

United States of America FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20580

Divisionof AdvertisingPractices

April 20, 2020

Via Electronic Mail (lbrett@bbbnp.org)
Laura Brett, Esq.
Vice President
National Advertising Division
112 Madison Avenue, **SFloor
New York, NY 10016

Re: Advertising by Guardian Technology, LLC, for GermGuardian and

<u>PureGuardian</u>

Dear Ms. Brett:

Thank you for your letter referring an NADecision regarding advertising by Guardian Technologies, LLC ("Guardian Technologies") its GermGuardian and PureGuardian air purifiers and replacement filts. The NAD decision involves that NAD recommended that Guardian Technologies' competitor, Dyson, Inc. Your ferral indicates that NAD recommended that Guardian Technologies discontinue certain advertising claigns dieng the ability of its air purifiers and replacementiters to provide High Efficiency Ptaculate Air ("HEPA") filtration. Guardian Technologies has declined to the by with NAD's recommendations because it believes its product testing supports its clairfielEPA filtration for its air purifiers and replacement filters.

In addition to reviewing the NAD case redown have examined additional evidence provided by Guardian Technologies easo met with representatives from the company to discuss the FTC's concerns, including the HEPA fibratclaims at issue. After a careful review of the relevant claims, we have determined not to take additional action at this time. In coming to this conclusion, we consider a number of factors relates resource allocation and enforcement priorities, as well as the nature of FTC Act violation and the type and severity

Laura Brett, Esq. April 20, 2020 Page 2

The Commission reserves the right to take such further action as the public interest may require. We appreciate your referral and the opportunity to continue supporting the NAD self-regulatory process.

Very truly yours,

V**®**URO**₽Q**

Carolyn L. Hann Chief of Staff for Advertising Practices

cc: Chelsea Mikula, Tucker Ellis LLP