

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Federal Trade Commission WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

Prepared Remarks of Commissioner Alvaro M. Bedoya Federal Trade Commission

The National Advertising Division Annual Conference (NAD2022)¹
September 20, 2022

Thank you for that kind introduction, and thank you for the ability to join you remotely. I EHOLHYH \RX¶YH DOUHDG\ KHDUG IURP WZR RI RXU EHVW YHYLQH DQG \$VVLVWDQW 'LUHFWRU 6eHoLtalk QaDew9 tbiid/uztel3 QDWKDQDQG IRFXV RQ D VXEMHFW WKDW ,¶P VXUH² LoVir aRd Qan WedKH PLQG notice of proposed rulemaking on commercial surveillan es 1 3 52′

2 X U Q D W L R Q L V W K H Z R U Que In Vology Quant Xhell to Valle to Val

that privacy is fundamentally about data collection, specificallying data from people without their consent.

, \P G OLNH WR VSHDN DE7RXXHWQ HDDVF KWRP HW KDHOVOHR Z VG H, D \P VOO KLP\RZQ SULRULWLHV IRU WKH \$135 DQG WKH) 7 & \P V SULYDF\ to take some questions.

* * *

/HW¶V WDON DERXW WKpfbvakcy isLaUuxkukky LGHD WKH LGHD WKD

This idea arguably dates back to the earliest days of modern commercial privacy in the United States. Justice Louis Brandeisword the seminal law review article that first crystalized that concept in the American legal system.famously described the concept of privacy like this inOlmstead v. Idited States

The makers of our Constitution undertook to secure conditions favorable to the pursuit of happiness. They

where privacy is and has always been a matter of safety, job safety, a matter of basic human fairness.

Our history is rife with these examples: Consider the Pilgrims, who described being 3 K X Q W H G D Q G S H U V H F X W H G $^{\prime}$ 3 W K H L U $^{\prime}$ RoxividerVHaErilet V H W D Q G Tubman, who, in the words of Fn

But Justice Brandeis also described a case in which a woman hired a photographer to take her portrait. She then discovered walking down the street one day that the photographer had taken her photo and turnednto a Christmas card, for sale in a storefront window. She sued to

I say to them that, if you read Section 5, it also says nothing about privacy or data V H F X U L W \ 7 KCDn\d/f\s\d did ho\f de\text{in}\d Sient 5 on the basis of subject matterather, Congress defined unfairness to block any conduct that substantially injures consumers, that is not reasonably avoidable, and that is not offset by a countervailing b\delta\d inphatically agree with my colleagues Chair Khan and Commissioner Slaughter that discrimination could absolutely be the basis for Section 5 unfairness d\d in.

Second, I am keenly interested in learning more about the potential mental health harms to children and teenagers stemming firprolonged use of social media, and potential solutions. There is now a broad body of research arguing that prolonged daily use of social media is associated with increases in depression, anxiety, thoughts-biaself and suicidal ideation. As I have explained elsewhere, this is a nuanced body of research; it is not the case that social media always hurts the average teenage defeated.

However, the presence of that nuance only makes itemportant that we as an expert agency collect comments on this issue and get a clear sense of what exactly is going on, SDUWLFXODUO\LIFKLOGUHQDQGWHHQDJHUV¶GDWDLVEI that encourages them to stay on some dia longer than they themselves want. As one step in

I urge each of you to take the time FoRPPHQW RQ WKH) 7 & \P V FRPPHUFLD ANPR. We need your input. We will read it carefully and with interest.

7KDQN \RX IRU \RXU WLPH DQG DWWHQWLRQ , ¶G EH JO