1410200

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Editc4S1e66 <</MCID 13 >>BDC T* (1)Tj (-)Tj 0.83 0 Td (800)Tj

Respondent

4. Respondent-800 Contacts a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the United States its office and principal place of business locates 1261 Data DriveDraper, Utah, 84020.

Jurisdiction

- At all times relevant hein, 1-800 Contactsasbeen, and isow, acorporation as "corporation is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 6. The acts and practices **b**/800 Contacts including the acts and practices alleged herein, are in commerce or affect commerce, **as** mmerce is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.QL4§

Overview of Online Search Advertising

7. Search enginesincluding Google and Bingare available o users of theniternetwithout

- a. Advertisers submit to the search engine companies" **'bipts**cifyingthe maximum price they are willing to pate place particular advertisement on the results page
- b. An advertiser may identify the auctions that it wishes to enter by bidding on particular words, referred to as "keywords," contained in a given query. Alternatively, the advertiser may allow the search engine company, through its algort third entify relevant auctions for the advertiser (thus participating in auctions for relevant queries even without having bid on the precise terms in those queries).
- c. When a consumer enteassearch query, an algorithm instantivaluates the elevant bids. The winner or winners of the auction will have their advertisements displayed the user. If the user clicks on an advertise **nae ot** visits the advertiser's website then the advertiser pays a fee to the search engine company.
- 11. Search engine complexits do not simply place advertisements on the search engine results page in the order of the price bid by the advertiser. Rather, in determining whether and in what order to place advertisements, search enginesoy sophisticated algorithms that consider quality of the advertiseme Quality, in this context, refers the search engine's assessment whether the advertisement will belevant and useful to the user. The search engine makes this assessbracet largely on the search engine continual analysis of usefeedback (such as click through data) which is incorporated, in real-time, into the algorithms that determine while dvertisements for any, will be shown The search engine demotes or eliminates advertisements that prove, based on user feedback not to berelevant or useful to users.
- 12. Computer users sometimentera searchquerythat contains trademarked word or phrase (*.g.*, "1-800 Contacts" "Mattress Discounters," "POLShirt"). In response the search engine may present the user with relevant advertiseon dots all of multiple companies including but not limited to the wner of the trademark
- 13. An advertisealsomay specifyto the search engine one or more "negative keywords." This is an instruction that the compane dvertisement should *nappear* in response to a search querthat contains a particular term or terrifior example, a business that sells eyeglasseand bids on the term "glasses" in search advertising auctions area negative keyword(*e.g.*, "wine") to preve1(u)-9(n)-()Tj.d1(1t)4(i)4(o)16(n)6(s)15()]TJ -0.008 Tc (

Competition in the Online Retail Sale of Contact Lenses

- 14. 1-800 Contacts as long been the largest online seller of contact lense United States In 2015, 1-800 Contacts ad revenues of approximate million. This represents approximately **5**ercent of the online tail sales of contact lenses be combined sharefor-800 Contacts and the urteen firms that executed the Bidding Agreements is approximately 80 percent.
- 15. 1-800 Contactswas a pioneer in the online sale of contact lertseswever, by the early 2000s, a number of competing online retailers had emerged and superinding rapidly. Online ivals invested in search advertising d competed directly agains 800 Contacts in search advertising auction she seon line rivals undercut 1-800 Contacts prices for contact lenses manyby a substantial amount.
- 16. As early as 2003, 1-800 Contactscognized that it was losing sales to lowerced online competitors. However, 1-800 Contactsclid not want to lower its prices to compete with theserivals, and devised a plan to avoid doing so. To this day, 1-800 Contact prices for contact lenses remain consistently higher therptices of its online rivals.

The Bidding Agreements

- 17. In or around 2004, **8**00 Contactsbegan sending cease-addedsist letters to rivadinline sellers of contact lenseshose searchadvertisements appeared in response to user queries containing the term 4800 Contacts (or variations thereof)1-800 Contacts accused its rivals of infringing its trademarks.
- 18. 1-800Contactsclaimed—inaccurately—that the meréact thata rival's advertisement appeared in the resultspage response to query containing a1-800 Contacts trademark constituted infringement. 1-800 Contacts reatened to sue itsvals that did not agree tocease participating in these arch advertising uctions.
- 19. Most often, rivas quickly acceded to 1-800 ontacts' demands in order to avoid prolonged and costlly tigation. Only one competitor refused to settle and proceeded to litigation.
- 20. Between2004 and 201,31-800 Contacts intered at least fourte engreements with rival online selles of contact lenses ettling 1-800 Contacts' purported trademark claims restricting bidding in search advertising auctions competitors that agreed not to bid against 1-800 Contacts include:

- 21. The Bidding Agreements go well beyond prohibittrepdemark infringing conduct. They restrain a broad range of truthful, non-misleading, raoneconfusing advertising.
- 22. All fourteenBidding Agreements ar 1-800 Contacts competitor from bidding a search advertising auction fany of 1-800 Contacts' trademarked erms (*e.g.*, "1-800 Contacts") or variations thereofs (uch as common spelling).
- 23. All fourteen BiddingAgreements are reciprocal, barring00 Contactsrom bidding for the competitors' trademarked termsvariations thereofNotably, most of the competitors that entered interesBiddingAgreementshadneverraised trademark infringement claims or counterclaims against800 Contacts
- 24. Thirteenof the Bidding Agreementalsorequire 1800 Contacts' competition employ "negative keyword's directing the search engines not to displaye competitor's advertisement response to acarch quert that includes any of 1-800 ontacts' trademarkederms or variations there of ven if these arch engine algorithms determine that the advertisement would be relevant and useful to the Turser, even if a user entersa query for 1-800 Contactscheaper competitors' the user will see advertisements only for 800 Contacts (See Exhibit 1.) The undertakings also reciprocal requiring 1800 Contacts o employ its competitors that here and variations there of snegative keywords its own advertising campaigns
- 25. 1-800 Contacts as aggressively keyai

- 26. Only one online seller of contact lenses-Lens.com-did not settle with 1800 Contacts Instead, Lens.comitigated against 1800 Contacts significant expense. Ultimately, the Court of Appeals for the enthCircuit rejected 1-800 Contacts trademark infringement claims. The courtfound that onsumers were not confused when an advertisement for Lens.com appeared on tsearchresults page in response to a uppear of 1-800 Contacts' See 1-800 Contacts, Inc. v. Lens.com, Inc., 722 F.3d 1229, 12459 (10th Cir. 2013).And, in the absence of the likelihood of consumer confusiterre can be o infringement of 1800 Contacts' trademarks.
- 27. 1-800 Contactsargeted rivals whosedvertisements appeared on the search engine results page in response to a user query1fe000 Contactsor variations thereof1-800 Contactsacted without regard to whether the advertisememese likely to cause consumer confusioor infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademarks.

A REAL COLOR DE LE COLOR DE L

e. Depriving c

NOTICE OF CONTEMPLATED RELIEF

Should the Commission conclude from the record developed in any adjudicative proceedings in this matter that the Respondent/iolated or isviolating Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, as alleged in the complaint, the Commission may order such relief against Respondent as is supported by the record and is necessary and appropriate, including, but not limited to:

- 1. Ordering Respondento cease and desistof the conduct alleged in the complaint to violate Section 5 of the FTC Act, and to take all such measures as are appropriate to correct or remedy, or to prevent the recurrence of, the anticompetitive parctices engaged in by Respondent similar practices.
- 2. Prohibiting Respondent from, directly or indirectly, maintaining, entering into, or attempting to enter into, an agreement with **cony**tact lens retailer that restrains participationin or otherwiserestrains competition in any search advertising auction.
- 3. Prohibiting Respondention, directly or indirectly maintaining, entering into, or atterrompting (10 Sector) 1 D (to) a (26 gree) meenter ith constant lens retain to forbear from 2 (sector) 1 (to) 4 (to)
- 4. Prohibiting Respondent from, directly or indirectly, enforciatempting to enforce or threatening to enforce any provision and agreement that stricts bidding for search advertising or threat stricts the displayof advertisements in response to certain user search queries, or any provision yreement

- 8. Ordering Respondentor a period of time, to file annual compliance reports to the Commission describing its compliance with the requirements of the order. The order would terminate twenty years from the date it becomes final.
- 9. Requiring that Respondescompliance with the order may be monitored at Respondent's expense by an independent monitor, for a term to be determined by the Commission.
- 10. Any other relief appropriate to prevent, correct or remedy the anticompetitive effects in their incipiency of any or all of thencluct alleged in the complaint.

WHEREFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Federal Trade Commission on this eighth day of August, 201i6sues its complaint againsespondent.

By the Commission.

Donald	S.	Clark	
Secretary			

SEAL:

Exhibit 1

<u>File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help</u>		100.000		
			C Q Soarch	
				A
All News Shopping In	nages Videos More - Search			
About 1,150,000 results (0.63 secon	ds)			E
1800 Ad Www. 1600 4.7 ***** rating for 1800conta				
We Have Your Lenses in Stock & Re Free lens replacement · 24/7 Custor	ady to Ship. Grazy Tast Days			
Air Optix Use Your Vision Insurance	Easy Re-Order			
Where To Buy Contact L www.allabuy	enses _AllAbot = Vision.com			
But man, 1 are not consolid to the supply of lenses at one 1-800 Con				
Unber 🚧 👷 🗋 👘 🛄 🛄 👘				
	ນແຜງແລະຜູ້ແມ່ນເຫຼົ່າສູ່ໃນກາງຊື່ມີແຄນສາງແມ່ນເສົ້າແມ່ນເຊິ່ງແມ່ນ	าสระแรงระ(โพ้)ในแมเก็นพิธีอก <mark>ระ</mark>		
Fairness to Contact Lens	Consumers Act - 1 200 Contento un contacts-opposes-legislation-introdu • 1-8	aus 800 Contacts ▼		
Apr 12, 2016 - "This anti-consumer prices on many contact lenses			W X0000008 UUDAWX880000	
	comes Law L1-800 CONTACTS	niacis		
Further, the law eliminates	arriers to a			