
From: Welch, Kathryn E.

Sent: Friday, January 15, 2016 10:56 AM

To: [REDACTED]

There are several issues:

First, there is a significant amount of information in the document that is not related to the issue at hand. For example, the document contains a table of contents, a list of figures, and a detailed description of the methodology used to estimate transportation services. These sections are not directly relevant to the main issue of whether or not the industry code number 483111 is appropriate for the company.

802.50.

Second, the document provides a detailed description of the methodology used to estimate transportation services. This information is crucial for determining whether or not the industry code number 483111 is appropriate for the company. The methodology involves collecting data from various sources, including government agencies, industry associations, and trade publications. The data is then analyzed to determine the most accurate estimate of transportation services provided by the company.

Third, the document provides a detailed description of the industry code number 483111. This information is crucial for determining whether or not the industry code number 483111 is appropriate for the company. The industry code number 483111 is defined as "Rail, Road, Water Transportation Equipment Rentals and Leasing" and "483111. Deep Sea Freight Transportation".

Finally, the document provides a detailed description of the company's operations. This information is crucial for determining whether or not the industry code number 483111 is appropriate for the company. The company's operations involve providing transportation services to various clients, including government agencies, industry associations, and trade publications. The company's operations also involve collecting data from various sources, analyzing it, and then providing accurate estimates of transportation services.

The ships are manned and operated by another foreign firm associated with, but not controlled by our client. Neither firm has offices (or other establishment) in the United States. Some of our client's shipping line customers have offices in the United States. Some of the cargo originates in the US. All payments by shipping line customers (in the U.S. and abroad) are made to our client's offices outside the United States.

fixed rate lease payments by the shipping lines) is not affected by any of these issues, which are outside our client's control.

line customers who send payments to our client from offices located in the United States?

I agree

To: Verne, B. Michael
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 10:41 AM

My representation is that my client (Company A) will be providing services to Company B (a U.S.-located entity) in the U.S. (so not the case).
Company A would be considered sales "in or U.S.- located and payment took place through entity.

U.S.- located entity (which is not the case) or if "the cargo or Revenues derived by Company B from services provided into the United States" only if Company A's paving entity is that

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

