1 2 3	STEPHEN CALKINS General Counsel CHARLES A. HARWOOD Regional Director			
4	TRACY S. THORLEIFSON, WSBA #16623			
5	MARY T. BENFIELD, WSBA #18835 KATHRYN C. DECKER, WSBA #12389			
	Federal Trade Commission			
6 7	915 Second Ave., Suite 2896 Seattle, WA 98174 (206) 220 4481 (Therleifeer)			
8	(206) 220-4481 (Thorleifson) (206) 220-4472 (Benfield) (206) 220-4486 (Decker)			
9	Local Counsel:			
10	RONALD R. GALLEGOS, ASBA # 013227 Assistant U.S. Attorney 4000 U.S. Courthouse 230 N. 1st Avenue			
11				
12	Phoenix, AZ 85045 (602) 514-7661			
13	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF			
14				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
16	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,			
17	Plaintiff,			
18	V.	Civ. No.		
19	LEON SAJA, individually and doing business as	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION		
20	SOUTHWEST PUBLISHING; DONALD L. RITTA, individually and as an officer of Stealth	AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		
21	Publications, Inc.; and STEALTH PUBLICATIONS, INC.,			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24	Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Co	mmission"), for its complaint alleges as		
25	follows:			
26	1. The Commission brings this action un	der Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade		
27	Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to	obtain preliminary and permanent injunctive		
28	relief against the defendants to prevent them from en	gaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices		

$\frac{1}{2}$	in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and to obtain other equitable		
2	relief, including rescission, restitution and disgorgement, as is necessary to redress injury to		
3 4	consumers and the public interest resulting from defendants' violations of the FTC Act.		
4 5			
5 6	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
0 7			
8	2. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and		
o 9	53(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.		
10			
11	3. Venue in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona is proper		
12	under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), as amended by the FTC Act Amendments of 1994, Pub. L. No.		
12	103-312, 108 Stat. 1691 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).		
14			
15	THE PARTIES		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

several purportedly nonprofit entities, soliciting donations from consumers and small businesses nationwide. Stealth transacts business in the District of Arizona.

6. Donald L. Ritta ("Ritta") is the president of Stealth. Individually or in concert with others, at all times material to this complaint, Ritta has formulated, directed and controlled the policies, acts and practices of Stealth, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He resides and transacts business in the District of Arizona.

7. Leon Saja ("Saja") is an individual doing business as Southwest Publishing ("Southwest"), an unincorporated business entity with its office and principal place of business at 505 West Dunlap, Suites 1A and 2B, Phoenix, Arizona 85021. Southwest has also used mail drops in other states, including, for example, 5223 Wisconsin Ave., N.W., Suites 281 and 301, Washington, D.C. 20015. Saja is the sole owner of Southwest. Individually or in concert with others, at all times material to this complaint, he has formulated, directed and controlled the policies, acts and practices of Southwest, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. Individually or in concert with others, including defendant Ritta, at all times material to this complaint, Saja has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of defendant Stealth. Saja resides and transacts business in the District of Arizona.

COMMERCE

8. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants have maintained a substantial course of conduct in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

DEFENDANTS' COURSE OF CONDUCT

9. Since at least 1994, Southwest, through a nationwide network of telephone fundraising rooms, has routinely made material misrepresentations to induce consumers and small businesses to donate to various nonprofit organizations. Southwest has contracted to solicit on behalf of these nonprofit and purportedly nonprofit groups, which include, but are not limited to, the American Deputy Sheriffs' Association ("ADSA"), the International Union of Police Associations ("IUPA"), United Fire Fighters of America ("UFFA"), Fire Fighters Association of America ("FFAA"), Disabled Peace Officers of America ("DPOA"), National Reserve Peace Officers of America ("NRPOA"), the National Association of Veteran Police Officers ("NAVPO"), and the Nation's Missing Children Organization ("NMCO").

10. Typically, Southwest agrees to pay the organization a flat fee of \$1,000 or \$1,500 per week in exchange for the right to solicit in the organization's name, with the amount increasing to as much as \$2,500 per week in the second or third years of its contract with the organization. Any funds collected over that flat amount are retained by Southwest. Southwest may also agree to print and distribute a quarterly or yearly publication for the organization in which Southwest will print the names of individuals and small businesses who donate or sponsor an advertisement. The contracts usually call for Southwest to use only "approved" scripts and materials in soliciting the public. The contracts also authorize Southwest to open a bank account in the organization's name, for the purpose of receiving donations.

11. In the resulting fundraising campaigns, Southwest and its telephone fundraising rooms solicit individuals and small businesses to donate to these organizations. (Telephone solicitors for Southwest and its telephone fundraising rooms will be collectively referred to as "telefunders.") Southwest operates its own telephone fundraising room and also contracts with other telephone fundraising rooms, including but not limited to defendant Stealth, to solicit on behalf of its nonprofit clients. Individuals who agree over the telephone to make donations are

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 915 Second Ave., Suite 2896 Seattle, Washington 98174 (206) 220-6350 sent invoices in the name of the organization, and directed to make their checks payable to the organization and return them in reply envelopes preprinted with the organization's name and, typically, a mail drop address. Southwest deposits these checks in the account it establishes in the organization's name. Businesses that agree to make a donation or sponsor an advertisement typically are sent, in the name of the organization, a cash-on-delivery ("COD") package via United Parcel Service for the amount of the donation or sponsorship. In many cases, businesses' payments are returned directly to Southwest.

12. While soliciting donations, Southwest's telefunders, including but not limited to telefunders employed by defendant Stealth, frequently identify themselves falsely as law enforcement officers calling on behalf of local, county or state law enforcement groups. The impression that the caller is a local officer is reinforced to some donors by the telefunders' claims that they will send donors car window decals that purportedly will assist them if they are stopped for traffic violations. The telefunders also routinely misrepresent that contributions will directly benefit the prospective donor's local law enforcement agency or fire department.

13. In addition, Southwest's telefunders, including but not limited to telefunders employed by defendant Stealth, misrepresent the purposes for which the donations will be used. In soliciting for NMCO, for example, Southwest's telefunders claim that donations will pay for placing pictures of missing children on milk cartons or billboards, when in fact, NMCO does not pay to place pictures of missing children on milk cartons or billboards. In soliciting for ADSA, Southwest's telefunders regularly misrepresent that contributions will be used to purchase bulletproof vests for officers in the potential donor's local police or sheriff's department. In other solicitations, telefunders falsely claim that contributions will help support drug education programs in the donors' local schools, or be used to pay death benefits to families of local law enforcement officers. In some instances, the telefunders have seized on the fundraising opportunities created by local tragedies. For example, when an Anchorage, Alaska police officer was killed in the line of duty, Southwest's telefunders told Alaskan donors that their contributions would benefit the family of the slain officer, when in fact they did not. Also, in many instances, the telefunders falsely tell consumers that their donations are tax deductible.

14. In numerous instances, when businesses have refused to sponsor an advertisement or otherwise donate, the telefunder nonetheless sends the business a COD package, implying that the business has authorized the charge. In some cases, unwitting businesses accept and pay the COD charges, thinking the package relates to their business or that the COD charge was approved by another employee, only to find that the package contains an unauthorized invoice.

15. Saja learns about the misrepresentations described above, as well as others, in several ways: from his nonprofit clients, who often pass on to him complaints that they receive; from law enforcement agencies that often call him to inquire about misrepresentations; from state consumer protection officials who forward complaints to him; and from donors themselves who call Southwest's 800 number.

16. Generous individuals and businesses rely on the false promises of benefits to their local communities and donate in response to Southwest's fundraising pleas, believing that their donation will support the programs described to them and that their contributions are tax

|--|

COUNT ONE

17. In numerous instances, in connection with soliciting contributions from prospective donors by telephone, defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that the caller is a member of a local law enforcement agency or fire department in the donor's state or local area. In truth and in fact, the caller is not a member of a local law enforcement agency or fire department in the donor's state or local area, but rather is a commissioned telephone solicitor.

18. The representation described in Paragraph 17 above is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT TWO

19. In numerous instances, in connection with soliciting contributions from prospective donors by telephone, defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that donors' contributions

1 2	COUNT THREE	
3		
4	21. In numerous instances, in connection with soliciting contributions from prospective	
5	donors by telephone, defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that donors' contributions	
6	will be used to support particular charitable programs, such as:	
7	(a) purchasing particular equipment for law enforcement agencies or fire	
8	departments, particularly those in the donors' state or local area;	
9	(b) paying for death benefits or otherwise assisting the families of law	
10	enforcement officers or fire fighters disabled or killed on the job, particularly those in the	
11	donors' state or local area;	
12	(c) supporting particular educational or training programs offered by law	
13	enforcement agencies in the donors' state or local area, such as crime prevention or drug	
14	education programs in schools; and	
15	(d) paying to place pictures of missing children on milk cartons and billboards.	
16		
17	22. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, donors' contributions are not used to	
18	support the particular charitable programs described by defendants and will not be used to:	
19	(a) purchase particular equipment for law enforcement agencies or fire	
20	departments, particularly those in the donors' state or local area;	
21	(b) pay for death benefits or otherwise assist the families of law enforcement	
22	officers or fire fighters disabled or killed on the job, particularly those in the donors' state	
23	or local area;	
24	(c) support particular educational or training programs offered by law	
25	enforcement agencies in the donors' state or local area, such as crime prevention and drug	
26	education programs in schools; and	
27	(d) pay to place pictures of missing children on milk cartons and billboards.	
28		

23. The representations described in Paragraph 21 above are false and misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT FOUR

24. In numerous instances, in connection with soliciting contributions from prospective donors by telephone, defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that the donor's contribution is tax deductible. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the donor's contribution is not tax deductible.

25. The representation described in Paragraph 24 above is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT FIVE

26. In numerous instances, in connection with soliciting contributions or advertising sponsorships from business donors, defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that a business donor has previously authorized the donation or advertising sponsorship and thus is obligated to pay an invoiced amount. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the customer has not previously authorized the donation or advertising sponsorship and thus is not obligated to pay any amount.

27. The representation described in Paragraph 26 above is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

1 2	INJURY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST
3	
4	28. Consumers, businesses and nonprofit organizations throughout the United States
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\end{array}$		of contracts, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains;
3		and
4	(4)	Award the Commission the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and
5		additional equitable relief as the Court may determine to be proper and just.
6		
7	DATED:	, 1997
8		Respectfully submitted,
9		STEPHEN CALKINS General Counsel
10		CHARLES A. HARWOOD Regional Director
11		Regional Director
12		Tracy S. Thorleifson
13		They 5. Thorienson
14		Mary T. Benfield
15		
16		Kathryn C. Decker
17		ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
18		FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		