## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

| COMMISSIONERS: Edith Ramirez, Cha<br>Maureen K. Ohlhau<br>Terrell McSweeny |               |   |                  |  |  |
|--|---------------|---|------------------|--|--|
| In the Matter of   |               | ) | Docket No. G4574 |  |  |
| American Air Liquide Hold a corporation                                    | lings, Inc. , | ) |                  |  |  |

- 12. For purposes of this Complaint, the relevant geographic areas in which to analyze the effects of the Acquisition ohe retail packaged welding smarket are:
  - a. Anchorage, Alaska;
  - b. Fairbanks, Alaska; and
  - c. Kenai, Alaska.

## V. THE STRUCTURE OF THE MARKETS

- 13. Respondent Air Liquide and Airgas are two of a limited number of significant participants in each of the relevant marketsbulk oxygen, but nitrogen, bulk argon, bulk liquid carbon dioxide, and dry icand each relevant market is concentrated measured the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index The Acquisition would further increase concentration levels, resulting in Air Liquide becoming one the largest suppliers in each relevant area.
- 14. Respondent Air Liquide and Airgas are the only two participants in the relevant geographic markets for bulk nitrous oxide and retail packaged welding gases. The Acquisition would result in Respondent holdingmonopoly in these relevant markets.

## VI. ENTRY CONDITIONS

- 15. New entry into the relevant markets would not occur in a timely manner sufficient to deter or counteract the likely adverse competitive effects of to the likely adverse competitive effects of the likely effec
- 16. Entry into the bulk oxygen, nitrogen, and argon markets is costly, difficult, and unlikely because of, among other things, the time and cost required to construct the air separation units that produce these products. Constructing an air separation unit at a scale stofficient viable in the market would cost at least \$30 to \$100 million, most of which are sunk costs. Moreover, it is not economically justifiable to build an air separation unit unless a significant amount of the plant's capacity has been sported prior to construction, either to an orsite customer or to customers with commitments under contract. Sustallerepportunities occur infrequently and unpredictably and can take several years to secure.
- 17. Entry into the bulk nitrous oxide market is costly, difficult, and unlikely because of, among other things, the time and cost required to construct a plant capable of producing nitrous oxide. Constructing such a plant would cost at least \$5 to \$10 million, and the demand for nitrous oxide is generally insufficient to tits the high costs of building a nitrous oxide plant. In addition, there are regulatory barriers to overcome due to the hazardous nature of producing nitrous oxide.

d. by increasing the likelihood that consumers would be forced to pay higher prices for bulk oxygen, bulk nitrogen, bulk argon, bulk nitrous oxide, bulk liquid carbon dioxide, dry ice, and retail packaged welding gases in the relevant geographic areas.

## VIII. VIOLATIONS CHARGED

21. The Acquisition described in Paragraph 5, if consum**d**; awould constitute a violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

WHEREFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Federal Trade Commission on this twelfth day of May, 2016, issue