# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

**COMMISSIONERS:** Edith Ramirez, Chairwoman

**Julie Brill** 

Maureen K. Ohlhausen Joshua D. Wright

In the Matter of

LUIS ALFONSO SIERRA, also d/b/a CASINO AUTO SALES **DOCKET NO. C-4434** 

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of respondent named in the caption hereof, and respondent having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft complaint which the Western Region-Los Angeles proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondent with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"); and

Respondent, respondent's attorney, and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order ("consent agreement"), which includes: a statement by respondent that it neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in the draft required by the Commission's Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter con had reason to believe that respondent has viola stating its charges in that respect, and having the and placed such consent agreement on the pub receipt and consideration of public comments, prescribed in Section 2.34 of its Rules, the Confollowing jurisdictional findings, and enters the

- 1. Respondent, Luis Alfonso Sierra, is an Auto Sales with his principal place of b California 91746.
- 2. The Federal Trade Commission has jur and of the respondent, and the proceed

# **ORDER**

## **DEFINITIONS**

- d. Motor homes, recreational vehicle trailers, and slide-in campers; and
- e. Other vehicles that are titled and sold through dealers.

I.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that respondent and its officers, agents, representatives, and employees, directly or indirectly, in connection with any advertisement

### III.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondent shall deliver a copy of this order to all current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers, and to all current and future

Provided, further that if such complaint is dismissed or a federal court rules that respondent did not violate any provision of the order, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then the order will terminate according to this Part as though the complaint had never