# PREPARED STATEMENT OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION: OVERSIGHT OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

# Before the

# COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONSUMER PROTECTION AND COMMERCE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WASHINGTON, DC
MAY 8, 2019

### I. INTRODUCTION

Chairman Schakowsky, Ranking Member McMorris Rodgers, and members of the Subcommittee, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") is pleased to appear before you today to discuss the FTC's work to protect consumers and promote competition.<sup>1</sup>

The FTC is an independent agency with three main bureaus: the Bureau of Consumer Protection ("BCP"); the Bureau of Competition ("BC"); and the Bureau of Economics ("BE"), which supports both BCP and BC. The FTC is the only federal agency with a broad mission to both protect consumers and maintain competition in most sectors of the economy. Our jurisdiction includes privacy and data security, consumer fraud, mergers and acquisitions, and anticompetitive tactics by pharmaceutical and other companies. We enforce the law across a range of sectors, including health care, high technology, and emerging industries. The FTC has a long history of bipartisanship and cooperation, and we work hard to maintain it.

The FTC has broad law enforcement responsibilities under the Federal Trade Commission Act,<sup>2</sup> and enforces a wide variety of other laws, ranging from the Clayton Act to the Fair Credit Reporting Act. In total, the Commission has enforcement or other responsibilities under more than 75 laws.<sup>3</sup> The Commission pursues a vigorous and effective law enforcement program, and the impact of its work is significant. I

The FTC also investigates and prosecutes those engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices, and seeks to do so without impeding lawful business activity. The agency has a varied toolkit to advance its mission. For example, the Commission collects consumer confident the public and maintains one of the most extensive consumer protection complaint databases, Consumer Sentinel. The FTC and other federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies use these complaints in their law enforcement and policy efforte. HTC also has rulemaking authority. In addition to the FTC's Magnus Moss rulemaking authority, Congress has given the agency discrete rulemaking authority under the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") over specific topics. The agency regularly analyzes its rules, including seeking public feedback, to ensure their continued efficacy. The FTC also educates consumers and businesses to encourage informed consumer choices, compliance with the law, and public understanding of the competitive process.

To complement these enforcement and public education efforts, the FTC pursues a consumer protection and competition policy and research agenda to improve agency decision-making, and engages in advocacy and education initiatives. Last fall, the Commissionisbegan i Hearings on Competition and Consumer Protection in the Century This extensive series of public hearings is xploring whether broadbased changes in the economy, evolving business practices, new technologies, or international developments mighitereadjustments to competition and consumer protection law, enforcement priorities, and policy. To date, we have heard from more than 350 panelists and received more than 850 public comments. The formal hearings will conclude shortly, and will be accepting public comments throughleast the end

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FTC, Hearings on Competition and Consumer Protection in the Century <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/policy/hearings">https://www.ftc.gov/policy/hearings</a> competitionconsumer protection, see also FTC Press Release, FTC Announces Hearings On Competition and Consumer Protection in the Century (June 20, 2018)<a href="https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2018/06/ftc">https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2018/06/ftc</a> announces hearing scompetition consumer protection 21st

carriers from the Commission's authority, even wheratte or practices of these market participants have serious implications for consumer privacy and data security. To better equip the Commission to meet its statutory mission to protect consumers, we urge Congress to enact privacy and data security legislan, enforceable by the FTC, whighants the agency civil penalty authority, targeted APA rulemakinguthority, and jurisdiction over noprofits and common carriers.<sup>12</sup>

While the Commission believes newthoritycould be very beneficiator American consumers we also will continue to use every tool currently at our disposal to address consumer harm. For example, the Commission protects children's privacy online by enforcing the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA). We certly alleged that Unixiz, doing business as i Dressup.com, violated the COPPA Rule by failing to obtain parental consent prior to collecting personal information from children, as well as failing to protect children's personal information. The FTC's complait also alleged that the company stored and transmitted users' personal information in plain text, failed to implement an intrusion detection and prevention system, and failed to monitor for potential security incidents. As a result, a hacker accessed the personal information of approximately 2.1 million users, including 245,000 users under the age of 13. And in March, the FTC announced a settlement with the operators of the popular video social networking app Musical.ly, now known as Tik Tok, for COPPA violations be FTC alleged that the company collected children's personal information online without first obtaining parental

<sup>4</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Commissioner Phillips supports congressional efforts to consider consumer data privacy legislation. He believes legislation should be based on harms that Congress agrees warrant a remedy, and that tools like penalties and rulemaking should be calibrated carefully to address those harms. Commissioner Phillips believes Congress should also give appropriate consideration to the tradis involved in new regulation, and, with regard to rulemaking, reserve to itself fundamental value judgments appropriately made by the legislature. Finally, Commissioner Phillips believes data security legislation is a critical step Congress shouldtake to protect consumer privacy.

<sup>13</sup> U.S. v. Unixiz, Inc. d/b/aDressup.com et alNo. 5:19ev-02222 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 24, 2019).

https://www.tc.gov/enforcement/casesoceedings/172002/unixizinc-doing-businessi-dressupcom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> U.S. v. Musical.ly, et alNo. 219-cv-1439(C.D. Ca. Mar. 27, 2019)<a href="https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases">https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases</a> proceedings/172004/musicallyinc.

consent. Because COPPA allows the Commission to seek civil penalties for its violations, the defendants agreed to pay a \$5.7 million dollar civil penalty, the largest ever obtained by the Commission in a COPPA case.

Further examples offata securityenforcement include Commissions settlement with

Uber Technologies ver the company alleged failure to reasonably secure sensitive consumer data stored in the cloud. As a result, an intruder allegedly accessed personal information about Uber customers and drivers, including more than 25 million names and email addresses, 22 million names and mobile phone numbers, and 600,000 names and driver's license ruling beometred a second, larger breach of drivers' and riders' data in Ooth December 2016, and failed to disclose that breach to consumers or the FTC for more than a year, despite being the subject ongoing FTC investigation of its data security practices during that the ong other things, the final order prohibits

Uber from misrepresenting how it monitors internal access to consumers' personal information and the extent to which it profes personal information, with the threat of strong civil penalties if it fails to comply. And in May 2018, the Commission resolved allegations Plagar Pals Venmo peerto-peer payment service misled consumers about their ability to control the private of Venmo transactions and the extent to which their financial accounts were protected by "bank grade security systems."

Just this past month, the Commission settled with an online rewards website, Clixsense.com for its alleged failure to take approate steps to secure consumers' data FTC alleged that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> SeeFTC Press Releaseederal Trade Commission Gives Final Approval to Settlement with (Obser 26, 2018), <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2018/10/federtaldecommission-gives-final-approval-settlement-uber">https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2018/10/federtaldecommission-gives-final-approval-settlement-uber</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> As discussed above, because the FTC does not have civil penalty authority under Section 5, it could not require Uber to pay a civil penalty in the first instance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> PayPal, Inc, No. G4651 (May 24, 2018) <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/caspeoceedings/162-102/paypalnc-matter">https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/caspeoceedings/162-102/paypalnc-matter</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> James. V. Grago, Jr. also d/b/a ClixSense,datter No. 1723003 (Apr. 24, 2019) (proposed consent order), https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/caspsoceedings/172003/james/-gragojr-doing-businessclixsensecom

the company's inadequate securityncluding its storage of personal information in plain text and its failure to perform vulnerability and penetration testiraglowed hackers to gain access to the company's network through a browser extension downloaded by the company. These failures resulted in hackers gaining access to personal information regarding 6.6 million consumers, over 500,000 of whomwere U.S. consumers.

In addition to its enforcement efforts in the privacy and data security areas, the Commission seeks to improve agency decisionaking through its policinitiatives. Last fall, for example, the Commission held four days of panels that specifically addressed consumer privacy and data security. The Commission also announced its fourth PrivacyCon, which will take place on June 27, an annual event that explores living privacy and data security research

The Commission also is empowered to conduct industry studies related to privacy and data security under Section 6(b) of the FTC Actn March, we issued 6(b) orders to several internet service providers to evaluate their privacy practices we have in the past, we will use the information we learn from this study to better inform our policy and enforcement work.

The Commission continues to work closely with our law enforcement partners in the European Union("EU") and its member states to ensure the success of the European Shield framework. Under the EU's General Data Protector gulation ("GDPR"), companies are required to meet certain data protection requirements in order to transfer consumer data from the EU to other jurisdictions. Privacy Shield a voluntary mechanism that companies can use to comply with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> SeeFTC Press ReleaseȚC Announces Sessions on ConsulPrivacy and Data Security as Part of Its Hearings on Competition and Consumer Protection in the Century (Oct. 26, 2018) <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/2018/10/ftmnouncessessionsconsumerprivacy-datasecurity-partits">https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/2018/10/ftmnouncessessionsconsumerprivacy-datasecurity-partits</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> SeeFTC Press Release,TC Announces PrivacyCon 2019 and Calls for Presentations 24, 2018), https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2018/10/ftannouncesprivacycon2019-calls-presentations 21 15 U.S.C. § 46(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> SeeFTC Press ReleaseTC Seeks to Examine the Privacy Practices of Broadband Prov(Mars 26, 2019), <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2019/03/ftseeksexamineprivacy-practicesbroadband-providers">https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2019/03/ftseeksexamineprivacy-practicesbroadband-providers</a>

GDPR when transfring data from Europe to the United States which facilitates billions of dollars in transatlantic data flows enforced by the FTC with respect to the pacticipants under its jurisdiction.<sup>23</sup>

Last fall, for example, the Commission announced settles weith four companies that we alleged had falsely claimed Privacy Shield certification And in September 2018, Chairman Simons along with the Secretary of Commerce and our European counterparts, participated in the second annual review of the Privacy of framework, culminating in European Commission recommendation for continued FTC enforcement in the Privacy Shield Approach is built on four pillars: referrals from the Department of Commerce; priority consideration of referrals from the European Union; checking for Privacy Shield violations as part of every privacy investigation; and proactive monitoring of Privacy Shield participants.

Finally, many of the FTC's privacy and data security investigations and cases involve complex facts and technologies and wiellanced defendants, often requiring outside experts, which can be costly. It is critical that the FTC have sufficient resources to support its investigative

**BEQ1/03-03 (30%)** (4/2) **9**.)6

consumers—often, the most vulnerable consumers who can least afford to longerymin 2018, imposter scams became the top consumer fraud complaint, in part due to the rise in reports about government imposter scarrisFraudsters falsely claiming to be government agents (including the Social Security Administration IRS and even the

The Commission also helps older Americans protect themselves from fraud. Last month, the FTC joined federal, state, and international law enforcement partners in announcition wide and international crackdown on elder fraud schemes with a particular focus on technical support scams. Technical support scams dupe consumers interibelitheir computers are infected with viruses and malware, and then charge them hundreds of dollars for unnecessary repairs. As part of that initiative, the FTC filed suit against technical support operator Elite IT Partnerseloped new consumer education materials to help consumers avoid falling victim to these seachs, released new complaint data that illustrates the disproportionate effect these scams have on older adults<sup>31</sup>

Over the last year, the FTC has targetestress opportunity scams, filing numerous actions against defendants who promise consumers a legitimate opportunity to earn money if consumers will pay for defendants' "coaching" services. In reality, the "coaching" services provide no value to consumers da are typically nothing more than a handful of training videos and documents with generic information. In Digital Altitude, the Commission brought an action against defendants who allegedly defrauded consumers omilibons of dollars—some paying morehan \$50,000—bypromising of individualized coaching on how to run an online business. Commission also brought separate actions against defendants in FBA3Stockswith the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> FTC Press Releas€,TC Halts Tech Support Scam as Part of Major Initiative Focused on Older Adults Hit Hardest by These Scan(Mar. 7, 2019), https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2019/03/ftltalts-tech-supportscam part-major-initiative-focusedolder.

<sup>30</sup> SeeHow to Spot, Avoid and Report Tech Support Scantes://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/hespotavoid-

and report tech supports cams

31 See FTC Consumer Protection Data Spotlight, Older Adults Hardest Hit by Tech Support (Starms, 2019), https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/blogs/datapotlight/2019/03/oldeadultshardesthit-tech-supportscams

<sup>32</sup> FTC Press Release, FTC Obtains Court Order Halting Business Coaching Schemme, 2018),

https://www.ftc.gov/newevents/preseeleases/2018/02/ftobtainscourt-orderhalting-businesecoachingscheme 33 FTC Press Release, FTC Action Halts a Large Deceptive Business @pkpc6tchemeMar. 23, 2018),

https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2018/03/ftaction-halts-large-deceptivebusinss-opportunity-scheme

state Attorneys General to shut down sham charity operations that were using consumers' generous donations for private benefits and spent very little of the donated funds on the charitable programs.

The FTC strives to stay ahead of scammers by analyzing Sentinel complaints to help raise public awarenessbout fraud. In October 2018, the FTC launched its Consumer Protection Data Spotlightseries to alert law enforcers, industry, and the public about growing threats and important patterns identified in Sentinel data. The Spotlightplores data over time, showing how scammers change tactics and catch consumers offrg. In addition, the FTC is making Sentinel data more accessible to state and local governments, the media, academics, and the particle by publishing interactive dashboards that enable people to see what kind of fraud is affecting their state or large metropolitan area.

For example, Ite agency has continued to bring cases challenging false and unsubstantiated health claims, including those targeting oldensumers, consumers affected by the opioid crisis, and consumers with serious medical conditions. The Commission has brought cases challenging

joint warning letters with the Food and Drug Administration to marketers who claim their dietary supplements and cannabidio C(BD") products treat or prevent serious diseases, including Alzheimer's disease and cander.

When consumers with serious health concerns fall victim to unsupported health claims, they may put their health at risk by avoiding providerapies and treatments. Through consumer

The FTC's most recent law enforcement crackdstropped four separate robocall operations. For example, FTC v. Christianothe FTC obtained \$1.35 million civil penalty and a ban on providing an autodialer to anyone engaged in telemarketing auguites thoology companies and their owner for knowingly providing the tools that unlawful telemarketers used to blast out billions of illegal robocal s. In another case from the recent crackd with, FTC sued a recidivist robocaler and his partnes for allegedly unning a Google rankings scam that used robocalls to reach their victimes dombarded individuals who did not own businesses with the same robocal for a court granted the FTC's motion for summary judgment, banning him and one of his collegendants from all telemarketing and imposing a \$3.3 million judgment.

Despite the FTC's vigoroulaw enforcement program, technological advances continue to permit bad actors to place millions or even billions of calls, often from abroad, at very low cost, and in ways that are difficult to trace. This phenomenon continues to infuriate consumers and challenge enforcers. Recognizing that law enforcement, while critical, is not enough to solve the problem of illegal calls, the FTC has takesteps to spur the marketplace to develop technological solutions. For instance, from 2013 to 2015, the FTC led four public challenges to incentivize innovators to help tackle the unlawful robocalls that plague consumers.

\_

penalty against Dish Networkthe largest penalty ever issued in a Do Not Call dase. et al. v. Dish Network, L.L.C., No. 309cv-03073JESCHE (C.D. III. Aug 10, 2017) <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases">https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases</a> <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases">proceedings/052167/dishnetworkIIc-united-states-americafederal-trade</a>

proceedings/052-167/disanetworkiic-united-states-americate deraftrade

50 SeeFTC Press ReleaseȚC Crackdown Stops Operations Responsible for Billions of Illegal Robotalis 26,
2019),https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2019/03/ftcrackdownstopsopera0.6 (s )-12 (Re) (-)Tj 0612 (Re) (-)Tj 0612

in the development and availability of technological solutions in this area, particularly occalling and callfiltering products. Consumers can access information about potential solutions available to them on the FTC's website. The telecommunications industry has also development we framework, SHAKEN/STIR, which is designed to limit illegitimate number spoofing and reduce illegal robocalls.

The FTC continues to engage with industry stakeholders and supports the industry initiative to authenticate caller ID numbershe FTCalso regularly works with its state, federal, and international partners to combat illegal robocalls.

For many years, the Commission has recommendered nating the common carrier exemption. The exemption is outdated and no longer makes sense in today's marketplace where the lines between telecommunications and other services are increasingly blurred. It impedes the FTC's work tackling illegal robocalls and more broadly circumscribes other enforcement initiatives. For example, a carrier that places, or assists and facilitates, illegal telemarketing might argue that it is beyond the Commission's reach because of the common carrier exemption. Likewise, t exemption may frustrate the Commission's ability to obtain complete relief for consumers when

telecommunications providers and is available as an app on iPhonese Release, FTC Announces Robocall Challenge WinnershA9umers

there are multiple parties, some of whom are common carriers. It also may pose difficulties when a company engages in deceptive or unfair practices involving a common carrier and non common carrier activities. Finally, litigation has been complicated by entities that attempt to use their purported status as common carriers to shield themselves from FTC enforcement.

## E. Consumer and Business Education and Outreach

Public outreach and education is another critical element of the FTC's efforts to fulfill its

# The FTC alsoailors

consummating their transactions. Premerger filings under the Statt Rodino ("HSR") Act have generally increased stead stead ince FY 2013. Last year, for the second year in a row, we received just over 2,000 HSR filing §5.

Most reported transactions do not raise significant competitive concerns and the agencies clear those nonproblematic transactions expeditiously. Butten the evidence suggests that a proposed merger likely would be anticompetitive, the Commissions not hesitate to intervene. In FY 2018, the Commission took enforcement actinguisinst 22 different mergensost of which were resolved through a consent decree allow challenged five mergeins court federal courts granted preliminary injunctions in two cases the parties abanded their plans in the face of our court challenge in two cases and a ruling iscurrently pending the fifth matter.

One increasing challenge for the Commission in litigating competition cases is the continuing need to hire testifying economic experts. Qualified experts are critically important in competition cases heading to litigation. Although the agency thus far has managed to find sufficient resources to fund the experts needed to support its cases, the FTC appreciates Congress's attention to its resource needs, including the need to hire outside experts.

Over the past year, the Commission has continued its delcandeefforts to fight anti competitive conduct in the pharmaceuticals and health care industries, where rising costs continue

<sup>65</sup> The agencies received 2,111 HSR filings in FY 2018, a slightease from FY 2017, where we received 2,052. Apart from the last two years, the last time annual HSR notification filings exceeded 2,000 was back in FY 2007. For historical information about HSR filings and U.S. merger enforcement, see the joint FTB4DGScottRodino annual reports, <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/policy/reports/policy/reports/policy/reports/annualcompetitionreports">https://www.ftc.gov/policy/reports/policy/reports/policy/reports/policy/reports/policy/reports/policy/reports/policy/reports/annualcompetitionreports</a>
66 See,FTC v. Tronox Ltd.332 F. Supp. 3d 187 (D.C. 2018), (granting preliminary injunction); FTCWilh.

Wilhelmsen Holding AS&41 F. Supp. 3d 27 (D.D.Cscn a39.8 (.)3 ( S)7..7 (i)6.4 Tf -0.012 (1 F)44 (;)-5.1 ( ) Tc 0.(.)-9 (38 (nt)-3

to burden American consumers over twenty years, the Commission has prioritized ending anticompetitive reverseayment agreemation which a branchame drug firm pays its potential generic rival to delay entering the market with a lower cost generic product. Following the U.S. Supreme Court's 2013 decision in FTC v. Actavis, the Commission is in a much stronger position to protect consumers. Since that ruling, the FTC obtained a landmark \$1.2 billion settlement in its litigation involving the sleep disorder drug, Provigind other manufacturers including the remaining ctavis defendants, have agreed to abandon the practice. administrative litigation, the Commission ruled March of this yeathat Impax had engaged in an illegal reverse payment agreement designed to block consumers' access to cook to we neric version of the branded drug, Opana ERn addition, the Commission has challenged other anticompetitive conduct by drug manufactures month, the Commission filed a complaint aganostic branch and the province of the properties of the pr

Androgel, and ordered \$493.7 million in monetary relief to consumers who were overcharged for Androgel as a result of AbbVie's **pd**uct.<sup>75</sup>

The Commission also maintains a robust program to identify and stop anticompetitive conduct. This year, in administrative litigation of the OO Contacts

enforcement investigations, and

International enforcement cooperation also is critical for the FTC's competition program. With the expansion of global trade and the operation of many companies across national borders, the FTC and DOihcreasingly engage with foreigntatrust agencies to ensure close collaboration on crossborder cases and convergence toward sound competition policies and procedures.

FTC effectively coordinates reviews of multijurisdictional mergers and continues to work with its international counterparts to achieve consistent outcomes in cases of possible anticompetitive conduct The U.S antitrust agencies facilitate dialogue and promote convergence through multiple channels, including through strong bilateral relations with foreign competition agencies and multilateral competition organization projects and initiativate FTCalso workswith other agencies within the U.Spovernment to advance consistent competition enforcement policies, practices, and procedures in other parts of the workship.

The U.S. SAFE WEB Acits key to much of the agency's internationwalrk, especially on consumer protection and privacy matters

harmed U.S. consumering others, the FTC's actioned to reciprocal assistance. The Act also has bolstered the agency authority to engage in enhanced enforcement cooperation with foreign counterparts including through memoranda of understanding, international agreements, staff exchanges, and other mechanisms.

The U.S. SAFE WEB Act has been a remarkable successAct hemabled the FTC to respond to more than 130 SAFE WEB information sharing requests from than 30 foreign enforcement agencies. allowed the FTC to save more than 115 civil investigative demands in more than 50 investigations on behalf of foreign agencies, both civil and criminal. The Commission has also used this authority to filets in federal court to obtain judicial assistance for one of its closest law enforcement partners, the Canadian Competition Bureau.

The FTC's foreign law enforcement partners similarly have assisted FTC enforcement actions. For example, the FTC worked with with U.K. and Canadian authorities to halt Next Gen Inc., a sweepstakes scantiful FTC relied on key information sharing provisions of the U.S. SAFE WEB Actto facilitate cooperation with its U.K. partnernd last month, the defendants forfeited \$30 million in cash and assets to settle the FTC's change privacy arena, the FTC used key provisions of the U.S. SAFE WEB Act to collaborate successfully with the Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada in its COPPA case againstick, the FTC's first case involving Internet connected toy \$9. The FTC also 0Tj -01le.ligw.943

United Statesas the basis for challenging potices involving foreign defendants.

The Act also underpins the FTC's ability to participate in chooses cooperation arrangements. This includes data transfer mechanisms such as the EPU vacy Shield framework as well as the APEC Cross Border Privacy Rules System designed to protect privacy and data flows in the Pesicific region Many U.S. companies use these mechanisms to carry out to the fivil the powers helping to carry out enhanced cooperation with important parts at the parts at the powers helping to carry out enhanced cooperation with important parts at the parts at the powers helping to carry out enhanced cooperation with important parts at the parts at the parts at the parts at the powers helping to carry out enhanced cooperation with important parts at the parts at th

The SAFE WEB Acts provision authorizing staff exchanges also yiellnessmendous benefits Usingthe Act, the FTC established an International Fellows program that has enabled the agency to host over 120 officials of foreign competition, consumer protection, and data privacy agencies to work alongside C staff on enforcement matters, subject confidentiality protections, over the past dozen years. Foreign counterparts continue to seek exchanges with us, as the Fellows incorporate their learning from the FTC into the work of their home agencies, strengthening their capacity as well as occoperative relationships with those counterparts.

The Act sunsets in 2020. The Commission strongly uccommissions to reauthorize this critical authority and eliminate the sunset provision. Just as Congress permanentally the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> See, e.g.FTC Press Release, Court Temporarily Halts International

Securities and EchangeCommission and the U.S. Ommodity Futures Trading Commission similar enforcement powers decades ago, and provided thesOmer Poduct Safety Commission with permanent authority to share information with its foreign counterparts, we ask Congress to repeal theAct's sunset provision and make the SAFE WEB Act's cooperation tools a permanent part of the FTC Act.

# VI. CONCLUSION

The FTC remains committed to marshalling its resources efficiently in order to effectively protect consumers and promote competition, to anticipate and respond to changes in the