IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

S

T

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff,

v M I S _ PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND MONETARY JUDGMENT AGAINST MORGAN JOHNSON

JS-6: Morgan Johnson Only

es before the court upon the stipulation of Plaintiff, the sion ("FTC" or "Commission"), and Settling Defendant ason") for the entry of a Permanent Injunction against

018, the FTC filed its Complaint for a Permanent elief pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade CAct"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) (Dkt. 1). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. iled an ex parte application for a temporary restraining requitable relief, and an order to show cause why a should not issue against Digital Altitude LLC, Digital e Processing LLC, Aspire Processing Limited, Aspire

erprises Inc., RISE Systems & Enterprise LLC (Utah), RISE

1 Systems & Enterprise LLC (Nevada), Soar International Limited Liability 2 Company, The Upside, LLC, Thermography for Life, LLC, d/b/a Living 3 Exceptionally, Inc., Michael Force, Mary Dee, Morgan Johnson, Alan Moore, and 4 Sean Brown (collectively, "Defendants). Dkt. 5. 5 The Court granted the application, issuing a temporary restraining order ("TRO") on February 1, 2018, including an asset freeze, appointment of a receiver, 6 7 immediate access to Defendants' business premises, and other temporary relief. 8 Dkt. 34. The TRO also ordered Defendants to appear on February 15, 2018 and 9 show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue against them. The 10 parties stipulated to a continuance of the show cause hearing and the court granted 11 that request, extending the TRO and continuing the hearing to March 5, 2018. Dkt. 12 61. Prior to the hearing, the FTC and Defendant Johnson agreed to the entry of a 13 preliminary injunction for the duration of this litigation. This Court entered a 14 Preliminary Injunction on March 1, 2018. Dkt. 91. The Commission and Defendant 15 Johnson now stipulate to the entry of this Stipulated Order for Permanent 16 Injunction and Monetary Judgment ("Order") to resolve all matters in dispute in 17 this action between them. 18 THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED as follows: 19 **FINDINGS** 20 A. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter. В. 21 The Complaint charges that Defendant Johnson participated in deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45. 22 23 C. Defendant Johnson neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in 24 the Complaint, except as specifically stated in this Order. Only for purposes of this 25 action, Defendant admits the facts necessary to establish jurisdiction. 26 D. Defendant Johnson waives any claim that she may have under the 27 Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, concerning the prosecution of this

1 action through the date of this Order, and agrees to bear her own costs and attorney 2 fees. 3 E. Defendant Johnson and the Commission waive all rights to appeal or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Order. 4 5 **DEFINITIONS** For the purpose of this Order, the following definitions apply: 6 "Acquirer" or "Acquiring Bank" means a business organization, 7 A. Financial Institution, or an agent of a business organization or Financial Institution 8 9 that has authority from an organization that operates or licenses a credit card 10 system (e.g., Visa, MasterCard, American Express or Discover) to authorize Merchants to accept, transmit, or process payment by credit card through the credit 11 12 card system for money, products, or anything else of value. 13 В. "Business Coaching Program" means any program, plan, or product, 14 including those related to work-at-home-opportunities, that is represented, 15 expressly or by implication, to train or teach a participant or purchaser how to 16 establish a business or earn money or other consideration through a business or 17 other activity. "Corporate Defendants" means Digital Altitude LLC; Digital 18 C. Altitude Limited; Aspire Processing LLC; Aspire Processing Limited; Aspire 19 20 Ventures Ltd; Disc Enterprises Inc.; RISE Systems & Enterprise LLC (Utah); 21 RISE Systems & Enterprise LLC (Nevada); Soar International Limited Liability 22 Company; The Upside, LLC; Thermography for Life, LLC, d/b/a Living 23 Exceptionally, Inc., and each of their subsidiaries, affiliates, successors, and 24 assigns. 25 "Credit Card Laundering" means: (a) presenting or depositing into, D. 26 or causing or allowing another to present or deposit into, the credit card system for 27 payment, a Credit Card Sales Draft generated by a transaction that is not the result

of a credit card transaction between the cardholder and the Merchant; (b)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

organization, or other entity that enables an individual, a business, or other organization to accept payments of any kind. L. "Payment Processing" means providing a Person, directly or indirectly, with the means used to charge or debit accounts through the use of any payment method or mechanism, including, but not limited to, remotely created payment orders, remotely created checks, ACH debits, or debit, credit, prepaid, or stored value cards. Whether accomplished through the use of software or otherwise, Payment Processing includes, among other things: (a) reviewing and approving Merchant applications for payment processing services; (b) providing the means to transmit sales transactions data from Merchants to Acquiring Banks or other Financial Institutions; (c) clearing, settling, or distributing proceeds of sales transactions from Acquiring Banks or Financial Institutions to Merchants; or (d) processing chargebacks or returned remotely created payment orders, remotely created checks, or ACH checks. M. "Person" means a natural person, organization, or other legal entity, including a corporation, limited liability company, partnership, proprietorship, association, cooperative, government or governmental subdivision or agency, or any other group or combination acting as an entity. "Settling Defendant" means Morgan Johnson. N. **ORDER** I. PROHIBITIONS RELATED TO THE SALE OF BUSINESS Â $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{f}$ TD D **TIONS**

- 1. the Financial Statement of Settling Defendant signed by Morgan Johnson on February 18, 2018, including the attachments;
- 2. the additional documentation submitted by email from Settling Defendant Johnson to Commission counsel Andrew Hudson, Laura Basford, and Jody Goodman on February 28, 2018, attaching bank statements and other financial documents;
- 3. the additional documentation submitted by email from Settling Defendant Johnson to Commission counsel Andrew Hudson, Laura Basford, and Jody Goodman on March 19, 2018, attaching tax documents;
- 4. the additional documentation submitted by secure file transfer from Settling Defendant Johnson to Commission counsel Andrew Hudson, Laura Basford, and Jody Goodman on April 11, 2018, attaching bank statements; and
- 5. Settling Defendant Johnson's sworn declaration dated April 17, 2018 regarding certain financial matters.
- D. The suspension of the judgment will be lifted as to Settling Defendant if, upon motion by the Commission, the Court finds that Settling Defendant failed to disclose any material asset, materially misstated the value of any asset, or made any other material misstatement or omission in the financial representations identified above.
- E. If the suspension of the judgment is lifted, the judgment becomes immediately due as to Settling Defendant in the amount specified in Subsection A above (which the parties stipulate only for purposes of this Section represents the consumer injury alleged in the Complaint), less any payment previously made pursuant to this Section, and any payment(s) made by or on behalf of any other Defendant to the Commission pursuant to a Final Order in this action as to such Defendant, plus interest computed from the date of entry of this Order.

F. Settling Defendant relinquishes dominion and all legal and equitable

2

3

5

7

V. **CUSTOMER INFORMATION** IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Settling Defendant, and all other Persons in active concert or participation with her, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, are permanently restrained and 4 enjoined from: A. Failing to provide sufficient customer information to enable the 6 Commission to efficiently administer consumer redress. If a representative of the 8 Commission requests in writing any information related to redress, Settling Defendant must provide it, in the form prescribed by the Commission, within 14 9 days; and 10 11 B. Disclosing, using, or benefitting from customer information, including 12 the name, address, birth date, telephone number, email address, credit card number, 13 bank account number, Social Security number, other identifying information, or 14 any data that enables access to a customer's account (including a credit card, bank 15 account, or other financial account), that any Defendant obtained prior to entry of this Order in connection with any activity that pertains to the sale of money-16 making opportunities and/or purported educational or coaching products or 17 18 services provided online; and C. mducp 19 Failc M Ι s aer czma n 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Complaint. Settling Defendant must provide truthful and complete information, evidence, and testimony. Settling Defendant must appear for interviews, discovery, hearings, trials, and any other proceedings that a Commission representative may reasonably request upon 5 days written notice, or other reasonable notice, at such places and times as a Commission representative may designate, without the service of a subpoena. VII. ORDER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Settling Defendant obtain acknowledgments of receipt of this Order: A. Settling Defendant, within 7 days of entry of this Order, must submit to the Commission an acknowledgment of receipt of this Order sworn under penalty of perjury. For 20 years after entry of this Order, Settling Defendant, for any В. business that she, individually or collectively with any other Defendant, is the majority owner of or controls directly or indirectly, must deliver a copy of this Order to: (1) all principals, officers, directors, and LLC managers and members; (2) all employees having managerial responsibilities for conduct related to the subject matter of the Order and agents and representatives who participate in conduct related to the subject matter of the Order; and (3) any business entity resulting from any change in structure as set forth in the Section titled Compliance Reporting. Delivery must occur within seven (7) calendar days of entry of this Order for current personnel. For all others, delivery must occur before they assume their responsibilities. C. From each individual or entity to which Settling Defendant delivered a copy of this Order, Settling Defendant must obtain, within 30 days, a signed and dated acknowledgment of receipt of this Order.

1 subject line must begin: FTC v. Digital Altitude, et al. (Morgan Johnson), No. 2 X180021. IX. 3 RECORDKEEPING IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Settling Defendant must create certain 4 5 records for 20 years after entry of the Order, and retain each such record for 5 years. Specifically, for any business that Settling Defendant, individually or 6 7 collectively with any other Defendants, is a majority owner or controls directly or 8 indirectly, must create and retain the following records: accounting records showing the revenues from all goods or services 9 A. sold; 10 11 B. personnel records showing, for each person providing services, 12 whether as an employee or otherwise, that person's: name; addresses; telephone 13 numbers; job title or position; dates of service; and (if applicable) the reason for 14 termination; C. 15 records of all consumer complaints and refund requests, whether received directly or indirectly, such as through a third party, and any response; 16 17 D. all records necessary to demonstrate full compliance with each 18 provision of this Order, including all subMissions to M 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- Commission is also authorized to obtain discovery, without further leave of court, using any of the procedures prescribed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 29, 30 (including telephonic depositions), 31, 33, 34, 36, 45, and 69.
- B. For matters concerning this Order, the Commission is authorized to communicate directly with Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant must permit representatives of the Commission to interview any employee or other person affiliated with any Defendant who has agreed to such an interview. The person interviewed may have counsel present.
- C. The Commission may use all other lawful means, including posing, through its representatives as consumers, suppliers, or other individuals or entities, to Settling Defendant or any individual or entity affiliated with Defendants, without the necessity of identification or prior notice. Nothing in this Order limits the Commission's lawful use of compulsory process, pursuant to Sections 9 and 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 49, 57b-1.
- D. Upon written request from a representative of the Commission, any consumer reporting agency must furnish consumer reports concerning Defendant Johnson, pursuant to Section 604(1) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681b(a)(1).

XI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of construction, modification, and enforcement of this Order.

XII. LIMITED EFFECT OF THIS ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is entered pursuant to the stipulation of the Settling Defendant and the FTC. The approval of the terms of this Order will not affect a later determination as to whether the same or similar terms proposed by the FTC are appropriate with respect to a defendant who has defaulted in this matter, or whose liability is determined through proceedings in this matter.

Case 2:18-cv-00729-JAK-MRW Document 189 Filed 07/05/18 Page 16 of 16 Page ID #:5872

Any such later determinations will be made based on a de novo review of the relevant facts and circumstances. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 5, 2018 JOHN A. KRONSTADT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE