## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

08 14 2017 587851

Commissioners: Maureen K. Ohlhausen, Acting Chairman

**Terrell McSweeny** 

	)	OHIGH IN
In the Matter of	)	
Impax Laboratories, Inc.,	)	DOCKET NO 0252
a corporation,	)	DOCKET NO. 9373
Respondent	)	

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENT IMPAX LABORATORIES, INC.'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR OPPOSING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION

Bradley S. Albert Deputy Assistant Director Charles A. Loughlin Chief Trial Counsel

Daniel W. Butrymowicz Alpa D. Davis Nicholas A. Leefer Synda Mark Lauren Peay J. Maren Schmidt Eric M. Sprague Jamie Towey James H. Weingarten Rebecca E. Weinstein Attorneys

Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Competition 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2114 Email: cloughlin@ftc.gov

Dated: August 14, 2017

On August 3, 2017, Complaint Counsel filed a Motion for Partial Summary Decision.<sup>1</sup>

The motion seeks an order holding that Respondent

matter, then in its answer to the complaint on February 7, 2017, and again during the scheduling conference on February 16, 2017. Presumably, Impax understood the legal arguments underlying its patent defenses when it put them in its answer and described them at the scheduling conference. There is no reason it needs almost a month to put those arguments into an opposition brief.

Impax's assertions about the "extensive factual record" in this cas

the Commission's rules provide, there are no intervening holidays, and its counsel's availability is not an issue.

Impax has not demonstrated any cause—let alone good cause—for almost doubling the time for its response beyond the fourteen days provided for in the Commission's rules.

## II. Impax's Requested Extension Will Unfairly Prejudice Complaint Counsel.

Complaint Counsel filed its Motion for Partial Summary Decision well in advance of the hearing date—before fact discovery was even closed—so that the parties and the Administrative Law Judg

that preparation time. It would make a Commission decision on Complaint Counsel's motion due

just before trial.<sup>2</sup>

The Commission's Part III rules reflect a careful policy to foster the efficient and

expeditious resolution of matters before the Commission. The Commission's rule providing

fourteen days' time for opposing motions for summary decision, 16 C.F.R. § 3.24(a)(2), is an

important part of that effort. Impax's requested extension would undermine that policy.

**CONCLUSION** 

For these reasons, Complaint Counsel respectfully requests that the Commission deny

Respondent Impax Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion for Extension of Deadline for Opposing

Complaint Counsel's Motion for Summary Decision.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 14, 2017

/s/ Charles A. Loughlin

4

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 14, 2017, I filed the foregoing documents electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Rm. H-113

Washington, DC 20580

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I delivered via electronic mail (FTP) a copy of the foregoing documents to:

Edward D. Hassi Michael E. Antalics Benjamin J. Hendricks Eileen M. Brogan O'Melveny & Myers, LLP 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 ehassi@omm.com mantalics@omm.com bhendricks@omm.com ebrogan@omm.com Anna Fabish Stephen McIntyre O'Melveny & Myers, LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 afabish@omm.com smcintyre@omm.com

Counsel for Respondent Impax Laboratories, Inc.

Dated: August 14, 2017 By: /s/ Rebecca E. Weinstein

Attorney

**PUBLIC** 

# CERTIFICATE FOR ELECTRONIC FILING

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed document that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

August 14, 2017

By: /s/ Rebecca E. Weinstein

Attorney

### Complaint

Chuck Loughlin Attorney Federal Trade Commission cloughlin@ftc.gov Complaint

Eileen Brogan O'Melveny & Myers, LLP ebrogan@omm.com Respondent

Alpa D. Davis Attorney Federal Trade Commission adavis6@ftc.gov Complaint

Lauren Peay Attorney Federal Trade Commission lpeay@ftc.gov Complaint

James H. Weingarten Attorney Federal Trade Commission jweingarten@ftc.gov Complaint

Rebecca Weinstein Attorney Federal Trade Commission rweinstein@ftc.gov Complaint

I hereby certify that on August 14, 2017, I served via other means, as provided in 4.4(b) of the foregoing Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Respondent's Motion for Extension, upon:

Markus Meier Attorney Federal Trade Commission mmeier@ftc.gov Complaint

Michael Antalics O'Melveny & Myers, LLP Respondent

Benjamin Hendricks O'Melveny & Myers, LLP Respondent

Edward Hassi O'Melveny & Myers, LLP Respondent

Anna Fabish

O'Melveny & Myers, LLP Respondent

Stephen McIntyre O'Melveny & Myers, LLP Respondent

Rebecca Weinstein Attorney