1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.			
9	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT			
10	v.	INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER RELIEF			
11	AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation, and				
12	AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a limited liability company,				
13					
14	Defendants.				
15	Plaintiff, the United States of America, a	cting upon notification and authorization to the			
16	Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commiss	sion ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its			
17					

- 5. Amazon's privacy disclosures assert thatesigned Alexa with privacy in mind, that Amazon will delete users' voice and geolocation data (and children's voice data) upon request, and that Amazon carefully limitiscess to voice data. But until September 2019, Amazon retained children's voice recordings and scripts indefinitely unless a parent actively deleted them. Alexa's default settings still sachildren's (and adults') voice recordings and transcripts forever, even when a child no longers his Alexa profile and it has been inactive for years. As a result, Amazon has retained ptersonal information of thousands of children who are not even using their accounts, in violation of OPPA's prohibition on retaining children's personal information longer that easonably necessary fulfill the purposes for which the information is collected.
- 6. Amazon also failed for a significant periofitime to honor parets' requests that it delete their children's voice cordings by continuing to relate the transcripts of those recordings and failing to disclose that it was no so, also in violation of COPPA. Finally, Amazon failed to delete usersoice information and geological information upon request and instead retained that data for its own potential use. Such unfair and deceptive practices violate Section 5 of the FTC Act.

Section 5 of thf -0.0008 Tc 0.001cSa616es2gTNUE 2

holding company that conducts business through subsides, including Defendant Amazon.com Services LLC (thectively, "Amazon").

- 10. Amazon.com, Inc. transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 11. Defendant Amazon.com Secreis LLC ("Amazon.com Seirces") is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of bueiss at 410 Terry Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98109. Amazon.com Services is a wholly owner of Amazon.com, Inc. that provides services related to the processing of Alexaice and location data to Amazon.com, Inc. Amazon.com Services transactshais transacted business in the institute of the United States.
- 12. At all times material to this Complaination alone or in concert with others, Amazon has advertised, marketeistributed, or sold voice-ebled smart speakers, including smart speakers directed to cheldrunder 13 years of age, and related mobile applications to consumers throughout the United States.

# **COMMERCE**

13. At all times material to this Complain Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affeotig commerce, as "commerce" is define Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

# AMAZON'S BUSINESS PRACTICES

- 14. Amazon is a multinational technologympany with more than 1.4 million employees and annual revenuexceeding \$380 billion.
- 15. Since 2014, Amazon has developed, manufactured, and sold Internet-connected speakers, branded as Amazon "Echo" devi**ces**ţrolled by Amazon'**p**roprietary cloud-based

- 20. In May 2018, Amazon launched seventhexa-enabled offerings designed specifically for children: (1) a smart speakeatled the "Echo Dot Kids Edition," which cost approximately \$70; (2) a free penalized servicealled "FreeTime on Alexa," which enables parents to control the contenethchildren can access througher and how their children can use compatible Echo devices; and (3) a paintent subscription serve (ranging from \$2.99 per month to \$99 per year) called "FeTime Unlimited on Alexa," which provides access to child-oriented audio books, characters, and "kid skills" i(e., audio-based Alexa applications such as voice-controlled games, stories, jokted, educational tools). Ane-year subscription to FreeTime Unlimited has been included with thurchase of an Echo Dot Kids Edition.
- 21. To use these products, a parent creates fate for their childthat links to the parent's profile and contains the child's me, birth date, and gender. More than 800,000 children interact directly with Alexa using the own Amazon profile. Amazon saves children's voice recordings as audio and telets and uses persistent ide to connect these audio and text files to the child's Amazon profile. In addition, through æfature initially called "Remember This" and then called "Notes," Amazon saves various "memorie.s", content that children may instruct Alexa to remember.
- 22. Children's unique speech patterns and acodiffter from adults, and their voice recordings provide Amazon with a valuableta bank for training the Alexa algorithm to understand children.

Amazon's Default Settings Retain Childen's Voice Recordings Indefinitely

23. Since launching its first Echo smart spealAernazon has set itsefault settings to retain users' voice recordings definitely. Until September 2019, parent who sought to delete their child's voice recordings had to navigation azon's online deletin options or contact

Amazon customer service. Starting in Septem 20049, two months after receiving an initial

Civil Investigative Demand from the FTC, Amazonifiered a new feature that allowed users to auto-delete voice recordings at regular intervollthree- or eighteemonths, while still retaining the indefinite retention default setting.

- 24. Amazon claims that it retains children's voice recordings in perpetuity for three reasons: to respond to children's voice commatrods nable parents treview their children's old voice recordings; anto improve Alexa's speech recognit and processing apabilities.
- 25. For Alexa to recognize and process a childbisce request, it is not reasonably necessary to retain that child's voice recognize forever. Amazon only needs to retain a voice recording for a few seconds to respond to the estiplinat the child has just made. While parents may wish to review and supervise their child terractions with Alexa by accessing the stored recordings, storing those recordings forever is not reasonable ressary for that purpose either. Nor is the indefinite retention of children's recordings reasonable cessary for Amazon to continue improving Alexa's speechaognition and processing capabilities.

Amazon Misled Alexa Users About Their Ability to Delete Voice Recordings Collected by Alexa

26. Amazon has told parents whose children use the Echo Dot Kids Edition,
FreeTime on Alexa, and FreeTime Unlimited on Althant they can delete their child's personal information at any time. Amazon expifically assures parents that they can exercise control over their children's voice recording the provides a "Children's Privac pisclosure" to every parent who sets up a child account, directing parents interested ention to contact Amazon's customer service or to visit the "Manage Presede Consent" page on Amazon's website. That page directs parents to the Ala Privacy Settings webpaged arrequently Asked Questions webpage.

COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE - 7

- 27. The Alexa Privacy Settings webpage tebbascumers (including parents) that they can "[v]iew, hear, and deleteh[eir] voice recordings.at any time." The instructions state that Alexa users "have control ovell af [their] voice recording's and can delete their voice recordings "one by one, all at once, orebnabling auto-delete at any time." Amazon's Frequently Asked Questionsinforce this assertion.
- 28. However, until mid-2019, Amazon's prace was to delete the requested voice recordings but keep written transcripts of those cordings. Specifically when users requested deletion of their voice recordings when parents used the Aterrivacy Hub or the Alexa App to delete their children's voice cordings, Alexa deleted their recordings but retained written transcripts of those recordings is ideal data stores. The stranscripts remained available for Amazon's benefit and use for praction provement. But Amazon did not alert the user or parent that Amazon was keeping the written transcripts attinution to use them for product improvement. In fact, whether user selected "deletecoording," the "play" button for the recording and the written teatisappeared, suggesting that bother audio and text files had been deleted. Accordingly, in many instantes azon kept users' conhildren's written transcripts after users or parentequested deletion of the voice ordings associated with those transcripts and did not inform that users or parents that it in the sone so. Amazon used children's recordings—both audio files and transcripts—purposes such as refining Alexa's voice recognition and natural languageocessing capabilities.
- 29. Additionally, between August 2018 and patember 2019, Amazon Alexa users' voice recordings were accessible to 30,000 potemployees—approximately 15,000 of whom lacked any business need for such access. In deep of these employed in ot even work or Alexa-enabled products. This overbroad grafraccess violated Amazon's own "least permission" policies, which prohibit granting access ensitive data beyond what is needed for

a particular job function.

31.

# Amazon Misled Alexa App Users About Their Ability to Delete Geolocation Information

30. The Alexa App purportedly allows usersomontrol Amazon's collection, use, and storage of their geolocation formation. Amazon also tells ussemblat they can delete their geolocation information at artime using its "Manage YouContent and Devices" feature.

But Amazon did not delete all of the qecation information of Alexa App users

who requested it. Amazon itself determineal ton many occasions between January 2018 and early 2022, Amazon retained Alexa App usersolgeation information in secondary data storage locations that were insulated from consumersolgeation information in secondary data storage locations that were insulated from consumersolgeation information in secondary data storage locations that were insulated from consumersolgeation information in secondary data storage locations that were insulated from consumersolgeation information employees regularly used data in these locations to inver Alexa. Amazon repeatedly "discovered" this problem yet failed to correct is pecifically, Amazon first discovered the issue in February 2018, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2018, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2018, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, hugary 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, hugary 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, hugary 2019, hugary 2019, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018, discovered her issue in February 2019, erroneously treated it as "cented" in October 2018,

35.

### Count II

2

Deception – Amazon Falsely Represented that Alexa Users Could Delete Their or Their Child's Voice Recordings

4

44.

files and transcripts.

3

43. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are inpromated as if set forth herein.

5

of Alexa-enabled devices and aippations, Amazon regularly repress that Alexa App users or

In connection with the advising, marketing, promotion fering for sale, or sale

7

parents can delete their owntheir children's voice recordigs, implicitly including all audio

9

45. In fact, in numerous instances, Alexæcssrequested that Amazon delete their own or their children's voice recordings, but Amazon failed to do so.

10 11

12

46. Amazon's representations that would delete the voice cordings of users or their children were false and misleading and durte to deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FT (act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

13 14

Count III

15

**Unfair Privacy Practices** 

16

47. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are incomped as if set forth herein.

17

48. In numerous instances as describe Primagraph 32, Amazon failed to take reasonable steps to protect the privacy of Alexers' geolocation and voice recordings.

19

18

49. Amazon's actions and omissions caused **e**rlikely to cause substantial injury to consumers that consumers canneas sonably avoid themselvæs that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits toonsumers or competition.

21

22

20

50. Therefore, Amazon's acts or practicessets forth in Paragraph 32 constitute unfair acts or practices in viation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), (n).

7

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 53. Among other things, the Rule required sect operators to meet specific requirements related to collecting, using, sachbising personal information from children, including but not limited to:
  - a. Providing a notice on their website orline service that along with other required content, states parents may deleted their child's personal information, and the procedures fating so (16 C.F.R. § 312.4(d)(3)) which notice must be clearly and understandably written, complete, and must contain no unrelated, confusing, combradictory materials (16 C.F.R. § 312.4(a));
  - b. Providing the parent with the opportunate any time to dete the child's personal information (16 C.F.R. § 312.6(a)(2)); and
  - c. Retaining personal information collected children online only as long as is reasonably necessary to fulfillethourpose for which thinformation was collected (16 C.F.R. § 312.10).
- 54. Amazon is an "operator" subject **tho**e Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 312.2. Amazon operates the online services Echo Dot Kiditi End with FreeTime on Alexa and FreeTime Unlimited, both of which are directed toilchen under 13. Through the Echo Dot Kids Edition with FreeTime on Alexa and/or FreeTime Unitied, Amazon collects personal information as defined in the COPPA Rule firm children under 13, including voice recordigs and transcripts concerning the child combined with a persistent identifier.

#### Count IV

- COPPA Amazon Failed to Provide CompleteTruthful Notice to Parents, Failed to Give Parents an Effective Opportunity to Delete Children's Voice Recordings and Retained Children's Voice Recordings Indefinitely
  - 55. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are inpromated as if set forth herein.

