injunction hearing that 'I' will be my goal to get you a destion before Thanksgiving, but it's sure not going to be much before that." Exthab PI Hearing Transcript Vol. 4 at 251.

On November 3, 2017, the Commission or dear fourteen-day continuance of the administrative hearing and all remaining pre-inegade adlines. Exhibit B, Order Granting 14-Day Continuance. In its order, the Commission ted that "the public interest is not ideally served if litigants and third parties bear expitenteds that later prove unnecessary." Exhibit B, Order Granting 14-Day Continuance, at 2. Therefore, the astinaitive hearing is currently scheduled to begin on December 12, 2017.

In light of the forthcoming ruling on theotion for preliminary injunction, Complaint

Counsel and Respondents respectfully requestible Scheduling Order be amended to move
the deadlines for filing motions and responses to motion to fix the filing a motion with the
Commission to delay the start to administrative hearing until January 17, 2018. In particular,
amending the Scheduling Order will oid significant burden anexpense for third parties, who
would need to file motions for cameratreatment of proposed trial exhibits by November 27,
2017, the Monday following Thanksgiving. Forling are the proposed amendments to the
Scheduling Order:

**PUBLIC** 

If the Commission does not move the trial date, these amended pre-trial deadlines will still enable the Parties to commence the triascheduled, on December 12, 2017. In the event that the Commission grants the requested motion the start of the thearing until January 17, 2018, the parties intend to request furthed if ication of the Scheduling Order.

A Proposed Order is attached.

Dated: November 14, 2017 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Thomas J. Dillickrath

Thomas J. Dillickrath Kevin K. Hahm **Christopher Caputo** Melissa Hill Rohan Pai Federal Trade Commission **Bureau of Competition** Mergers IV Division 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-3680 Facsimile: (202) 326-2286 tdillickrath@ftc.gov khahm@ftc.gov ccaputo@ftc.gov mchill@ftc.gov

Attorneys for Complaint Counsel

/s/ Robert M. Cooper

rpai@ftc.gov

Robert M. Cooper Richard A. Feinstein Samuel C. Kaplan Nicholas A. Widnell Hershel Wancjer BOIES, SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 1401 New York Ave, NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 237-2727 Facsimile: (202) 237-6131 rcooper@bsfllp.com rfeinstein@bsfllp.com skaplan@bsfllp.com nwidnell@bsfllp.com hwancjer@bsfllp.com

Attorneys for Respondent Sanford Health and Sanford Bismarck

/s/ Loren Hansen

Loren Hansen (ND Atty No. 08233)
Gregory Merz
GRAY PLANT MOOTY
500 IDS Center
80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 632-3000
Facsimile: (612) 632-4444
loren.hansen@gpmlaw.com
gregory.merz@gpmlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent Mid Dakota Clinic P.C.

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of	)
Sanford Health, a corporation;	) ) )
Sanford Bismarck, a corporation;	) ) ) Docket No. 937
and	)
Mid Dakota Clinic, P.C., a corporation.	)
Respondents.	) )

### [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING SCHEDULING ORDER

This matter having come before the upon the Joint Motion to Amend the Scheduling Order, and having conesied the position of the Parties, it is hereby ORDERED that the Scheduling Order in the above-captioned matter is amended to reflect the agreed-upon dates provided in the Joint Motion. LiAother deadlines in the Scheduling Order remain in effect.

Action	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Deadline for filing motions foin cameratreatment of proposed trial exhibits	November 27, 2017	December 5, 2017
Deadline for filing responses to motions forin cameratreatment of proposed trial exhibits.	November 30, 2017	December 7, 2017
Complaint Counsel files pretrial brief supported by legal authority.	November 30, 2017	December 5, 2017
Respondents' Counsel files pretrial bri supported by legal authority	eDecember 6, 2017	December 11, 2017

**PUBLIC** 

ORDERED:	
	D. Michael Chappell
	Chief Administrative Law Judge
Date:	

# **EXHIBIT A**

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

Federal Trade Commission, and State of North Dakota,	)
Pl ai nti ffs,	
VS.	) File No. 1:17-cv-133
Sanford Health, Sanford Bismarck and Mid Dakota Clinic, P.C.,	
Defendants.	ý

### TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION VOLUME IV

Taken at United States Courthouse Bismarck, North Dakota November 3, 2017

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALICE R. SENECHAL
-- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE --

	1
	2
	3
	4
03:54	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
03:54	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
03:54	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
04:00	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

04:00

	1	THE COURT: All right.	
	2	MR. DILLICKRATH: I'll speak on behalf of the	State
	3	of North Dakota as well.	
	4	THE COURT: Thank you. Then your proposed fi	ndi ngs
04:00	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
04:01	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
04:01	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
04:01	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
04:02	25		

- 1 MR. COOPER: Fourteen days, December 12th.
- THE COURT: Oh, well, all kinds of time then. Okay.
- 3 I will do my best to do it as quickly as possible.
- 4 MR. COOPER: And I also noted, as Mr. Feinstein just
- 5 said, the possibility of seeking further stays, so --
  - 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- 7 MR. COOPER: -- we'll keep the Court informed of that
- 8 process.

04:02

04:02

04:02

04:02

- THE COURT: I appreciate that. And I expect that
- 10 there will be some portions of that that might be need to be
- 11 redacted as well, so I'll deal with that. And it might even be
- 12 the case that you get a very brief order initially, with a
- memorandum opinion to follow but I haven't decided that yet.
- Do you have any other questions or any other
- 15 housekeeping matters that you would like to address?
- MR. COOPER: Not for us, Your Honor.
- MR. DILLICKRATH: Not for us, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Then you may proceed,
- 19 Mr. Dillickrath.
- 20 MR. DILLICKRATH: All right. So thank you, Your
  - 21 Honor. May it please the Court. First --
  - (The court reporter reminded Mr. Dillickrath to put
  - 23 his microphone on.)
  - MR. DILLICKRATH: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 04:03 25 Well, thank you again, Your Honor. And may it please

# EXHIBIT B

Commission Rule 3.41(f) provides, in relevant part, that a pending "collateral federal court action that relates to the administrative adjudication shall not stay the proceeding . . . [u]nless a court of competent jurisdiction, or the Commission for good cause, so directs." 16 C.F.R. § 3.41(f). The administrative hearing is scheduled to begin November 28, 2017. The proposed findings of fact for the preliminary injunction hearing are due to be filed on November 10, 2017, and a decision is expected sometime thereafter. Presently, it is not clear whether the two proceedings will in fact overlap.

As reflected in its Rules of Practice, the Commission has committed to moving forward as expeditiously as possible with administrative hearings on the merits. *See*, *e.g.*, 16 C.F.R. §§ 3.1, 3.11(b)(4), 3.41, 3.46, 3.51-3.52. A two-month delay of the long-scheduled administrative hearing would interfere with that objective in a manner not warranted by present circumstances. At the same time, the public interest is not ideally served if litigants and third parties bear expenditures that later prove unnecessary. Under the circumstances presented, we find that a short continuance is justified. Deferring the start of trial by fourteen days – to December 12, 2017 – and extending remaining pre-hearing deadlines by the same fourteen-day interval – provide additional time for resolution of the district court action without materially delaying the Commission proceeding. We have granted similar, short continuances under comparable circumstances in the past. See In re Advocate Health Care Network, 2016 WL 2997850 (F.T.C. May 6, 2016) (granting continuance when "the district court hearing on the Commission's motion for preliminary injunction ha[d] yet to conclude"). Respondents and/or Complaint Counsel, of course, may seek extension of this continuance based on future circumstances. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondents' Expedited Motion for a Two-Month Stay of Administrative Proceedings is GRANTED IN PART; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the evidentiary hearing in this proceeding shall commence on December 12, 2017, and that, unless modified by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, all related pre-hearing deadlines shall be extended by 14 days.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark, Secretary

SEAL:

ISSUED: November 3, 2017

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 14, 2017, I filed the foregoing deather lectronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which lose and notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark
Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113
Washington, DC 20580
ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I delivised via electronic mail a copy the foregoing document to:

Robert Cooper, Esq. Richard A. Feinstein, Esq. Boies Schiller Flexner LLP 1401 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 237-2727 rcooper@bsfllp.com rfeinstein@bsfllp.com

Ronald H. McLean, Esq. Serkland Law Firm, P.C. 10 Roberts Street North Fargo, ND 58108 Telephone: (701) 232-8957 rmclean@serklandlaw.com

Counsel for Respondents Sanford Health and Sanford Bismarck

Gregory Merz, Esq. Loren Hansen, Esq. Gray Plant Mooty 500 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 632-3000 gregory.merz@gpmlaw.com loren.hansen@gpmlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent MDakota Clinic, P.C.

November 14, 2017

/BlyEmily Bowne Emily Bowne, Attorney

Counsel Supporting the Complaint