UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Joseph J. Simons, Chairman Noah Joshua Phillips

Rohit Chopra

Rebecca KellySlaughter Christine S. Wilson

In the Matter of) DOCKET NO. C-4701)
EmpiriStat, Inc.) DECISION AND ORDER a corporation.)

DECISION

The Federal Trade Commission Commission initiated an investigation of tain acts and practices of the Spondent named above in the caption Commission's Bureau of Consumer Protection ("BCP") prepared and furnished to Respondent a draft Complaint. BCP proposed to present the draft Complaint to the Commission for its consideration. If issued by the (6-2 ("Consent Agreement"). The Consent Agreement includes: 1) statements by Respondent that it neither admits nor denies of the allegations in the Complaint, except as specifically stated in this Decision and Order, and that only for purposes of this action, it at the its interest section is and 2) waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's Rules.

The Commission considered the matter and determined that it had reason to believe that Respondent has violated the Federal Trade Commission Act, and that a Complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect. The Commission accepted the executed Consent Agreement and placed it on the public record for a period of this (a) (days for the receipt and consideration of public comments. Now, in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in Rule 2.34, the Commission issues its Complaint, makes the following Findings, and issues the following Order:

Findings

 Respondent EmpiriStat, Inis. a Delaware corporation with ipsincipal office or place of business at 327 East Ridgeville Boulevard #122, Mount Airy, MD 21771. 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over Respondent, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

Definitions

For purposes of this Order, the following fightion apples

1. "Respondent" means EmpiriStat, Ina.corporation, and its successors and assigns.

Provisions

fourteen (14) days of any change in the following): a(11) designated point of contact; or (2) the structure of Respondent or any enthick Respondent has any ownership interest in or controls directly or indirectly that may affect compliance obligations arising under this Order, including: creation, merger, sale, or dissolution of the entity or any subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that

Provided, further, that if such complaint is dismissed or a federal court rules that Rident did not violate any provision of ther Oer, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then ther Oer will terminate according to this Provision as though the complaint had never been filed, except that there Owill not terminate between the date such complaint is filed and the later of the deadline for appealing such dismissal or ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

By the Commission.

April J. Tabor (a)4 (ug)10 (ht)-2 (he)4 (Soter 15 Td [c-6 (h)