

United States of America FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20580

Divisionof AdvertisingPractices

April 22, 2020

<u>Via Electronic Mail (kenplevan@gmx.co</u>m) Kenneth A. Plevan, Esq. Chairman National Advertising Review Board 112 Madison Avenue,<sup>r</sup>SFloor New York, NY 10016

## Re: <u>Advertising by Neurocore, LLC for Neurocore Brain Performance Centers</u>

Dear Mr. Plevan:

Thank you for your letter referring and RB compliance proceeding involving efficacy and establishment claims by Neurocore, L(LOPeurocore") for its Neurocure Brain Performance Centers. Neurocore advertisees of eedback, biofeedback, psychoeducation, and lifestyle modification programs designed to help clients with certain cognitive and mental health conditions, including ADHD, anxiety, depressi memory, and sleep problems. NAD recommended that Neurocore discontinue claims such as "Control your anxiety without medication" and "91% report fewer less frequent Depressi[sec] symptoms." Neurocore timely appealed.

On appeal from NAD's initial deterimation, a NARB panel recommended that Neurocore discontinue advertising claims arediteonials stating or ipplying that clients who underwent treatments had reduced or eliminateid theed for medication. The panel further recommended that Neurocore clearly and consepsisly disclose in angdvertising stating or implying that the Neurocore program is drug-famel that consumers should consult with their doctor before discontinuing prescribed meation. Finally, the panel recommended the company discontinue claims about the petagges of clients whoeported fewer or no symptoms of their cognitive or mental health dibions, to the extent such claims imply that Neurocore's treatments are clially proven to reduce or elimineathese symptoms. In October 2019, NARB conducted a compliance inquiry at the stores of NAD. After determining that Neurocore was not complying the its recommendations, NARB referred this matter to the FTC on January 7, 2020.

In addition to reviewing the NAD and NAR case record, we discussed the challenged advertising claims with Neurocore. Subservelye the company significantly clarified its efficacy claims premised on client outcome dartal added clear and conspicuous disclosure language for those claims and olie estimonials. Accordingly, we ave determined not to take additional action at this time. In coming tost conclusion, we considered a number of factors

Kenneth A. Plevan, Esq. April 22, 2020 Page 2

related to resource allocation and enforcement priorities, as well as the nature of any FTC Act violation and the type and severity of any consumer injury.

The Commission reserves the right to take such further action as the public interest may require. We appreciate your referral and the opportunity to support the NARB's self-regulatory process.

Very truly yours,

## V**I**QURO**IQ**Q

Carolyn L. Hann Chief of Staff for Advertising Practices