ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED CONSENT ORDER TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT In the Matter of NAICS Association, LLEile No.152 3138

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, a consent agreement applicable AtCS Association, LLC ("NAICS").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record \(fata \) irt daysfor receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After third \(p_i \), the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concesnalleged false or issleading representations that NAICS made to consumers conceing its participation in the Safe Harbor privacogrineworks agreed upon by the U.S. and the European Union ("Eldin)d the U.S. and Switzerlanco (lectively, "Safe Harbor Framework). The Safe Harbor Frameworkallow U.S. companieso transfer data outside the Edund Switzerlanconsistent with El and Swisslaw. To join the Safe Harbor Frameworks, a company must sedertify to the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") that it complies with a set of principles and related requirements that have been deemed by the European Commission Switzerlancos providing "adequate" privacy protection. These principles include notice, choice, onward transfer, security, data integrity, access, and enforcement. Commerce maintains a public website, www.export.gov/safeharbpwhere it posts the names of companies that havecombified to the Safe Harbor frameworks. The listing of companies indicates whether their self certification is "current" or "not current." Companies are required to the safe Harbor frameworks.

NAICS provides services to assist companies in working with or understanding NAICS ("North American Industry Classification System") and SIC ("Standard Industry Classification") system codes. According to the Commission's complaint, NAICS has set forth on its website http://www.naics.com/privaepolicy/, privacy policies and statements about its practices, including statements related to the Safe Harbor Frameworks.

The Commission's complaint allegestt NAICS falsely represented that it was a "current" participant in the Safe Harbforameworks when, in fact, from Februar 2014 until April 2015, NAICS was not a "current" participant in the Safe Harbor Framework Commission's complaint alleges that in February 2013, NAICS mitted its self certification to the Safe Harbforameworks. NAICS did not renew its self-ertification in February 2014 and Commerce subsequently updated CS's status "not current" on its public website.

Part I of the proposed order prohibNIAICS from making misrepresentations about its membership in any privacy or security program sponsored by the government or any other self

Parts II through VI of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. PartII requires NAICS to retain documents relating to its compliance with the order for a five-year period.

Part III requires dissemination the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. IV ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part V mandates that NAICS submit an initial compliance report to the FTC, and make available to the FTC subsequent reports. Part VI is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official terpretation of the proposed complaint or order or to modify the order's terms in any way.