Discussion of \Certi cation, Reputation and Entry: An Empirical Analysis" by Hui, Saeedi, Spagnolo and Tadelis

Peter Newberry

Department of Economics Pennsylvania State University

FTC Microeconomics Conference November 2017

#### Motivation

## This

## What I like

Motivation:

Reputation mechanisms important as these markets continue to grow.

Clear policy implications.

Think about LR e ects of introducing institution.

Data:

Proprietary data from eBay.

Utilize a policy change.

# Limitations

Model:

Are there situations where entry would decrease? Quality decrease?

What is the role of market power?

Exit an issue?

Results:

Can we say something about concentration?

E ect on consumers?

eBay revenue? What are eBay's incentives?

Empirical Strategy:

I wonder about the exogeneity of the instrument.

#### Exposure

In order to calculate the exposure of a given category, run the following regression:

ShareBadged<sub>ct</sub> =  $_{c}$ Policy +  $_{c}$  +  $_{c}$ t +  $_{ct}$ 

Use  $c = E_c$ 

Problem: this is an ex post measure of exposure.

ShareBadged<sub>ct</sub> is an equilibrium outcome that is a function of  $Y_{ct}$ .

Example: if the policy leads to entry in category c, then that is going to a ect the share of sellers who are badged.

ShareBadged<sub>c</sub> = 
$$\frac{Badged_{ct}}{Incumbent_{ct-1} + Entry}$$
  $\frac{Badged_{ct-1}}{Incumbent_{ct-1}}$ 

Result: there is a mechanical relationship between treatment and outcome (more entry / lower % badged).

Newberry

Certi cation and Entry

# Suggestion(s)

Fortunately, I think this can be solved without too much trouble. Suggestions:

- Use a measure of ex ante exposure to a given category. On the day the policy was enacted, how many sellers would have received the new badge.
- 2. Determine categories/goods that would be a ected ex ante and use this as control group

Categories that have

## Other Suggestions

Estimate other e ects of policy:

Other signals of quality (e.g., photographs).

Types of products within a category (e.g., name brand v knock o , new v. used).

Overall price levels.

Concentration: do powerful sellers become more powerful?

Is Figure 5 (quality result) showing a mechanical relationship? If EPP decreased (increased) after the policy, then those sellers are likely to have a low (high) EPP. Suggestion: estimate DiD model for some measure of quality

dispersion.

# Other Random Comments/Questions

What about dynamic reputation building (through lower prices, e.g.)?

Do you consider the rst stage estimates when you calculate standard errors?

\...a more stringent badging requirement causes the average quality of both badged and unbadged sellers to increase..." is this always true? It seems like the marginal bene t from being a badged seller may decrease under some circumstances. What about exit?

Why don't incumbents change their quality? Is their a theoretical justi cation for this?

Does eBay use this mechanism as a way to align incentives (revenue generation)?

Why not juse absolute value of ^?

Can we think of you exercise as a test of asymmetric information?