

Effects-Based Analysis: Mergers and Vertical Restraints

Acknowledgements

- z James Cooper, Dan O'Brien, Mike Vita, FTC
- z Tim Brennan, RFF and UMBC
- z Greg Werden, DOJ
- z Dan Hosken, Chris Taylor, Lou Silvia, FTC.
- z

Outline

- z I. Policy motivation: movement towards “effects-based” analysis
- z II. How to determine Effects?
 - Natural Experiments
 - Theory-based inference
- z III. Apply to Mergers and Vertical Practices

Movement towards Effects-Based Analysis of Mergers

- z Mario Monti's antitrust legacy
 - Merger Guidelines; SIEC
 - Best Practices
 - Chief Economist
- z Moving away from “Form” towards “Effect”
- z How do we determine effects of mergers?

Movement Toward Effects-Based Analysis of Vertical Practices

- z US 1977 Sylvania Decision.
- z EC Article 81 Block Exemption Regulation.
- z Movement away from “form” towards “effect”
- z How do we determine effects of contracts between manufacturers and retailers (RPM, exclusivity, loyalty discounts, bundling, refusal to deal)?

How to Determine Effects?

- z “Effects” question compares two states of world,
 - “with” vs. “without” merger
 - “with” vs. “without” vertical restraint
- z But only one is observed
- z Two ways of drawing inference
 - Natural experiments
 - Theory-based inference

- z *Control group*
- z *Experimental group*
- z
- z

z *Control Group*

- z Combination of marketing and refining assets of two major refiners in Midwest
- z First of recent wave of oil mergers
 - January 1998
- z Not challenged by antitrust agencies
- z Change in concentration from combination of assets *less* than subsequent mergers that were modified by FTC

- z Examine pricing in a region with a large change in concentration
 - Change in HHI of about 800, to 2260
- z Isolated region
 - uses Reformulated Gas
 - Difficulty of arbitrage makes price effect possible
- z Prices did ***NOT*** increase relative to other regions using similar type of gasoline

Theory-based Inference

- z Posit pro- and anti-competitive theories
- z Which one better explains the evidence?
- z Questions for the parties
 - How well does theory explain observed competition?
- z Example: Merger Simulation
 - Posit model
 - Estimate parameters
 - Simulate Merger Effects

Vertical Restraints: Natural Experiments

- z Growing body of evidence on vertical
 - Control Group (with restraint)
 - Experimental group (without restraint)
- z Find that vertical contracts and integration
 - Reduce price
 - Induce demand-increasing services

Representative Experiments

- z *Gasoline*: prices 2.7¢/gallon higher in states with vertical divorcement laws
 - Vita and Sacher (2000)
- z *Beer*: UK divorcement of “tied” pubs raised price
 - Forced to offer the beer of at least one rival brewer.
 - Slade (1998).

Vertical Theory

- z Anticompetitive theories
 - Softening horizontal competition.
 - Multilateral opportunism.
 - Dynamic entry/exit/investment effects.
- z Pro competitive theories
 - Elimination of double mark-ups
 - Cost savings.
 - Dealer services efficiencies.

What Vertical Theory Tells us

- z There is possibility that vertical restraints harm competition
- z Harm occurs in same instances where restraints likely to have efficiencies.
 - Search for screens is probably futile.
- z \hat{I} The “possibility theorems” do not give us practical ways for distinguishing pro-competitive from anti-competitive restraints.

Lessons

- z Theory-based inference about effects of vertical restraints is not likely to tell you very much.
- z Take lesson from economists who use natural experiments to determine effects of vertical
- z \hat{I} Bring cases when good natural experiments indicate restraints are anticompetitive.
 - Before and after restraint
 - Compare markets with and without restraint

FAQ's About

- z Behind every competitive effects analysis is an economic model.
 - Simulation makes the model explicit
 - Forces economists to “put cards on table”
- z Every model makes unrealistic assumptions
 - Crucial question is whether model ignores factors that lead to biased predictions

- z No methodology has been shown to predict effects of real mergers
 - No coordinated effects theory,
 - No unilateral effects theory,
 - No market concentration theory.
- z Model should be judged by how useful it is
 - Does it focus investigation?
 - Does it capture current competition?

z *Demand estimation*

z

z

∥

z