UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

02 22 2018 589752

In the Matter of **Tronox Limited** a corporation, National Industrialization Company (TASNEE) a corporation, **National Titanium Dioxide Company** Limited (Cristal) a corporation, And

> **Cristal USA Inc.** a corporation.

ORIGI, UL

Docket No. 9377

JOINT MOTION TO AMEND THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Complaint Counsel and Respondents, Tronox Limited, National Industrialization Company (TASNEE), National Titanium Dioxide Company Limited (Cristal), and Cristal USA Inc., jointly move to amend the Scheduling Order in the above-referenced matter. This is the first motion either party has filed for an amendment to the Court's December 20, 2017, Scheduling Order, revised by the Court on January 24, 2018.

In light of the need for accommodating the business and travel schedules of party and non-party witnesses for the upcoming depositions, Complaint Counsel and Respondents respectfully request the First Revised Scheduling Order be amended to move certain deadlines relating to fact and expert discovery. In particular, a brief extension of the discovery schedule will permit an orderly and efficient completion of fact discovery that will minimize any potential

1

ann chanles near teanannachtairte te rFratske seanne ar sa tamba dels benas dal

inioms.

Indicit 20, 201 at the pearitons have beguin and a reast narrane are scheduled, between new and

the end of fact discovery Five more are scheduled after the fact discovery out-off and at least 12.

more will need to be scheduled as well. Many of these demositions are third varie demos

summary of the testimony of each witness, including its

Dated: February 22, 2018

<u>/s/ Michael F. Williams</u> Michael F. Williams, P.C. Matthew J. Reilly, P.C. KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005 Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Dominic Vote</u> Dominic Vote Robert Tovsky Charles A. Loughlin Joonsuk Lee Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Comp g.,etiton CdAn

	proposed witness and exhibit lists, including depositions, copies of all exhibits (except for demonstrative, illustrative or summary exhibits and expert related exhibits), Complaint Counsel's basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness.
	Complaint Counsel serves courtesy copies on ALJ of its final proposed witness and exhibit lists, its basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness, including its expert witnesses.
April 20, 2018 -	Deadline for Respondents' Counsel to provide expert witness reports (to be provided by 4 p.m. ET). Respondents' expert report shall include (without limitation) rebuttal, if any, to Complaint Counsel's expert witness report(s).
April 20, 2018 -	Respondents' Counsel provides to Complaint Counsel its final proposed witness and exhibit lists, including depositions, copies of all exhibits (except for demonstrative, illustrative or summary exhibits and expert related exhibits), Respondents' basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness.
	Respondents' Counsel serves courtesy copies on ALJ its final proposed witness and exhibit lists, its basis of admissibility for each proposed exhibit, and a brief summary of the testimony of each witness, including its expert witnesses.
April 20, 2018 -	Parties that intend to offer confidential materials of an opposing party or non-party as evidence at the hearing must provide notice to the opposing party or non-party, pursuant to 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b). ² <i>See</i> Additional Provision 7.
April 30, 2018 -	Complaint Counsel to identify rebuttal expert(s) and provide rebuttal expert report(s). Any such reports are to be limited to rebuttal of matters set forth in Respondents' expert reports. If material outside the scope of fair rebuttal is presented, Respondents will have the right to seek appropriate relief (such as

² Appendix A to Commission Rule 3.31, the Standard Protective Order, states that if a party or third party wishes *in camera* treatment for a document or transcript that a party intends to introduce into evidence, that party or third party shall file an appropriate motion with the Administrative Law Judge within 5 days after it receives notice of a party's intent to introduce such material. Commission Rule 3.45(b) states that parties who seek to use material obtained from a third party subject to confidentiality restrictions must demonstrate that the third party has been

PUBLIC

Any subsequent stipulations may be offered as agreed by the parties.

Counsel may present any objections to the final proposed witness lists and exhibits. Trial exhibits will be admitted or excluded to the extent practicable. To the extent the parties agree to the admission of each other's exhibits, the parties shall prepare a list identifying each exhibit to which admissibility is agreed, marked as "JX2" and signed by each party, which list shall be offered into evidence as a joint exhibit. No signature by the ALJ is required.

May 18, 2018 - Commencement of Hearing, to begin at 10:00 a.m. in FTC Courtroom, Room 532, Federal Trade Commission Building, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580.

CERTIFICATE FOR ELECTRONIC FILING

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed document that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.