UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.

3. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.Cl.391(b)(1), (b)(2),(c)(1),
(c)(2), and (d), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

<u>PLAINTIFF</u>

4. The FTC isan independent agency of the United States Government created by statute.
15 U.S.C. §§ 458. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act,
15 U.S.C.§ 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.

5. The FTC is athorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitutiefunder of monies paid, and the disgorgement egibleten monies. 15 U.S.C.58 (b).

DEFENDANTS

6. Defendant RCG Advances, LLC ("RCG")formerly known as Richmond Capital Group LLC and also doing business **Vais**eroy Capital Funding RamCapital Funding, is a New York limited liability company RCG lists its address in 11 John Street Suite 1210, New York, NY 10038RCG transacts or has transacted business in **Dts is** and throughout the United States At times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, RCG has advertised, marketed, offered, or distributed financing to businesses throughout the United States.

District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

COMMON ENTERPRISE

11. Defendants RCG and Ram (collectively, "Corporate Defendants") have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the unlawful acts and practices alleged below. Corporate Defendants have conducted the business practices described below using common officers, managers, business functions, employees, and office locations, andrhaviegled funds. Because these Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below fendants Giardina, Braun, and Reich have formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of the Corporate Defendants that constitute the common enterprise.

COMMERCE

12. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantiabourse of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act,15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

Overview

13.

Case 1:20-cv-04432 Document 1 Filed 06/10/20 Page 5 of 14

14. Defendants purport to provide immediate funds in a specific amount in exchange for consumers' agreement to repay a higher amount from future business revenues. The repayment amount is remitted over time through daily debits from consumers' bank accounts.

15. In advertising their financing products to consumers, Defendants falsely claim that their financing products do not feature a personal guaranty or upfront costs. In addition, Defendants promise consumers a specific amount of financing, but provide a much smaller amount. Defendants also engage in unfair collection practices, including, in some instances, by filing confessions of judgment against consumers in circumstances not permitted by their financing agreements and threatening physical violence, akel unauthorized debits from consumers' accounts.

Defendants' Misrepresentations Regarding Their Financing Products

16. Defendants advertise their financing productshoenInternet. On their website

Defendants claim that their financing product requires "no personal guaranty of collateral from business owners."

17. In reality, Defendants' financing contracts do includ**per**sonal guaranty" that consumers must agree to:

<u>Personal Guaranty of Performance</u> The undersigned Guarantor(s) hereby guarantees to RCG, Merchant's good faith, truthfulness and performance of all of the representations, warranties, covenants made by Merchant in the Merchant Agreement in Sections thereof 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13 and 2.14, as each agreement may be renewed; mended, extended or otherwise modified (the "Guaranteed Obligations"). Guarantor's obligations are due at the time of any breach by Merchant of any representation, warranty, or covenant made by Merchant in the Agreement.

18. In previous versions of theipotracts, Defendants included the following

provision:

Personal Guaranty. In the event of a Default under Sections 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10,

2.11, 2.12, 2.13, and 2.14 hereof, should RCG determine that the Purchase Amount cannot be obtained from the Merchant's business, RCG will enforce its rights against the

Defendants' Collections Practices

21. In order to obtain funding, Defendants require businesses and their owners to confess judgment to the full amount owed under the contract, so that Defendants can immediately proceed to court to collect a purportedly owed judgement. t the same time,

Defendants' contracts provide that Defendants will not hold consumers in breach if payments are

Case 1:20-cv-04432 Document 1 Filed 06/10/20 Page 8 of 14

24. In otherinstance, Defendants filed confessison judgment against consumer who did not breachelevant provisions of Defendants' financing agreements, including one consumerwho was still continuing to make daily payments to Defendants.

25. Because Defendantsonfessions of judgmemequire both the business entity and the individual owner to confess judgment to the entire repayment another filing the confession of judgment court Defendants many instances are able to seize consumers' business and episonal assets. Consumers do not expect to face onfession of judgment filing because, in a number of instances, consumers have not breached the relevant provisions in the financing agreement were promised that they would not be held in breach if they could not pay due to solved own in business revenues Numerous consumers report being financially devastated by Defendants' confession of judgment filings.

26. Defendants also make threatening collection calls to consumers, frequently using obscene or prathe languageto induce them to continue making payments or example, Defendants have threatened violence or other criminal means to harm the physical person, reputation, or property of the consumer or third pairlies y do not continue making to "break his jawlf payments. Defendants' representatives told one consumer they graving to "break his jawlf he did not make the required payments, and told another consumer they would "come down there and beat the s**t out of ou." Defendants threatened another sumer that if he did not pay, they would ruin his reputation by false by cusing him of being a child molester.

27. Defendants' threats caused or likely caused summers to their physical safety and or equivalent contractual and legal rights, including the right to have their payments reduced or reconciled induced the payment of a disputed payment obligation.

Defendants' Unauthorized Withdrawals

28. Defendants make unauthorized withdrawals from consumers' accounts. For example, although Defendants' contracts state that they will debit the specific daily amount once on each businessay, Defendants in many instances make two withdrawals from consumers' accounts on a single day following a bankidary. Consumers on the authorize these additional payments, do not expect to have their accounts debited twice in one day, and often face financial hardships and overdrawn accounts as a result function of the additional amounts withdrawn.

29. Based online facts and violations of law alleged in this Complaint, the FTC has reason to believe that Defendants are violating or are about to violate laws enforced by the Commission

VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT

30. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

31. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibed by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

32. Acts or practices are unfair under Section 5 of the FTC Act if they **cauase** likely to causesubstantial injury to consumers that consumers cannot reasonably avoid themselves and that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consum**erspetition**. 15 U.S.C. §45(n).

Count I

Case 1:20-cv-04432 Document 1 Filed 06/10/20 Page 11 of 14

that consumers cannot reasonably avoid themselves and that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition.

38. Therefore, Defendats' acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 36 constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), (n).

Count III

Unfair Collection Threats

39. In numerous instances, Defendants **intyfa**seek to induce consumetos make payments, including by threatening to use violence or **outhlar**wful or criminal means to harm the physical person, reputation, or property of the consumer or third particlesulor consumers' businesses.

40. Defendants' actions cause or are likely to cause substantial injury to consumers that consumers cannot reasonably avoid themselves and that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition.

41. Therefore, Defendants' acts or pr**aets** as set forth in Paragraph 39 constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), (n).

Count IV

Unauthorized Withdrawals

42. In numerous instances, Defendants with drands from consumers' bank accounts without the express informed consent of those consumers.

43. Defendants' actions cause or are likely to cause substantial injury to consumers that consumers cannot reasonably avoid themselves and that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition. 44.

B. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, **linding** rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of monies and

C. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

ALDEN F. ABBOTT General Counsel

Dated:(o)1 (K2M (K2M (K2M (K2M 0 Td [(AL)-1 (DE)-6 (N F)-1 (.)-2 ()-5 (ABB)-5 (OT)-1 (T)]

MD.

MCHAEL