UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS:	Edith Ramirez, Chairwoman Maureen K. Ohlhausen Terrell McSweeny	
In the Matter of)))	
Jerk, LLC, a limited liab also d/b/a JERK.(DOCKI
John Fanning, individually and a Jerk, LLC.) is a member of))	
)	

DOCKET NO. 9361

ORDER SCHEDULING BRIEFING ON REMAND

On May 9, 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit issued an opinion affirming "the Commission's entry of summary decision as to liability [in this proceeding] and all provisions of its remedial order except for compliance monitoring as to Fanning." Fanning v. Federal Trade Commission, 821 F.3d 16478777 st Cir. 2016). The court vacated and remanded that single portion of the Commission's order for further proceedings consistent with the court's opinion. atd178. The court'sudgment was entered on May 9, 2016, and, no petition for rehearing or for certionaring been filed, this proceeding is now pending before the Commission on remand.

The court's remand applies to a single paragraph of the Commission's Final Ourder iss on March 13, 2015. Paragraph VI of tharder reads relevant part

VI. COMPLIANCE MONITORING – JOHN FANNING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent John Fanning, for a period of ten (10) years after the date of issuance of this order, shall notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his current business or employment, or of his affiliation with any new business or employment. The notice shall include respondent's new business address and telephone number and a description of the nature of the business or employment and his duties and responsibilities. The court of appeals stated that this provision requires Mr. Fann'ingtify the Commission of all business affiliations and employment – regardless of henetr not the affiliate or entropyer has responsibilities relating to the order." Fanning, 821 F.3d at It Texplained that "[w] ithout any guidance from the Commission, we cannot find these provisions are reasonably related to Fanning's violation Id. at 177.

The Commission has determined that briefing Mary Fanning and Complaint Counsel would assist it in resolving the issue presented on remand. Such briefing shall be confined solely to that issueremanded by the court of appeals; that is *c*threpliance moitoring applicable to Mr. Fanning addressed in Paragraph VI of the Commission's Final.OAddeordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. On or before September 6, 2016, Mr. Fanning shall file a brief, not to exceed 2,000 words, addressing the foregoing issue Ong d a2c 0.0cw [(co)-ui -0.00poTJ 0.002 Td4()-1