			TRADE COL	PAL TRADE COM	
	INITE	ATESECT	COERECENTER CONTINUE	10	
BF	Leikt THE FRE	ERAL'I RADE COMMI	SSIUN ILLOGI	<u>,</u>	
COMMISSI & ERBS	Maus & Kn R. Un		584293	1	
	Terrell McSweeny	maason	SECOND	/	
In the Matter of		Ĩ			
The P			UNIVAL .		
a corporation		200mor 119. 2000	LEE CAARA (2)		
and		PUTSLICDOUU			
P mnacle Health S	vstem.	Tex Shie Doe o			
a corporation.					
CONT	JOINT EXPEDI	TED MOTION FOR		11	
3	2		al Contor and Dinnacla		
	055		al Center and Pinnacle		
	nove to continue all cu	rrent deadlines in this ma	tier mucluding the		
comme					
that the Commission rea	won in a sense in the sense in	on evnedit se una mey cu	гаасаар несеззагу жерэ		
in light of the Commissi	on's decision.				
As requased by	Ruless - C(l(c) and	13.41(f) the <sup>in</sup> tre is "good	cause) for granting this brief		
		eptember 27 the Third Cir			
ordered the District Cou	rt for the Middle Distr	ict of Pennsylvania to prel	liminarily, eniojn		
Respondents' combinati	on pending the outcom	ne of the administration			
A CALL AND			anyoving had will the meeti	noa	
this week at Killermine			ing body win be meeting		
			1912		
		e parties, and commonly			
parties potentially involve	ved in this case from er	rrendina any resources iv	service of a proceeding		
that would ultimately be	rendered moot if Resu	ogndents elect not to pyrsi	e the combination And		
this continuance would	not impose any counter	rvailing harms. According	gly, the parties jointly		

PUBLIC

### BACKGROUND

The Commission initiated this administrative proceeding on December 7, 2015. Two days later, it filed a companion suit for preliminary injunctive relief in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. *FTC v. Penn State Hershey Med. Ctr.*, No. 1:15-cv-2362 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 9, 2015), ECF No. 1.

On May 9, 2016, the district court issued a memorandum opinion and order denying the Commission's request for preliminary injunctive relief. ECF No. 131. After an expedited appeal, the Third Circuit on September 27 reversed the district court and remanded, ordering the district court to preliminarily enjoin the merger pending the outcome of this administrative F7(T)-8(C)-7(v.)-4(

**PUBLIC** 

There are, on the other hand, very good reasons *not* to proceed with the hearing until after the Respondents finalize their decision. As the parties have jointly recognized in their prior motions for continuances, proceeding with the hearing would require substantial preparations and expenses on behalf of both Complaint Counsel and Respondents; going forward now would create the risk that those preparations and expenses will be rendered meaningless if Respondents choose to abandon the combination. This substantial burden, moreover, would not impact the parties alone, but rather would be especially onerous for the many third parties involved in this litigation. The parties have identified 66 third-party witnesses they may call to testify; proceeding with the hearing raises the risk that those many individuals will incur substantial outof-pocket expenses—and take time out of their schedules to travel to Washington, D.C.—all in furtherance of a proceeding that will likely be rendered moot. The parties have also obtained discovery from 53 non-parties that would need to expend money and effort reviewing their materials, identifying confidential materials, and potentially filing motions for *in camera* treatment. There is no basis for significantly burdening so many third parties in furtherance of an administrative hearing that may well be mooted—especially given that simply delaying the hearing will not impose any countervailing harm at all.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Complaint Counsel and Respondents jointly submit that the interests of all parties and third parties—as well as the Commission—are best served by continuing the administrative hearing for one week. The parties therefore jointly and respectfully request that the Commission continue all existing deadlines by one week.

### Dated: October 11, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ William H. Efron

William H. Efron Jared P. Nagley Geralyn J. Trujillo Ryan F. Harsch Jonathan W. Platt Nancy Turnblacer Theodore Zang Gerald A. Stein Peggy Bayer Femenella BUREAU OF COMPETITION FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION NORTHEAST REGION One Bowling Green, Suite 318 New York, NY 10004 wefron@ftc.gov T: (212) 607-2829 F: (212) 607-2822

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

/s/ Adrian Wager-Zito

Adrian Wager-Zito Kenneth W. Field Julie E. McEvoy Christopher N. Thatch William D. Coglianese JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 adrianwagerzito@jonesday.com T: (202) 879-3939 F: (202) 626-1700

Counsel for Respondents Penn State Hershey Medical Center & Pinnacle Health System

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

**The Penn State Hershey Medical Center**, a corporation,

and

Docket No. 9368

**Pinnacle Health System**, a corporation.

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

## [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO STAY THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

This matter having come before the Commission upon Complaint Counsel and Respondents' Joint Expedited Motion For Continuance of The Administrative Hearing, and having considered the positions of all parties, it is hereby ORDERED that the administrative hearing is continued for seven days until October 25, 2016, and that all pre-hearing deadlines are similarly extended.

SO ORDERED.

Date:

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 11, 2016, I filed the foregoing document electronically

using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark Secretary FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Rm. H-113 Washington, DC 20580 The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

William H. Efron

### Notice of Electronic Service

# I hereby certify that on October 11, 2016, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Joint Expedited Motion for Continuance of the Administrative Hearing, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on October 11, 2016, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Joint Expedited Motion for Continuance of the Administrative Hearing, upon:

William Efron Regional Director Federal Trade Commission wefron@ftc.gov Complaint

Ryan Harsch Attorney Federal Trade Commission rharsch@ftc.gov Complaint

Jared Nagley Attorney Federal Trade Commission jnagley@ftc.gov Complaint

Jonathan Platt Attorney Federal Trade Commission jplatt@ftc.gov Complaint

Gerald Stein Attorney Federal Trade Commission gstein@ftc.gov Complaint

Geralyn Trujillo Attorney Federal Trade Commission gtrujillo@ftc.gov Complaint

Nancy Turnblacer Attorney Federal Trade Commission nturnblacer@ftc.gov

#### Complaint

Theodore Zang Attorney Federal Trade Commission tzang@ftc.gov Complaint

Adrian Wager-Zito JONES DAY adrianwagerzito@jonesday.com Respondent

Toby Singer JONES DAY tgsinger@jonesday.com Respondent

Kenneth Field JONES DAY kfield@jonesday.com Respondent

Julia McEvoy JONES DAY jmcevoy@jonesday.com Respondent

William Coglianese JONES DAY wcoglianese@jonesday.com Respondent

Christopher Thatch JONES DAY cthatch@jonesday.com Respondent

Peggy Bayer Femenella Attorney Federal Trade Commission pbayer@ftc.gov Complaint

James W. Frost Attorney Federal Trade Commission jfrost@ftc.gov Complaint

Lynda Lao Attorney Federal Trade Commission llao1@ftc.gov Complaint

William Coglianese Attorney