141 0031

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Edith Ramirez, Chairwoman

Julie Brill

Maureen K. Ohlhausen Joshua D. Wright Terrell McSweeny

In the Matter of

Docket No. G4490

National Association of Residential Property Managers, Inc., a corporation.

## COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Actas amended, 15 U.S.C. § 41 et sand by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, having reason to believe that the National Association of Residential Property Managers, Ind. "Respondent" or "NARPM"), a corporation, has violated and is violating the provisions of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commissionas Ammended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues **Chais** plaint, stating its charges as follows:

#### I. RESPONDENT

- 1. Respondent National Association of Resitial Property Managers, Inc. is a nonprofit corporation organized, existing, and doing business under, and by virtue of, the laws of the State of Tennessee, with its office and principal place of business located at 638 Independence Parkway, Suite 100, Chesapeake, VA 23320.
- 2. Respondent is a professional association of real estate agents, brokers, managers and their employees, withover 4,000 members Many of Respondent's members are in the business of managinginglefamily and multifamily residential properties, condominiums, townhouses, and sherm rentals. Some members also manage

### II. JURISDICTION

- 3. Respondent onduct susines for the pecuniary benefit of its members and is therefore a corporation as defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 4. The acts and practices of Respondent, including the acts and practices alleged herein, are in or affecing "commerce" asdefined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission At; as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

### III. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 5. Respondisent treat and a final filters and the same of the same
- 6. Respondent has acted as a combination of its members, and in agreetmenteast some of those members, to restrain competition by restricting through its Code of Ethics the ability of its members to advertise and to solicit the clients of their Et4(e)]TJo2(e(

9. The combination, agreement, acts pnacticesalleged in Paragraphs 6 and 7 constitute unfair methods of competition in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45. Such combination, agreement, acts and practices, or the effects thereof, are continuing and will continue or recur in the absence of the relief requestment.

WHEREFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Federal Trade Commission on this first day of October 2014, issues its @mplaint against Respondent.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secretary

SEAL: