UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION Plaintiff,

v.

LANIER LAW LLC, a Florida limited liability company, also d/b/a REDSTONE LAW GROUP and as LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL W. LANIER;

FORTRESS LAW GROUP LLC, a Florida limited liability company;

SURETY LAW GROUP LLP, a District of Columbia limited liability partnership;

LIBERTY & TRUST LAW GROUP OF FLORIDA LLC, a Florida limited liability company;

FORTRESS LAW GROUP, PC, a District of Columbia corporation;

REDSTONE LAW GROUP, LLC, a District of Columbia limited liability company, also d/b/a REDSTONE LAW GROUP, LLP;

MICHAEL W. LANIER, individually and as an owner, officer, manager, and/or representative of the above-mentioned entities;

Case No. 3:14-CV-786-J-34PDB

STIPULATED ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND MONETARY JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS SURETY LAW GROUP LLP, REDSTONE LAW GROUP, LLC, AND EDWARD WILLIAM RENNICK III ROGELIO ROBLES, aka Roger Robles, individually and as an owner, officer, manager, and/or representative of Surety Law Group, LLP, Fortress Law Group, PC, and Redstone Law Group, LLC;

and

EDWARD WILLIAM RENNICK III, individually and as an owner, officer, manager, and/or representative of Surety Law Group, LLP, Fortress Law Group, PC, and Redstone Law Group, LLC,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission" or "FTC"), filed its

Complaint for Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief, subsequently amended as

Amended Complaint for Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief ("Complaint"),
pursuant to Sections 13(b), and 19, of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15

U.S.C. §§ 53(b), and 57b. The Commission and Defendants Surety Law Group LLP,
Redstone Law Group, LLC, and Edward William Rennick III ("Settling Defendants")

stipulate to the entry of this Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary

Judgment ("Order") to resolve all matters in dispute in this action between them.¹

¹ On November 10, 2015, the Commission and the Settling Defendants filed a motion announcing that they had reached a settlement and requesting the entry of a stipulated order. <u>See</u> Joint Motion of Plaintiff and Defendants Edward Rennick III, Surety Law Group LLP, and Redstone Law Group LLC to Approve and File the Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment (Doc. 230; Joint Motion). The Commission and the Settling Defendants simultaneously filed a signed copy of their proposed Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants Surety Law Group LLP, Redstone Law Group, LLC, and Edward William Rennick III (Doc. 232; Proposed Order) for the Court's review. Upon review of the Proposed Order, the Court found it necessary to make certain changes and conducted a hearing

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

FINDINGS

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter.
- 2. The Complaint charges that Defendants participated in deceptive and unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, the FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule ("TSR"), 16 C.F.R. Part 310, and the Mortgage Assistance Relief Services Rule ("MARS Rule"), 16 C.F.R. Part 322, recodified as Mortgage Assistance Relief Services ("Regulation O"), 12 C.F.R. Part 1015, in connection with the marketing and sale of mortgage assistance relief services.
- 3. Settling Defendants neither admit nor deny any of the allegations in the Complaint, except as specifically stated in this Order. Only for purposes of this action, Settling Defendants admit the facts necessary to establish jurisdiction.
- 4. Settling Defendants waive and release any claims that they may have against the Commission and its agents that relate to this action, including but not limited to, any claim that they may have under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, concerning the prosecution of this action through the date of this Order, and agree to bear their own costs and attorney fees.
- 5. Settling Defendants waive all rights to appeal or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Order.

with the parties on July 29, 2016, to discuss the matter. See Minute Entry (Doc. 288). At the hearing, the Court

G. "**Person**" means a natural person, organization, or other legal entity, including a corporation, partnership, proprietorship, association, cooperative, or any other group or combination acting as an entity.

H. "Secured or Unsecured Debt Relief Product or Service" means:

- 1. With respect to any mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation between a Person and one or more secured or unsecured creditors or debt collectors, any product, service, plan, or program represented, expressly or by implication, to:
 - a. stop, prevent, or postpone any mortgage or deed of trust foreclosure sale for a Person's dwelling, any other sale of collateral, any repossession of a Person's dwelling or other collateral, or otherwise save a Person's dwelling or other collateral from foreclosure or repossession;
 - negotiate, obtain, or arrange a modification, or renegotiate, settle, or in
 any way alter any terms of the mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation,
 including a reduction in the amount of interest, principal balance,
 monthly payments, or fees owed by a Person to a secured or unsecured
 creditor or debt collector;
 - obtain any forbearance or modification in the timing of payments from any secured or unsecured holder or servicer of any mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation;
 - d. negotiate, obtain, or arrange any extension of the period of time within which a Person may (i) cure his or her default on the mortgage, loan,

- debt, or obligation, (ii) reinstate his or her mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation, (iii) redeem a dwelling or other collateral, or (iv) exercise any right to reinstate the mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation or redeem a dwelling or other collateral;
- e. obtain any waiver of an acceleration clause or balloon payment contained in any promissory note or contract secured by any dwelling or other collateral; or
- f. negotiate, obtain, or arrange (i) a short sale of a dwelling or other collateral, (ii) a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, or (iii) any other disposition of a mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation other than a sale to a third party that is not the secured or unsecured loan holder.

The foregoing shall include any manner of claimed assistance, including auditing or examining a Person's application for the mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation.

- 2. With respect to any loan, debt, or obligation between a Person and one or more unsecured creditors or debt collectors, any product, service, plan, or program represented, expressly or by implication, to:
 - a. repay one or more unsecured loans, debts, or obligations; or
 - combine unsecured loans, debts, or obligations into one or more new loans, debts, or obligations.
- I. "Seller" means any Person who, in connection with a Telemarketing transaction, provides, offers to provide, or arranges for others to provide goods or services to the

- customer in exchange for consideration, whether or not such Person is under the jurisdiction of the Commission.
- J. "Settling Defendants" means Settling Corporate Defendants and Settling Individual
 Defendant, individually, collectively, or in any combination.
 - a. "Settling Corporate Defendants" means Surety Law Group LLP,
 Redstone Law Group, LLC, and their successors and assigns.
 - b. "Settling Individual Defendant" means Edward William Rennick III.
- K. "Telemarketing" means a plan, program, or campaign which is conducted to induce the purchase of goods or services or a charitable contribution, by use of one or more telephones and which involves more than one interstate telephone call.

ORDER

I. BAN ON SECURED AND UNSECURED DEBT RELIEF PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

IT IS ORDERED that Settling Defendants are permanently restrained and enjoined from advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, or selling, or Assisting Others in the advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, or selling, of any Secured or Unsecured Debt Relief Product or Service.

II. PROHIBITION AGAINST MISREPRESENTATIONS RELATING TO FINANCIAL PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Settling Defendants, Settling Defendants' officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other Persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promoting,

offering for sale, or selling of any Financial Product or Service, are permanently restrained and enjoined from misrepresenting, or Assisting Others in misrepresenting, expressly or by implication:

- A. the terms or rates that are available for any loan or other extension of credit, including:
 - 1. closing costs or other fees;
 - 2. the payment schedule, monthly payment amount(s), any balloon payment, or other payment terms;
 - the interest rate(s), annual percentage rate(s), or finance charge(s), and whether they are fixed or adjustable;
 - 4. the loan amount, credit amount, draw amount, or outstanding balance; the loan term, draw period, or maturity; or any other term of credit;
 - 5. the amount of cash to be disbursed to the borrower out of the proceeds, or the amount of cash to be disbursed on behalf of the borrower to any third parties;
 - 6. whether any specified minimum payment amount covers both interest and principal, and whether the credit has or can result in negative amortization; or
 - 7. that the credit does not have a prepayment penalty or whether subsequent refinancing may trigger a prepayment penalty and/or other fees;

B. the ability to improve or otherwise affect a consumer's credit record, credit history, credit rating, or ability to obtain credit, including that a consumer's credit record, credit history, credit rating, or ability to obtain credit can be

- the product, service, plan, or program under the circumstances depicted in the advertisement; or
- J. any other fact material to consumers concerning any Financial Product or Service, such as: the total costs; any material restrictions, limitations, or conditions; or any material aspect of its performance, efficacy, nature, or central characteristics.

III. PROHIBITION AGAINST ABUSIVE TELEMARKETING PRACTICES

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in connection with Telemarketing,
Settling Defendants, Settling Defendants' officers, agents, employees, and attorneys,
and all other Persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive
actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, are permanently
restrained and enjoined from engaging in, causing others to engage in, or Assisting
Others engaging in, any of the following practices:

- A. Initiating any Outbound Telephone Call to any Person at a telephone number on the National Do Not Call Registry, unless Settling Defendants prove that:
 - 1. Settling Defendants have obtained the express agreement, in writing, of such Person to place calls to that Person. Such written agreement shall clearly evidence such Person's authorization that calls made by or on behalf of Settling Defendants may be placed to that Person, and shall include the telephone number to which the calls may be placed and the signature of that Person; or
 - 2. Settling Defendants have an Established Business Relationship with

such Person, and that Person has not previously stated that he or she does not wish to receive Outbound Telephone Calls made by or on behalf of Settling Defendants;

- B. Initiating any Outbound Telephone Call to a Person when that Person previously stated that he or she does not wish to receive an Outbound Telephone Call made by or on behalf of Settling Defendants; or
- C. Initiating any Outbound Telephone Call to a telephone number within a given area code when the annual fee for access to the telephone numbers within that area code that are on the National Do Not Call Registry has not been paid by or on behalf of Settling Defendants, unless the telephone call is:
 - 1. A solicitation to induce charitable contributions;
 - 2. To a business;
 - 3. To Persons who have given the Seller their express agreement, in writing and signed, to receive call from Settling Defendants; or
 - To Persons who have an Established Business Relationship with Settling Defendants.

IV. MONETARY JUDGMENT AND PARTIAL SUSPENSION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

Injunction and Monetary Judgment (Doc. 292), in favor of the Commission and against Lanier Law LLC, Fortress Law Group LLC, Liberty & Trust Law Group of Florida LLC, Fortress Law Group PC, Michael W. Lanier, and Rogelio Robles ("Non-Settling Defendants"). As such, the amount owed on the \$8,000,000 Judgment by the Settling Defendants shall be reduced by any amount over and above \$5,586,713 actually recovered by the Commission from the Non-Settling Defendants. Furthermore, the amount owed by the Non-Settling Defendants on the Judgment, as

- b. Account No. xxxxx , held in the name of Surety Law Group, LLP.
- Within fifteen (15) days of receiving notice of this Order, BBVA Compass Bank, its parent corporation, subsidiaries, principals, and agents shall transfer to the Commission by wire transfer, in accordance with instructions to be provided by the Commission, all funds in the name of, or for the benefit of, Settling Defendants, including but not limited to:
 - a. Account No. xxxxx , held in the name of Edward Rennick;
 - b. 50% of Account No. xxxxx , held in the name of Ameritrust Law Group;
 - c. 50% of Account No. xxxxx , held in the name of Ameritrust Law Group;
 - d. 50% of Account No. xxxxx , held in the name of Ameritrust Law Group;
 - e. After the transfers from Account Nos. xxxxx , xxxxx and , xxxx and , xxxxx and , xxxxx
- C. In partial satisfaction of the monetary judgment, within fifteen (15) days of entry of this Order, Defendant Edward William Rennick III shall deliver to the

- the Financial Statements of Corporate Defendants Surety Law Group LLP, and Redstone Law Group, LLC, signed by Edward William Rennick III on August 25, 2015, including the attachments;
- F. The suspension of the judgment will be lifted as to any Settling Defendant if, upon motion by the Commission, the Court finds that Settling Defendant failed to disclose any material asset, materially misstated the value of any asset, or made any other material misstatement or omission in the financial representations identified above.
- G. If the suspension of the judgment is lifted, the judgment becomes immediately due as to that Settling Defendant in the amount specified in Subsection A. above (which the parties stipulate only for purposes of this Section represents the unjust enrichment alleged in the Complaint), less any payment previously made pursuant to this Section, plus interest computed from the date of entry of this Order.
- H. Settling Defendants relinquish dominion and all legal and equitable right, title, and interest in all assets transferred pursuant to this Order and may not seek the return of any assets.
- I. The facts alleged in the Complaint will be taken as true, without further proof, in any subsequent civil litigation by or on behalf of the Commission, including in a proceeding to enforce its rights to any payment or monetary judgment pursuant to this Order, such as a nondischargeability complaint in any bankruptcy case.

- J. The facts alleged in the Complaint establish all elements necessary to sustain an action by the Commission pursuant to Section 523(a)(2)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(2)(A), and this Order will have collateral estoppel effect for such purposes.
- K. Settling Defendants acknowledge that their Taxpayer Identification Numbers (Social Security Numbers or Employer Identification Numbers), which Settling Defendants previously submitted to the Commission, may be used for collecting and reporting on any delinquent amount arising out of this Order, in accordance with 31 U.S.C. §7701.
- L. All money paid to the Commission pursuant to this Order may be deposited into a fund administered by the Commission or its designee to be used for equitable relief, including consumer redress and any attendant expenses for the administration of any redress fund. If a representative of the Commission decides that direct redress to consumers is wholly or partially impracticable or money remains after redress is completed, the Commission may apply any remaining money for such other equitable relief (including consumer information remedies) as it determines to be reasonably related to Settling Defendants' practices alleged in the Complaint. Any money not used for such equitable relief is to be deposited to the U.S. Treasury as disgorgement.

 Settling Defendants have no right to challenge any actions the Commission or its representatives may take pursuant to this Subsection.

M. The asset freeze imposed pursuan

C. failing to destroy such customer information in all forms in their possession, custody, or control within 30 days after receipt of written direction to do so from a representative of the Commission.

Provided, however, that customer information need not be disposed of, and may be disclosed, to the extent requested by a government agency or required by law, regulation, or court order.

VI. COOPERATION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Surety Law Group LLP, Redstone Law Group,

1.

- Each Settling Defendant must: (a) identify the primary physical, postal, and email address and telephone number, as designated points of contact, which representatives of the Commission may use to communicate with Settling Defendant; (b) identify all of that Settling Defendant's businesses by all of their names, telephone numbers, and physical, postal, email, and Internet addresses; (c) describe the activities of each business, including the goods and services offered, the means of advertising, marketing, and sales, and the involvement of any other Defendant (which Settling Individual Defendant must describe if they know or should know due to their own involvement); (d) describe in detail whether and how that Settling Defendant is in compliance with each Section of this Order; and (e) provide a copy of each Order Acknowledgment obtained pursuant to this Order, unless previously submitted to the Commission.
- 2. Additionally, Settling Individual Defendant must: (a) identify all telephone numbers and all physical, postal, email and Internet addresses, including all residences; (b) identify all business activities, including any business for which such Settling Individual Defendant performs services whether as an employee or otherwise and any entity in which such Settling Individual Defendant has any ownership interest; and (c) describe in detail such Settling Individual Defendant's

- involvement in each such business, including title, role, responsibilities, participation, authority, control, and any ownership.
- B. For 20 years after entry of this Order, each Settling Defendant must submit a compliance notice, sworn under penalty of perjury, within 14 days of any change in the following:
 - 1. Each Settling Defendant must report any change in: (a) any designated point of contact; or (b) the structure of any Settling Corporate Defendant or any entity that Settling Defendant has any ownership interest in or controls directly or indirectly that may affect compliance obligations arising under this Order, including: creation, merger, sale, or dissolution of the entity or any subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this Order.
 - 2. Additionally, Settling Individual Defendant must report any change in:
 (a) name, including aliases or fictitious name, or residence address; or
 (b) title or role in any business activity, including any business for
 which Settling Individual Defendant performs services whether as an
 employee or otherwise and any entity in which such Settling
 Individual Defendant has any ownership interest, and identify the
 name, physical address, and any Internet address of the business or
 entity.

B. personnel records showing, for each Person providing services, whether as an employee or otherwise, that Person's: name; addresses; telephone numbers; job title or position; dates of service; and (if applicable) the reason for termination;

- B. For matters concerning this Order, the Commission is authorized to communicate directly with each Settling Defendant. Settling Defendants must permit representatives of the Commission to interview any employee or other Person affiliated with any Settling Defendant who has agreed to such an interview. The Person interviewed may have counsel present.
- C. The Commission may use all other la