ANALYSIS OF AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDERS TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT In the Matter of CoreLogicInc. Docket No. C-4458

I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission (Commission) has accepted public comment, subject to final approval Agreement Containing Consent Order ("Consegnteement) from Responden CoreLogic. ("CoreLogic"). The Consent Agreement is intended to remedy the impact of CoreLogic's failure to comply full with the Decision and Order previously issued in this matter.

Under the terms of the proposed Consent Agreement, CoreLogic consents to the Commission issuing order to Show Cause and Order Modifying Order. In the Order to Show Cause, the Commission issuing the change proposes to make to the Decision and Order and the reasons these changes are neces to the Commission issuing the Modifying Ordenmending the Decision and Order.

The Commission has placed the proposed Configuretement on the public record for 30

CoreLogic's acquisition. In addition, CoreLogic is required to licensepanded updated bulk data to RealtyTrac for at least five years. CoreLogidsis required to provide information and assistance to RealtyTrac so that RealtyTrac can replicate DataQuick's ability to gather, license and maintain national bulk datafeter RealtyTrac's license with CoreLogic expires

The Decision and Order requires relogicto enter an agreement with RealtyTrac to license the required data thin 10 days of purchasing DataQuick. Sixty days after entering the license with RealtyTracCoreLogic was to provide ataQuicks bulk data and begin delivering updated bulk data. Coregic and RealtyTrac entered the ense agreement of March 26, 2014.

The Order also contains a number of provisions to support RealtyTrac's efforts to maintain competition in the bulk data mark@oreLogic must allow certain legacy DataQuick customers to terminate their DataQuick contracts in order to do business with RealtyTrac, and, during a period lasting until nine months after the Divestiture Date, include a six month termination clause in all new agreements with former DataQuick bulk data customer addition, the Decision and Order requires CoreLogic to facilitate RealtyTrac's ability to hire experienced DataQuick employees. Finally, the Order appoints Mr. Mitchell S. Pettit as monitor to oversee CoreLogic's compliance with the Order.

IV. The Order to Show Cause

When CoreLogic signed the Consent Agreement, it represented that it could fulfill the terms of the Decision and Order. Instead, soon after CoreLogic began delivering bulk data to RealtyTrac, RealtyTrac discovered titawas missing data that DataQuick has provided to bulk data customersRealtyTrac continued to uncover additional missing data for at least the next 2 years. When RealtyTracontacted CoreLogic about the missing data, CoreLogic provided the data, butat a timewell after the deadline for providing data in the Order. Contrary to the requirements of the Order, CoreLogic did not proactively identify the full scope of bulk data that DataQuick had used and ens@ereLogic was delivering this dataRealtyTrac. Iraddition, CoreLogic did not provide RealtyTrac, Commission staff, or the monitor with complete and accurate information regarding the manner in which DataQuick provided bulk data to customers.

CoreLogic alsodid not provideRealtyTrac certain data that DataQuick licensed from third parties. The Decision and Order requires CoreLogic to provide all of the bulk data that DataQuick used, including data licensed from third parties. CoreLogic agreed to this provision when it signed the Decision and Order. Heaver, after the Commission entered the Decision and Order, CoreLogicnformed Commission staff that it utal not provide RealtyTrac with some of the required data because of limitations on DataQuirights to sublicense the data. CoreLogic offered to provide information and introductions to enable RealtyTrac to attempt to license the datarom its owners. Although useful, this offer did roommply withDecision and Orderand required RealtyTrac to experioditional resources not contemplated when the Commission issued the Decision and Order.

It also appear that CoreLogic did not provided of the support to RealtyTrac that was required by the Order. For example, CoreLogicopped stradard third party testing of an

ancillary productin violation of the Decision and Ordeand did not tell RealtyTrac or Commission staff that it hastopped this testingRealtyTrac subsequent discovered aquality issuewith the product that CoreLogic did not discover through the quality control processes. The issue was ultimately esolved and third party testing resumed.

To help resolve the issue of missing data, the Monitor hired a Technical Assistant, Dr. Thomas Teague. Dr. Teague helped the Monitor develop and recommend a technical plan to (i) identify the data that CoreLogic was required to provide under the Order, (ii) provide all missing data and information to RealtyTrac, and (iii) verify that the required data and information had been provided. With the help of the Monitor, CoreLogic is in the final stages of completing this plan with RealtyTrac. After thaCoreLogic willtransfer of all required information regarding DataQuick's bulk data business to RealtyTrac.

CoreLogic's actions violated the Decision and Order interfered with its remedial gob of maintaining competition the market affected by CoreLogic's acquisition of DataQuick CoreLogic slowed the deliverof DataQuick's bulk data and information RealtyTrac. Further, RealtyTrac relied on CoreLogion Saccurate assertions that it was providing RealtyTrac with all of DataQuick's bulk dataThese actions, which violated its obligations under the Order, harmed RealtyTrac's reputation and required RealtyTrac to expend technical and financial resources uncover missing data.

V. The Order Modifying Order

The most significant modification the Decision and Order is a three-year extension of the period during which CoreLogic must provide updated bulk data to RealtyTrac. The initial five-year term in the Decision and Order will expireMarch 2019. This extension will remediate the effect of oreLogic's delays in providing all of the required dataRealtyTrac and extend CoreLogic's obligations through March 2022.

The Order Modifying Order also addleso detailed addendato the Decision and Order: a Technical Transfer Plan and a Service Level Addendum. The Technical Transfer Plan identifies the steps CoreLogic will take to transfer required data and information. The Service Level Addendum requires CoreLogic to meet certain data quality metrics dentifies the steps that CoreLogic must take to resolvery quality issues that arise TheOrder Modifying Order also requires CoreLogic to provide prior notice before modifying Date Quick Fulfillment Platform, which will allow the Commisson to verify that CoreLogic has not altered the platform in a manner that violates the Order

Finally, theOrder Modifying Order resets wo deadlines and decreases the frequency of required compliance reports. CoreLogic marstvide customers early termination rights until nine months after completion of the first portion of the Technical Transfer Plan and provide technical assistance to RealtyTrac until one year admerpletion of the Technical Transfer Plan. The frequency of interim compliance reports extended rom every 60 days to every 90 days. This reduces the burden on CoreLogic without diminishing the abflitty estaff and the Monitor to effectivelymonitor CoreLogic's compliance with the Decision and Order and Order Modifying Order.

The Commission does not intend this analysis to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed ConseAgreementor to modify its terms in any way.