The Federal Trade Commission has accepted stuto final approval, a consent order applicable to Nomi Tetrologies, Inc. ("Nomi").

The proposed consent order has been placed depublic record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Center received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirt $\emptyset(0)$ days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and exclide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action deerfinate the agreement's proposed order.

Nomi uses mobile device tracking technology tovide analytics services to brick and mortar retailers through its "Lien" service. Nomi has been lecting information from consumers' mobile devices to provide theten service since Jany 2013. Nomi places sensors in its clients' retaid cations that detect the mediaccess control ("MAC") address broadcast by a mobile device when it searches wife in etworks. A MAC address is a 12-digit identifier that is unique to particular device. Alternatively, some instances Nomi collects MAC addresses through its clients' existing Wale cess points. In addition to the MAC address, Nomi also collects the following information about the mobile device that comes within range of its sensors or its clients' WiFi access points mobile device's signal strength; the mobile device's manufacturer (derived from the MAC datess); the location of the sensor or WiFi access point observing the mobile device; and the adad time the mobile device is observed.

Nomi cryptographically hashes

not all, of Nomi's clients did not post any **diss**ure, or otherwise notify consumers, regarding their use of the Listen service.

From at least November 2012, until Octob2, 2013, Nomi disseminated or caused to be disseminated privacy policies on its web<u>sitemi.com</u>or <u>getnomi.com</u> which included the following statement:

Nomi pledges to.... Always allow consumersond out of Nomi's service on its website as well as at any retailesing Nomi's technology.

Nomi provided, and continues to provide, apt out on its website for consumers who do not want Nomi to store observations of their interblevice. In order to opt out of the Listen service on Nomi's website, consumers were require provide Nomi with all of their mobile devices' MAC addresses, without knowing whetthey would ever shop at a retail location using the Listen service. Once a consumer dratered the MAC address of their device into Nomi's website opt out, Nomi adds it to a blasskof MAC addresses for which information will not be stored. Consumers who did not opt outNomi's website and istead wanted to make the opt out decision at retaildations were unable to do sosplite the explicit promise in Nomi's privacy policies. Consumers were notwided any means to opt out at retail locations and were unaware that the service was even being used.

The Commission's complaint alleges tNatmi's privacy policy represented that: (1) consumers could opt out of Nomi's Listen seevat retail locations using this service, and (2) that consumers would be given notice where tail location was utilizing Nomi's Listen service. The complaint alleges that Noncilated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act by misleading consumers because, contraits the presentations, Nomi did not provide an opt-out mechanism at its clients' retail location in the Nomi nor its disclosed to consumers that Nomi's Listen services where ing used at a retail location.

The proposed order contains provisions **ghesid** to prevent Nomfrom engaging in the future in practices similar to those allegedhie complaint. Part I of the proposed order prohibits Nomi from misrepresenting: (A) tbetions through which, or the extent to which, consumers can exercise control over the collectise, disclosure, or sharing of information collected from or about them or their computers devices, or (B) the extent to which consumers will be provided notice about how data from **boa**t a particular consumer, computer, or device is collected, used, disclosed, or shared.

Parts II through VI of the proposed order apporting and compliance provisions. Part II requires Nomi to retain documents relationits compliance with the order. The order requires that all of the documents be restation a five-year period. Part III requires dissemination of the order now and time future to all current and future subsidiaries, principals, officers, directors, and managers, and to persummers possibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part IV ensures notification the FTC of changes incorporate status. Part V mandates that Nomi submit a compliance report FTC within 90 days, and periodically thereafter as requested. Partis/a provision "sunsettig" the order after tweng (20) years, with certain exceptions. The purpose of this analysis is to factlit public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interprise of the proposed complaint or order or to modify the order's terms in any way.