UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Lina 0 Khan, Chair Noah Joshua Phillips Rebecca Kelly Slaughter Christine S. Wilson Alvaro M. Bedoya

In the Matter of

HARLEY- DAVIDSON MOTOR COMPANY GROUP, LLC, a limited liability company.

DOCKET NO.

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that HDarkeyson Motor Company Group, LLC, a limited liability compariyas violated the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Magnus Moss Warranty Act("Warranty Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 2301 et seq, and the Rule Governing Disclosure of Written Consumer Product Warranty Terms and Conditions, 16 C.F.R. § 701, promulgated thereunder, and it appearing to the Commission that this proceeding is in the public interest, alleges:

1. Respondent Harle@avidson Motor Company Group, LL(CHarley-Davidson" or "Respondent)' is a Wisconsin limited liability company ith its principal office or place of business at 3700 West Juneau Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208.

2. Respondent has manufactured, advertised, offered for sale, sold, and distributed Harley Davidson motorcycles, parts, accessories, and other products **toneorsts** hroughout the United States

3. The acts and practices Respondent alleged in this Complaint have been in or affectw [(C)-(f)-ctw

5. The length of the warranty offered by Respondentwenty-four months, "starting from the earlier of (a) the date of the initial retail purchase and delivery of the motorcycle from an authorized HarleyDavidson dealer; or (b) the third anniversary of the last day of the model year of the motorcycle."

6. Responden, through its written warranty, conditions warranty coverage on the use of genuine Harley Davidson parts and accessories.

7. For example, Respondent's 2021 warrantaties that "Genuine Harley

Count II

Deceptive Conduct in Violation of the Federal TradeCommission Act

21. In numerous instances, Respondent, a warrantorepressented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that the validity of integration consumer products costing more than \$5 is conditioned on the use, in connection with the warranted product, of genuine Harley-Davidson parts and accessonies twere not provided to the consumer free loafree under the warranty

22. A warrantorcannot, as a matter of law, condition the validity of a warranty on the consumer's use, in connection with the warranted product, of an article or service (other than an article or service provided without charge under the terms of the warranty) identified by brand, trade, or corporate namenless it has received a waiver from the Federal Trade Commissio *See* 15 U.S.C. § 2302(c).

23. Respondent has never received, or even sought, a waiver from the Federal Trade Commission to excuse it from complying with Section 2302(c) of the Warranty Act.

24. Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 21 is false or misleading

25. The acts and practices of Respondent as allegearing raphs 21-24 constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a)For the ct.

Count III

Failure to Clearly Describe All Warranty Terms in a Single Documentin Violation of the Disclosure Rule

26. Respondenta warrantorfailed to include, for a product that actually cost consumers more than \$15, all warranty terms in a single document that contains a clear description and identification of products, parts, characteristics, components covered by and, where necessary for clarification, excluded from the warranty, as required by the Disclosure Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 701.3(a)(2).

27. The acts or practices of the Respondent, as described in Paragraph 26, violate Section 701.3(a)(2) of the Disclosure Rule, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1).

THEREFORE, the Federal Trade Commission this _____ day of _____, 20__, has issued this C