Statement of Commissioner Terrell McSweeny In the Matter of NetSpend Corp. March 31, 2017

I write to express my support for to mmission's action against NetSpend Corp., including the proposed stipulated order announced today. The FTC's complaint alleges that NetSpend made numerous misrepresentationers regarding its general purpose reloadable cards ("NetSpend cards"), which targeted to unbanked and underbanked consumers. Consumers may use NetSpend cardske purchases at locations that accept Visa or Mastercard, as well as receive direct deposits for paychecks or government benefits.

The FTC alleges NetSpend misrepresented **1**h) atsers would be able to use NetSpend cards immediately and have immediate, same adarsess to their funds; 2) sers are "guaranteed approval" for a NetSpend carain (3) NetSpend would provide provisional credits for claimed account errors within a specific time frame.

NetSpend's advertising made explicit claithat consumers would be able to use NetSpend cards "immediately" and have "immediateess" or "instantcress" to their funds, with "no waiting." These claims were not limited situations involvig direct deposit. Many NetSpend card users load funds onto their cartheatme of purchase or otherwise have funds deposited before activation. These consumers would reasonably derstand the advertising claims to mean that they would have immatel same-day access to any funds loaded or deposited onto the card – for instance, in otderay bills due within a short timeframe.

But the complaint alleges that in falztrge numbers of consumers who contacted NetSpend to activate their cards had to wait lottiggen one day for account activation, including many who waited for weeks before their accountsevate ivated. During the interim, consumers would not have had access to funds loaded or deposited onto their to the interim, consumers consumers reverobtained access to the funds loaded otheir NetSpend cards; the complaint alleges that NetSpend did not activate accounts for many consumers even after they provided additional information or documentation NetSpendures ted to verify their identity. In addition, the FTC alleges that NetSpend imposed accholor the for consumers to resolve the blocks, and failed to provide provisional credits.

For the population targeted by NetSpendits prepaid cards – consumers who do not have bank accounts – NetSpend cards may be their only source of funds. These card users may experience extreme financial hardship when **sume** unavailable, including the possibility of eviction, vehicle repossession date fees on bills.

The facts outlined in the complaint cleaslypport the allegations that NetSpend made deceptive representations about its cards and **eioblate** FTC Act. Further, I believe that the proposed stipulated order provides both injunctive monetary relief that effectively addresses the challenged conduct. The order prohibits Spend from misrepresenting to consumers how long it will take, or what conditions are necessary activate prepaid cards and have access to

funds. In addition, the order requires NetSpenptrovide \$53 million in refunds to consumers who loaded funds onto NetSpend cards the ter had access to their money.

General purpose prepaid cards, such as NetSpend cards, can provide significant benefits to consumers, especially those who may not participate in oracress to the traditional banking system. Nevertheless, as our actiomagaietSpend underscores, all marketers must provide truthful and complete informati to consumers about their products.