninth Circuit's decision in FTC v. Pantron I Corp., 33 F.3d 4 1088 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) ("Pantron I"), defining "truth" and "substantiation" in connection with deceptive advertising under the FTC Act, does not apply because in that case the product at issue was a drug whereas here, the products are medical foods.

The FTC counters that: 1) Defendants' argument that the claims fail because their products 8 9 are not dietary supplements, as alleged by the FTC, but rather medical foods, lacks merit because the 10 FTC Act does not distinguish between dietary supplements and medical foods and in any event, the 11 argument turns on a factual question that may not be determined on a Rule 12(b)(6) motion; 2) there 12 is no First Amendment right to engage in deceptive advertising and the FTC's enforcement of the FTC Act does not infringe on Defendants' commercial speech rights; 3) the FTC is not attempting to 13 14 evade the rulemaking procedures set forth in the APA because it is not attempting to make a new 15 rule but rather, is simply enforcing established standards relating to advertising of products that 16 includes health claims, as set forth in *Pantron I*; furthermore, administrative agencies are free to announce new principles of law during adjudication, with only narrow exceptions that do not apply 17 18 here; and 4) in citing a policy document in its brief filed in opposition to Defendants' previous motion to dismiss, the FTC did not suggest that that document had the force of law and the FTC 19 20 does not rely on that document in support of its claims, which are based on the FTC Act.

### 21 III. **ANALYSIS**

22

23

28

### Α. Legal Standard

### 1. Rule 12(b)(6)

24 A complaint may be dismissed for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted 25 under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). "The purpose 26 of a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) is to test the legal sufficiency of the complaint." N. Star Int'l v. Ariz. Corp. Comm'n, 720 F.2d 578, 581 (9th Cir. 1983). Generally, a plaintiff's burden at the 27

1

5

6

7

Case3:10-cv-04879-JCS Document45 Filed09/12/11 Page6 of 6

# For the Northern District of California

**United States District Court** 

# IV. CONCLUSION

The Motion is DENIED on the basis that it is untimely under Rule 12(g)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 12, 2011

JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge