Chairman Lee, Ranking Member Klobuchar, and Membettseo Subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. I am Joe Simons, Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission, and I am pleased to testify on behalf of the Commission regarding of our current competition enforcement activities policy priorities.

For over 100 years, the FTC has worked to ensure that our nation's markets are open, vibrant, and working for American consume. See accomplish these goals rough targetes let vigorousen forcement of the nation's antitrust and consumer protection. James by using our unique set of research and policy tools. Though the U.S. economy is always extels ing C's structure, research capacity, and committed staff enable purstect consumers and promote competition in an ever changing market place. This testimony highlights a number of recent FTC competition enforcement matteriscluding notable victories in stopping audimpetitive mergers and conduct, along with some of our more significant policy initiatives. We also briefly highlight some of our advocacy work, both here and abroad.

### I. FTC Competition Enforcement

The Commission promotessimpetition through a rigorous, faintensive approach to law enforcement. The FTC has jurisdiction over a wide swath of the economy and focuses its enforcement efforts on sectors that most directly affect consumers and their wallets, such as health care, pharmaceuticals, consumer products and services, technology, manufainturing energy. The agency shares primary jurisdiction with the U.S. Department of Justice's Antitrust Division ("DOJ") in enforcing the nation's antitrust laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This written statement represents the view**sher** Federal Trade Commission. The oral presentation and responses to questions by Chairman Simons are his own, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission or of any other Commissioner.

# A. Maintaining Competition through Robust Merger Enforcement

One of the agencies' principal responsibilistic to prevent mergers that may substantially lessen competition of the Harscott-Rodino ("HSR") Act, parties to certain mergers and acquisitions must notify the OFand DOJ of their intent to merge, and must observe a statutor myaiting period before consumating their transaction of general since FY 2013, these premiser fillings have increased steadilizest year, for the second year in a row, we received just our 2,000 HSR fillings.

decision supporting the Commission's administrative complaint in the fifth matters e cases raised competition issues all access the U.S. economy, implicating riverts for specialized software, medical devices, industrial chemicals, familiar consumer staples.

Sn1 ()]dY.

title plant assets in prior mergers involving Fidelitar the first time the Commission also alleged that the elimination of competition would like tharm customers seeking to purchase title insurance for large commercitations and insurance for large commercitations. The Commission authorized staff if necessary to seek preliminary relief to prevent the merger pending the administrative trial, which was scheduled to begin February 2020. The parties have signed at the transaction.

In June the FTCwon an appeal in the Eighth Circuit, successfully defending the agency'sprior victory in blocking an anticompetitive erger among health care providershis case represents the agendifits straight appellate victory involving health care provider consolidations, after successful FTC challenge another provider merger upheld by the Ninth Circuit, 10 as well asthreehospitalmergersuccesses at Third Circuit, 11 Sixth Circuit, 2 and Seventh Circuit. 13 This string of recent appellate victories across multiple circuits straightful string of recent appellate victories across multiple circuits straightful string of recent appellate victories across multiple circuits straightful string of recent appellate victories across multiple circuits straightful string of recent appellate victories across multiple circuits straightful straigh in case law the agency analytical approach to these mergests engineering our ability to block anticompetitive mergers among health care providers

The curent state of the case law reflects the culmination of a lengthy effort by the FTC to protect U.S. health care consumers, using the full panoply of the agency's powers. For many years, the FTC has strategically pursued the systematic development of law and economics supporting vigorous antitrust enforcemenhealth care marketBack in the 1990she antitrust agencies lost a series confurt challenges to hospital mergers. In response, the FTC launched a

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., In re Fidelity National Financial, InDkt. C4425 (Dec. 24, 2013)

https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/casesoceedings/13 0159/fidelity-national-financial-inc-lender-processing-

services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> FTC Press Release, Statement of Bruce Hoffman, Director of FTC's Bureau of Competition, on Fidential Nat Financial, Inc.'s Decision to Drop Proposed Acquisition of Stewart Information Services Corpdision 10, 2019), https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/presseleases/2019/09/statementucehoffman-directorftcs-bureaucompetitionfidelity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> FTC v. Sanford Health 26 F.3d 95 98th Cir. 2019), 2019 WL 2454218 (June 13, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> St. AlphonsuMed. Ctr-Nampa Inc. v. St. Luke's Health S√₹8 F.3d 7749th Cir. 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> FTC v. Penn State Hershey Medical Cen**89**8 F.3d 3273rd Cir. 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ProMedica Health System, Inc. v FT7249 F.3d 5596(th Cir. 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> FTC v. Advocate Health Care Netwo841 F.3d 4607(th Cir. 2016)

merger retrospective studdy at provided detailed empirical suppotot discredit the prevailing economic methodology that courts had relied upon in ruling agains generies in these cases 14. Through a persistent, lorterm approach to this problem, backed by the FTC's unique research capabilities, we eventually moved courts to embrace an empirically grounded, modern economic approach to analyzing the competitive effects of these transactions his important work continues to pay dividends day.

One increasing challenge for the Commission in litigating competition is at the sneed to hire testifying economic exepts. Vigorous enforcement requires the right tools, and qualified experts are a critical resource in every FTC competition case where litigation appear built well over the last five years our annual expert costs for competition mat the sees sentially tripled.

In FY 2014, the agency spent just \$4.84 million on expert fees in competition cases. In FY 2018, we spent \$15.84 million. For a small agency like the FTC, cost changes of this magnitude are challenging to absorb.

We are taking steps to an age these increasing expenses re aggressively but long tes843TJ 0 Tc 0 Tw 4.47 0 T 4.41(i-6 Tc:98..4 n08J 4/)Tnat2 D84.92-0Td .3 (gr)i

### B. Combatting Anticompetitive Conduct in PharmaceuticalMarkets

The FTC maintains a robust program teritify and stop anticompetitive conduct, especially in the nation's citizal markets for health care over 20 years, and on a bipartisan basis, the Commission has prioritized ending anticompetitive reverse payment agreements in pharmaceutical markets. These so-called reverse payment greements ivolve the branded drug supplier paying a generic firm to abandon intented that challege and agree not to sell its lowerost generic product for a period of time paymentallows the branded company to sure a period in which it can maintain higherarket prices—increasing J.S. health careosts—without threat of generic competition

In 2013, the Commission won a critical victoryFinC v.Actavis<sup>7</sup>

At the time of the Actavisdecision, critics of our enforcement

addiction:<sup>23</sup> The FTCs complaint alleged that the company made knowingly false statements to the FDA, while engaging in a soalled "product hopping's cheme to shift existing patients away from the product about to face generic competition and onto another

markets for online advertising, social networkingsbile device marketsand technology platforms and will include aechnology fellow who will provide technical support to the task force. The TTF will be dedicated to monitoring competition in U.S. technology markets taking enforcement action when warranted

#### II. Competition Policy Work

Although the Commission primarily relies on targeted law enforcement to protect competition and consumers, we also have bust research and politynction. We do independent research conduct public workshops and we share our expertise on competition issues with interested policymakers through auxive amicus and advocacy program

Critical self-evaluation is an important part of our research age Tradanstance, in 2017, the FTC released a large retrospective study of remedies associated with mergers completed from 2006 through 2012. The findings of this study helped to refine age be put practices related to the merger remedy processe Commission's Bureau of Economics also has a longstanding program to perform retrospective studies of consummated methode and in the early 1980s but hat recently has become considerate for active. Probably the most prominent of the FTC's retrospective studies so far is the hospital merger retrospective project, which, as discussed above, played a crucial role in reinvigorating the agency's hospital merger enforcement efforts? FTC economists also have completed a number of retrospective analyses of horizontal and vertical transactions in health care extended to markets consumer products markets, and retailing.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> SeeFed TradeComm'n, The FTC's Merger Remedies 20**26**12, A Report of the Bureaus of Competition and Economics, January 2017t, <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/ftosrgerremedies20062012-reportbureauscompetitioneconomics/p143100\_ftc\_merger\_remedies\_220062.pdf">https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/ftosrgerremedies20062012-reportbureauscompetitioneconomics/p143100\_ftc\_merger\_remedies\_220062.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> SeeFarrell, Joseph, Paul Rautler, and Michael G. Vita, "Economics at the FTC: retrospective merger analysis with a focus on hospitals35 Rev. of INDUS. ORG. 369 (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See, e.g.Thomas Koch, Brett Wendling, & Nathan Wilsdine Effects of Physician and Hospital Integration on Medicare Beneficiaries' Health Outcom@sureau of Economics, Working Paper No. 337, July 2018) (P)2.6n 0.241 0 Du 201

FTC studies also can inject competition considerations into broader policy questions of significant public interest. A recent example is the 2016 Patent Assertion Entity<sup>3</sup> studies evaluated the business practices of patent assertion entities [3], firms that acquire patents in order to attempt to generate revenue or sung accused infringers. The report provided several recommendations for patent litigation reforms.

The FTC continues to pursue important competition policiscal archin November 2017, the Commissionlaunched a project encouraging academic and industrarobsen the impact of certificates of public advantageQOPAs) on prices, quality, access, and innovation in health care services. COPAs are state regulatory frameworks intended to repleate the care provider competition and immunize mergers and collaborations from antitrust scrutiny. The Commission has been concerned about the impact of COPAs on consumers undertaken broad effort to gather additional vidence on the effects. In particular, the FTC has encouraged original empirical research the FTC's June 2019 workshop, current and former staff from the Bureau of Economics discussed preliminary results from three original empirical studies of the price effects of mergers approved in the 1990s.

Osinski & Jeremy SandfordMerger Renedies: A Retrospective Analysis of Pinnacle/Amer(Stareau of Economics, Working Paper, May 2018); Thomas Koch & Shawn W. UPricke Effects of a Merger: Evidence from a Physicians' Marke(Bureau of Economics, Working Paper No. 333, A20g17); Dairel J. Greenfield, Nicholas M. Kreisle, & Mark D. Williams, Simulating a Homogeneous Product Merger: A Case Study on Model Fit and Performance(Bureau of Economics, Working Paper No. 327t.Oc

The FTCis in the process of concluding apprinent policy initiative its Hearings on Competition and Consumer Protection in the 2tentury This extensive series of public hearings was convened to consider whether bloased changes in the economy, evolving business practices, new technologies international developments warrant adjustments to competition and consumer protection law, enforcement priorities competition policy. The current set of hearings modeled after a similar effort in 1995 by former FTC Chairman Bob Pitofsky, which was the first step in establishing the FTC as a modern center for "competition R&D."

The FTC worked to feature a wide variety of perspectioned less hearing we invited legal and economic academises donsultants, public interest groups, public advocacy groups, and representatives of businesses and industries to our hearing sessibles designation of our final hearing on June 12, 2019, we had convened stations over 23 days, with thousands of people attending via webcast or in person. To designate received close to 950 unique comments on the covered pics. All the information related to the hearing the transcripts, comments, presentations, and questients available on the FTC websitehis large corpus of material on the driical issues facing modern competition and consumer protection policy has already created a valuable resce for future research by the agering rested academics, practitioners and policymakers.

At this stage, we are distilling the large volume of akeholder input and generating further output, such an exports, statements, guidance, and speed the work will be forward looking and will both support the ommission's enforcement insision and identify additional policy initiatives that may be imposed in shaping the uture development of antitrust law expect to be gin releasing some of this put in the late fall or winter 2019.

Through these hearings, the Commission intends to help formanlæeduring approach to current questions about antitrust and consumer protection enforcement cognize that, in some areas of the law, some now question the policies that have served as the basis for the bipartisan consensus articularly with respect to certain antitrust issues where this consensus has been questioned, who elieve these hearings were a valuable investment of our resources to determine whether adjustments are necessary

### III. International Engagement – Competition

In support of its competition mission and domestic antitrust enforcement, the FTC engages in significant work with international counterparts and organizations. The FTC works regularly with foreign antitrust agencies to ensure close collaboration on toposter cases and convergence toward sound compets policies and procedures. During the most retrent

meetings with colleagues from several competition arittles around the world, including those from Canada, the European Union, Japan, Korea, and Mexico. Consistent with our objectives of promoting sound practices and processes, our discussivensed timely issues, including those platforms, vertical megers, procedural fairness, and the antitrust treatment of the exercise of intellectual property rights.

The FTC plays a central role in key multilateral fora dedicated to promoting sound competition policy and enforcement around the world. The FTC servetse Steering Group of the 139member International Competition NetworkQN") and is active in ICN working groups that draft recommendations. For example, the FTC led the developmenteral CN Recommended Practices for Investigative Processe most comprehensivenessus best practices forcompetition agencies on providing due passes antitrust investigations. We also lead the ICN's efforts to promote implementation of its many work products on key topics such as merger review, the analysis of notionant firm conduct, and the conduct of effective and fair investigations. We will have additional opportunities to showcase successful U.S. experience when the U.S. antitrust agencies jointly host the ICN's annual conference next year.

The FTC works who other U.S. government agencies to address in a coordinated and effective manner competition issues that implicate broader U.S. policy interests, such as the protection of intellectual property and notiscriminatory treatment of U.S. companies. For example, the FTC has been part of the interagency group that addressed investigative procedure issues under the Korda S. free trade agreement, and worked with the Departments of Treasury, Justice, and State, among others, on developing G20 statements alchieve outcomes that furthered U.S. policy and interests involving competition in the digital economy.

## IV. Conclusion

The FTC remains committed to marshalling its resources efficiently in order to protect consumers and promote competition, to anticipate and respond to changes in the marketplace, and to meet current and future challes of look forward to continuing to work with this Subcommittee and Congress, and we would be happy to answer your questions.