Stipulation.

4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16,(a) summary of the terms offet proposed Final

Judgment was published in The Washington Post, a newspaper of general circulation in the

District of Columbia, for seven days beginning April 29, 2016, and ending on May 5, 2016.

5. As noted in the Competitive Impact Statement, therewood determinative

materials or documents within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) that were considered by the

United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment, so none was furnished to any person

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) or listed pursuantta U.S.C. § 16(c).

6. As required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(g), on April, 22016, Defendant filed with the

Court a description of written or oral communications by or on behaletendant, or any other

person, with any officer or employee of the United Statescerning the proposed Final

Judgment.

7. The sixtyday comment period prescribed by 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) and (d) for the

receipt and consideration of written comments, during which the proposed Final Judgment could

not be entered, ended daly 5, 2016. The United States did not receive any comments on the

proposed Final Judgment.

8. The parties have satisfied all the requirements of **PP** Athat were conditions

for entering the proposed Final Judgment. The Court may now enter the Final Judgment if the

Court determines that, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(e), entry of the Final Judgment is in the public

interest.

Dated:July 11, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kenneth A. Libby

Kenneth A. Libby

Special Attorney

2