Sheinberg, Samuel I.

From: HSRHelp

Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2023 12:14 PM

To: Walsh, Kathryn E.; Berg, Karen E.; Musick, Vesselina; Sheinberg, Samuel I.; Six, Anne; Whitehead, Nora;

Fetterman, Michelle; Burton, June; Larson, Peter

Subject: FW: HSR § 802.4

From: Shaffer, Kristin <kshaffer@ftc.gov>

Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2023 12:13:51 PM (U

To:

Cc: HSRHelp <HSRHelp@ftc.gov>

contribute c
investmen when the same and the
Shortly after the closing of the inv. estment (Closing bush
and accepts to the chareholders of Target as of a record dat in the projected to be one day prior to the Closing
(W. Jenhvestment, Shareholders,") As a truit Ult int the spin, off all int the configurations asserts of Carrettell he
owned by a new company ("SpinCo") entirely own
any voting security or other interests in SpinCo. herefore, after the Closing as security and the closing as security as security and the closing as security and the closing as security as s
own the majority of the voting securities of a investor and the Mingritude of the cash investor may contributed Assets that investor may contributed Assets that investor may contributed the cash investor may co
lieu of cash).
Analysis
Whit is the spin-off will occur shortly after the Closing, we believe that the substance of the transaction is that
Investo: William Schule voting securities valued at more that a safety minimum and which will be a securities valued at more that a safety minimum and the safety manufacture of the safety manufacture
(and, potentially, any Contributed Assets). The search of
applicable, the acquisition of Contributed
pursuant to § 802.4, Investor's acquisition of voting securities of rangel is exempt from the risk Act.
Under a more formalistic and words and care one view that, since the spin University and the
Closing at the Closing and indicate the closing and the Closin
Closing assets. Even under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach, we think the transaction is exempt under this approach.
has a total market capitalization of substantially less the an \$111.4 million, which investor be the stantial process. About the stantial process of the stantial process of the stantial process.
factor and cash equivalent the
cash and cash equivalentaters, one action of an action of actions and action of actions and actions are actions and actions and actions and actions are actions actions actions and actions are actions and actions are actions and actions are actions and action
Twigget and Mail entract and Minds
than \$1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Investor's investment in the state of the st
Tanagér sréxisting assers, ais invanifon perconstruené d'unémprigér hat la protoves d'invint pays mont a printing
assets, given that such assets will be entirely spurrun affichartly attended the Change of the investment.
Question
We would be grateful if you could ple wood on the confirm that you agree that Investor's investment in Target, as
described above, is exempt for the 🛬 📜 🚉 🧰
Many thanks and best regardent