		Sumer'it 1 Filed 0.9/27/17_ Pane.	Julo Page ID
		FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
1	DAVID C. SHORKAT	CLEAR, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
2	Acting General Counsel K. MICHELLE GRAJALES	9/27/17	
	mgrajales@ftc.gov		- · · · ·
3	LISA ANNE ROTIFIARR	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALLFORNIA BY: <u>PK</u> DEPUTY	
4	lrothfarb@ftc.gov	BI: LIC DEPUTY	
5	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		
~	600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW		a
8	Mail Stop: CC-102322		
78 <sup>1 1</sup> 1	Walsinngrou, DC 2680		
<b>E</b> 17	(202) 33 ( 37 5) 72		
9			
	JOHN D. JACOBS, Cal. Bar No. 134154		
10	jjacobs@ftc.gov		
11	FFDFDALATLAINS C	0"	
12	Los Angeles, CA 90024		
	Tel: (310) 874 1343 542; 1/ax. (310) 8244	4380	
13	Attorne s for Plaintiff		
14 CLEES			
15	UNITEDTATES	ICTDICT COUDT	:
	9/25/17 CENTRAL DISTRU		
16 CENTRAL	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
ву1:7	ER deputy	) Civ. No. LA17CV07044-SJO(JCx	z)
18	FEDER EKAL DE COMMISSION		118
19		COMPLAINT E	
122322	Plaintiff,	) INJUNCTION AND STREET	
<b>.</b>			
21	VS.	) ) FILED UNDER SEAL	
22	A1 DOCPRE INC., a corporation;		
23	STREAM TEINED MATTICE LING,	a i	
1999	cor ation, als "dos juict the		
24	Students a statione clipplitt Aimen*		
25	ELOOM LAW GROUP PC, a		
26	prof maintai corporatio and former		
1000000000	Home Schield Network		
27	Home OSA: and HOMAN APDALAN individually and	)	
28	HOMAN ARDALAN, individually and	-	

	Case 2:17-cv-07044-	SJO-JC	Document 1	Filed 09/27/17	Page 2 of 39	Page ID #:2
1	as an officer of A1 I	DOCPRE	EP INC., {			
2			)			
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22 23						
23 24						
24						
26						
27						
28						

with their deceptive marketing and sale of student loan debt relief and mortgage assistance relief services.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 6102(c), 6105(b), and Section 626 of the Omnibus Act, as clarified by Section 511 of the Credit Card Act, and amended by Section 1097 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. § 5538.

3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (b)(2),
(c)(1), (c)(2), and (d), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

#### **PLAINTIFF**

4. The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108. Pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, the FTC promulgated and enforces the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive and abusive telemarketing acts or practices in or affecting commerce. Pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 5538, the FTC also enforces the MARS Rule (Regulation O), which requires mortgage assistance relief services ("MARS") providers to make certain disclosures, prohibits certain representations, and generally prohibits the collection of an advance fee.

Case 2:17-cv-07044-SJO-JC Document 1 Filed 09/27/17 Page 4 of 39 Page ID #:4 5. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act, the TSR, and the MARS

interrelated network of companies that have common ownership or managers, and that have commingled funds. For example, Ardalan controls funds in each of the corporate defendants' bank accounts, and bank records show consistent, substantial payments from both A1 and Bloom Law Group accounts to Stream Lined's corporate account. Because these Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below. Defendant Ardalan has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of the Corporate Defendants that constitute the common enterprise.

### **COMMERCE**

11. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act,15 U.S.C. § 44.

# DEFENDANTS' DECEPTIVE STUDENT LOAN DEBT RELIEF AND MORTGAGE ASSISTANCE RELIEF OPERATION

12. Since at least May 2016, Defendants have operated an unlawful debt relief enterprise that preys on consumers with student loan debt. Defendants have lured consumers with text messages and telephone calls that falsely purport to be from the U.S. Department of Education ("ED") offering time-limited participation in forgiveness programs. Defendants promise to reduce consumers' monthly

	Case 2:17-cv-07044-SJO-JC	Document 1	Filed 09/27/17	Page 8 of 39	Page ID #:8
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

### **Background on Student Loan Forgiveness and Repayment Programs**

15. Student loan debt is the second largest class of consumer debt; more than 42 million Americans collectively owe nearly \$1.3 trillion. The student loan market shows elevated levels of distress relative to other types of consumer debt.

16. To address this mounting level of distressed debt, ED and state government agencies administer a limited number of student loan forgiveness and discharge programs. Most consumers, however, are not eligible for these programs because of strict eligibility requirements. For example, one program requires the consumer to demonstrate a total and permanent disability; another applies only to consumers whose school closed while the consumer was still enrolled. A third program, the Borrower Defense to Repayment ("BDR"), may provide a loan discharge if the school, through an act or omission, violated state law directly related to the borrower's federal student loan or to the educational services for which the loan was provided.

17. Other forgiveness programs require working in certain professions for a period of years. Teacher Loan Forgiveness applies to teachers who have worked full-time for five years in a low-income elementary or secondary school or educational service agency. Public Service Loan Forgiveness ("PSLF") applies to employees of governmental units or non-profit organizations who make timely monthly payments for a period of ten years while employed in the public sector.

-9-

35. Defendants have charged consumers fees for purported debt relief services before achieving any results, and, in many instances, have failed to achieve any results at all on behalf of the consumers. Defendants' total advance fees have typically been in the range of \$900-\$1,500. Defendants' telemarketers typically have obtained consumers' payment information on the initial phone call.

36. In many instances, Defendants have e-mailed consumers a link to a contract to sign electronically. Defendants typically have pressured consumers into quickly electronically signing the contract while the telemarketer is still on the phone. Buried in the contract document is language at odds with the statements in Defendants' advertisements and telephone communications with consumers: "Client understands and acknowledges the fact that A1DocPrep is only a document

lender," nor does the website state "Even if you accept this offer and use our service, your lender may not agree to change your loan."

40. Defendants have conducted outbound telephone calls to consumers using the business names of "Home Shield Network," "Keep Your Home USA," or "Legal Network Group."

41. Defendants have typically referred consumers to one of several purported law firms, including Bloom Law Group, using statements such as "they are really good at getting clients loan modifications," and "they have a 98%

45. In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives from "Home Shield Network" or other purported public assistance programs have instructed consumers they must pay a fee by cashier's check, typically \$900, to Bloom Law Group before Bloom Law Group will send any retainer agreement or paperwork to the consumer. During these consumer-specific commercial communications, Defendants have not provided any of the following disclosures: (1) "You may stop doing business with us at any time. You may accept or reject the offer of mortgage assistance we obtain from your lender [or servicer]. If you reject the offer, you do not have to pay us [insert amount or method of calculating amount] for our services;" (2) "[Company] is not associated with the government, and our service is not approved by the government or your lender;" (3) "Even if you accept this offer and use our service, your lender may not agree to change your loan;" and (4) "If you stop payia2i ( 502-2.2(r )14.8(serviceraseo )-12.96.72)-2.4(ag )14. N perr(thedo )]Teo h service is not approved by the government or your lender," nor does the website state "Even if you accept this offer and use our service, your lender may not agree to change your loan."

47. Defendants typically have had a purported paralegal from Bloom Law Group contact consumers and email them a "Litigation Preparation Program Package" containing several documents, including an "Attorney-Client Pro Bono Legal Agreement."

48. Despite the "pro bono" attorney client legal agreement, over the next several months, the "Home Shield Network," "Keep Your Home USA," or "Legal Network Group" representative that originally referred the consumer to Bloom Law Group has typically contacted the consumer and claimed it was time for the consumer to make an additional payment to Bloom Law Group. Defendants typically collect a total of approximately \$4,500 in fees, spread over several monthly payments.

49. In numerous instances, Defendants have requested or received payment of fees before the consumer has executed a written agreement with the

50. In numerous instances, consumers who enrolled with Bloom Law Group have never spoken or met with an attorney. All correspondence from the Bloom Law Group is typically signed by the same purported attorney, who is not barred in or licensed to practice in most consumers' various states of residence. 51. In numerous instances, Defendants have failed to obtain a loan modification, principal reduction, or other relief to stop foreclosure or make consumers' mortgage payments affordable. In some instances, Defendants have changed the contact in(r1(rCl(Tc -08.5(ndt c60.9(o46.3(s )1396(um)8.9(ers w56.6(i)-9.1(hf t60.9 Defendntes Ue60wfal Ce6 toCBo nsumers8hrin 2 oolt 2 an <</MCID61 >>BDC /TT1 1 Tf 0.0043 Tc 0 Tw 92.62 -2.282 o67.2(u67.2ned)11(ela57.8e(m)18.8(rke(ti)584(gt c1-1.8(a-1.8la57.8(l)-1.2(d 640.6(ual efi)10.2e5(ndtac(ce)9.2(s (c)728oo)-24( (c)728ho)-24(ef t60.2elec)8 54. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

### **VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT**

### Count I

## **Deceptive Student Loan Debt Relief Representations**

55. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of student loan debt relief services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication that:

a. Defendants are part of or affiliated with the government, government loan programs, or the Department of Education; and
b. Consumers who purchase Defendants' debt relief services generally will have their monthly payments reduced or their loan balances forgiven in whole or in part.

56. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have made the representations set forth in Paragraph 55 of this Complaint, such representations were false or not substantiated at the time Defendants made them.

57. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 55 of this Complaint are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

# **Count II**

### **Deceptive Mortgage Assistance Relief Representations**

58. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of mortgage assistance relief services,Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication that:

- a. Defendants are part of or affiliated with the government, or government programs; and
  - b. Defendants would generally obtain a loan modification for consumers that would make their payments substantially more affordable or help them avoid foreclosure.

59. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have made the representations set forth in Paragraph 58 of this Complaint, such representations were false or not substantiated at the time Defendants made them.

60. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 58 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

### THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

61. Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting abusive and deceptive telemarketing acts or practices pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, 15

limited to, a reduction in the balance, interest rate, or fees owed by a person to an unsecured creditor or debt collector. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(o).

64. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from requesting or receiving payment of any fees or consideration for any debt relief service until and unless:

The seller or telemarketer has renegotiated, settled, reduced, or

b.

a.

78. In connection with telemarketing, Defendants initiated or caused others to initiate numerous outbound telephone calls to consumers who have registered their telephone numbers on the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).

### **Count VII**

# Failure to Pay Required Fee for Access to National Do Not Call Registry

79. In connection with telemarketing, Defendants initiated or caused others to initiate numerous outbound telephone calls to telephone numbers within a given area code when Defendants had not, either directly or through another person, paid the required annual fee for access to the telephone numbers within that area code that are included in the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.8.

## THE MORTGAGE ASSISTANCE RELIEF SERVICES RULE

80. In 2009, Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting
unfair or deceptive acts or practices with respect to mortgage loans. Omnibus Act,
§ 626, 123 Stat. 678, as clarified by Credit Card Act, § 511, 123 Stat. 1763-64.
Pursuant to that direction, the FTC promulgated the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part
322, all but one of the provisions of which became effective on December 29,
2010. Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act, 124 Stat. 1376, transferred the FTC's

### Case 2:17-cv-07044-SJO-JC Document 1 Filed 09/27/17 Page 31 of 39 Page ID #:31

communicate with his or her lender or servicer. 12 C.F.R. § 1015.3(a), formerly codified as 16 C.F.R. § 322.3(a).

84. The MARS Rule prohibits any mortgage assistance relief service provider from misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, any material aspect of any mortgage assistance relief service, including but not limited to:

a. the likrv2 TD( -2.299 To/LBody AMCI)-1.7.1(i)d (t)1JTrhnn.1.97 reW 0 Tdy 2r ser

86. The MARS Rule prohibits any mortgage assistance relief service provider from failing to place a statement in every consumer-specific commercial communication (i) confirming that the consumer may stop doing business with the provider or reject an offer of mortgage assistance without having to pay for the services, (ii) disclosing that the provider is not associated with the government and its service is not approved by the government or any lender, and (iii) in certain cases, a statement disclosing that the lender may not agree to modify a loan, even if the consumer uses the provider's service, and (iv) in certain cases, a statement disclosing that if they stop paying their mortgage, consumers may lose their home or damage their credit. 12 C.F.R. §§ 1015.4(b)(1)-(3) and (c); formerly codified as 16 C.F.R. §§ 322.4(b)(1)-(3) and (c).

87. Pursuant to the Omnibus Act, § 626, 123 Stat. 678, as clarified by the Credit Card Act, § 511, 123 Stat. 1763-64 and amended by the Dodd-Frank Act, § 1097, 124 Stat. 2102-03, 12 U.S.C. § 5538, and pursuant to Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of the MARS Rule (Regulation O) constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

#### VIOLATIONS OF THE MARS RULE

### **Count VIII**

### **Advance Payment for MARS**

88. In numerous instances, in the course of providing, offering to provide, or arranging for others to provide mortgage assistance relief services, Defendants ask for or receive payment before consumers have executed a written agreement between the consumer and the loan holder or servicer that incorporates the offer obtained by Defendants, in violation of the MARS Rule (Regulation O), 12 C.F.R. § 1015.5(a).

### **Count IX**

### **Prohibited Representations**

89. In numerous instances, in the course of providing, offering to provide, or arranging for others to provide mortgage assistance relief services, Defendants, in violation of the MARS Rule (Regulation O), 12 C.F.R. § 1015.3(a), have represented, expressly or by 8.5prplR71Bsnn (R(-)-3.u8.3th.6( rec Tf-0f)Ior 10.ola7.9(ve )]Tf0.0 in violation of the MARS Rule (Regulation O), 12 C.F.R. § 1015.3(b)(1)-(4), have misrepresented, expressly or by implication, material aspects of their services, including, but not limited to:

1

2

4	including, but not	limite	d to:		
5	a.	Defendants' likelihood of obtaining mortgage loan			
6		modifications for consumers that will make their payments			
7					
8		subst	antially more affordable; and		
9	b.	Defe	ndants are affiliated with, endorsed or approved by, or		
10		other	wise associated with:		
11		ounor	wise associated with.		
12		i.	the United States government,		
13		ii.	any governmental homeowner assistance plan,		
14		•••			
15		iii.	any Federal, State, or local government agency, unit, or		
16			department,		
17		iv.	any non-profit housing counselor agency or program,		
18					
19		v.	the maker, holder, or servicer of the consumer's dwelling		
20			loan, or		
21		vi.	any other individual, entity, or program.		
22		v1.	any other murvidual, entity, or program.		
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

# **Count XI**

## **Failure to Disclose**

91. In numerous instances, in the course of providing, offering to provide, or arranging for others to provide mortgage assistance relief services, Defendants have failed to make the following disclosures:

a.	in all	general communications –
	i.	"[Name of Company] is not associated with the
		government, and our service is not approved by the
		government or your lender," in violation of the MARS
		Rule (Regulation O), 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(a)(1); and
	ii.	"Even if you accept this offer and use our service, your
		lender may not agree to change your loan," in violation
		of the MARS Rule (Regulation O), 12 C.F.R.
		§ 1015.4(a)(2);
b.	in all	consumer-specific commercial communications –
	i.	"You may stop doing business with us at any time. You
		may accept or reject the offer of mortgage assistance we
		obtain from your lender [or servicer]. If you reject the
		offer, you do not have to pay us. If you accept the offer,
		you will have to pay us [insert amount or method for

-35-

A. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to a temporary and preliminary injunction, asset freeze, appointment of a receiver, an evidence preservation order, and expedited discovery.

B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTCAct, the TSR, and the MARS Rule by Defendants;

C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, the TSR, and the MARS Rule, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

	Case 2:17-cv-07044-SJO-JC Document 1 Filed 09/27/17 Page 39 of 39 Page ID #:	39
		1
2		
67		1
Z		
-	a 🕹 🕂 🔆 arangunan arang	111
ě		
7	n. Michelle majary	
		1
C	Lisa Anne Koller and Liard and Lish Dull (achicola)	Ti la constante da c
1		1
1		1
1	. <i>K</i>	
1		
1,		
1	S a -	
8		
		5
1		
1		94 1 -
2		
2		
2		
2		
2		
		_
2		
2	8	×
2 2		
2		
	-39-	
		T