## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-00103 (CRC)

MITCHELL P. RALES

Defendant.

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT

Plaintiff, United States of America, by the undersigned attorney, hereby certifies that, in compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. §(N)6(b), PPA"), the following procedures have been followed in preparation for the entry of the Final Judgment in this matter:

- The Complaint, Competitive Impact Statement, proposed Final Judgment, and Stipulation were filed with the Court on January 17, 2017. The parties have agreed to the Court's entry of the Final Judgment following compliance with the APPA.
- 2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the Federal Register on January 31\$2082 (Fed. Reg. 8852).
- 3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), copies of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were furnished to all persons requesting them and made available on the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division's internet site, as were the Complaint and Stipulation.

- 4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16,(a) summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment was published in The Washington Post, a newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia, for seven days beginning Jamuary 26, 2017, and ending on February 3, 2017.
- 5. As noted in the Competitive Impact Statement, there were no determinative materials ordocuments within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment, so none was furnished to any person pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) or listed pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c).
- 6. As required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(g), on January 18, 2017, Defendant filed with the Court a description of written or oral communications by or on behalfetendant, or any other person, with any officer or employee of the United States concerning the endopinal Judgment.
- 7. The sixty-day comment period prescribed by 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) and (d) for the receipt and consideration of written comments, during which the proposed Final Judgment could not be entered, ended April 4, 2017. The United States did not receive any comments on the proposed Final Judgment.
- 8. The parties have satisfied all the requirements of MeAthat were conditions for entering the proposed Final Judgment. The Court may now enter the Final Judgment if the Court determines that ursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(e), entry of the Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated:April [], 2017 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kenneth A. Libby Kenneth A. Libby