UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Joseph J. Simons, Chairman Noah Joshua Phillips Rohit Chopra Rebecca Kelly Slaughter Christine S. Wilson

DOCKET NO. C-4708

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the Clayton Act and the Federade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), and by virtue of the authority vested in it by sakets, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to believe that Respondent FXI Higks, Inc. (FXI), an indirect subsidiary of Respondent One Rock Capital Partners II, (OPRe Rock) and Resondent Innocor, Inc. (Innocor), an indirect subsidiary of RespondentBain Capital Fund XI, LP (Bain) (each a "Respondent" or collectively "Respondents"), have agreed to an quisition, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15.0. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and it appearint becommission that proceeding in respect thereof would be in the public interest, herebaues its Complaint, stating its charges as follows:

I. RESPONDENTS

1. Respondent One Rock Capital Partners II, **L** limited partnership organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws

V. THE RELEVANT GEOGRAPHIC MARKETS

8. Regional geographic markets are appropriate. Low-Density Foam is bulky to ship because it contains a significant count of air, and freight costs case expensive relative to the value of the product. Three relevant geographic kets—the Pacific Nortwest, Midwest States, and Mississippi—are appropriate analyze the probable effects the Merger. The Pacific Northwest geographic market includes the state of Oregon and Washington and the Midwest States geographic market includes the states of Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio.

VI. MARKET STRUCTURE

9. FXI and Innocor are two of only five majourspliers of Low-Density Foam in the United States.

10. In the Pacific Northwest, FXI and Innocastre the only suppliers of Low-Density Foam.

11. In the Midwest States, FXI and Innocor **two** of the three major suppliers of Low-Density Foam.

12. In Mississippi, FXI and Innocor are two **bf**e four major suppliers of Low-Density Foam.

13. In each of the relevant markets, the Mergeruld result in highly concentrated markets and a significant increase inconcentration under the stands set forth in the 2010 U.S. Department of Justice and Federal Trade Costsionin Horizontal Merger Guidelines and the relevant case law, and, therefores Merger is presumptively unlawful.

VII. ENTRY CONDITIONS

14. Entry into the relevant markets describie dParagraphs 7 and 8 would not be timely, likely, or sufficient in magnitude, characten, descope to deter or counteract the expected anticompetitive effects of the Merger.

VIII. EFFECTS OF THE MERGER

15. The effects of the Merger, if consummated, may be to substantially lessen competition in the relevant lines of commerce, in violation Surfaction 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Actamended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, in the following ways:

- a. by increasing the likelihood of coordinan and parallel accommodating conduct among the remaining competitors in the relevant market; and
- b. by eliminating direct and substantial competition between FXI and Innocor.

IX. VIOLATIONS CHARGED

16. The allegations contained in Paragraphtsrough 15 above are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth here.

17.