## ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED CONSENT ORDERS TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT In the Matter of One Industries Corp., File No. 152 3201

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC""@ommission") has accepted, subject to final approval, a consent agreement applicable industries Corp. ("One Industries").

The proposed consent order has **beene**d on the public recofdr thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. **Centen** received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whrether bould withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or makedil the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns alleged false or misileg depresentations that ne Industries made to consumers concerning its participation the Safe Harbor privacy framework agreed upon by the U.S. and the European Union ("EU") ("USU Safe Harbor Framework" or "Safe Harbor Framework"). The Safe Harbor Framework alld W.S. companies to transfer data outside the EU consistent with EU law. To join the Safearbor Framework, a company must self-certify to the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerceal th complies with a set of principles and related requirements that have been decover to the European Comission as providing "adequate" privacy protection. The sen ciples include notice, choe, onward transfer, security, data integrity, access, and enforcement. Commerce maintains a public website, www.export.gov/safeharb.pwhere it posts the names of companies that have self-certification is "current" or "not current." Companies are required eccertify every year inorder to retain their status as "current" memberstore Safe Harbor Framework.

One Industries sells of motocross-relaged, graphic kits, and clothing worldwide. According to the Commission's complaint, senat least January 2015, One Industries Corp. set forth on its website, ttp://oneindustries.com/privacprivacy policies and tatements about its practices, including statemented ated to its participation in the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework.

The Commission's complaint alleges that **Ome**ustries Corp. falselyepresented that it was a participant in the U.S.-EU Safe Harboarmework when, in facOne Industries Corp. was never a participant in the Safe Harboarmework. Commerce has never included the company on its public website.

Part I of the proposed order prohisio misrepresentations about its membershipnin parivacy or security program sponsored by the government or any other selfguelatory or standard-settingganization, including, but not limited to, the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framelwand the U.S.-Swiss Safe Harbor Framework.

Parts II through VI of the proposed order are porting and complian provisions. Part II requires One Industries Corp. to retain documentating to its compliance with the Order for a five-year period

the FTC of changes in corporate status. **Part**andates that One Industries Corp. submit an initial compliance report to the ICT, and make available to the ICT Subsequent reports. Part VI is a provision "sunsetting" thorder after twenty (20) gres, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to factlet public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an c commehTc -.0008ns.37 Tw [l