## Before the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Washington, D.C. 20250

Poultry Growing Tournament Systems: Fairness and Related Concerns	Docket No. AMS-FTPP-22-046

WRITTEN SUBMISSION OF FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION CHAIR LINA M. KHAN

I.	Introduction <sup>1</sup>						
	I appreciate the opportunity to respond to the Department of Agriculture's request						
_							

Evidence suggests that the market power that poultry companies wield is significant. Research shows that these poultry processors can dic t 6							

land, build buses and chagement, g growers i	dings, and equipment, and more. Into exclus	equipment, including Farmers ive relation	nt. <sup>14</sup> They g square for have note onships wi	mandate dootage, ver d that these ith particul	letailed and partilation cap e requirement lar firms. 15 F	unique spectacity, water often har Processors a	ifications for ing systems, ve the effect lso regularly
f		7		9	8		2
	land, build buses and chagement, growers in owers to u	land, buildings, and buses and equipment, and more. growers into exclusioners to update their	land, buildings, and equipment buses and equipment, including nagement, and more. Farmers growers into exclusive relation owers to update their facilities	land, buildings, and equipment. 14 They buses and equipment, including square for agement, and more. Farmers have note growers into exclusive relationships who were to update their facilities and equipment.	land, buildings, and equipment. <sup>14</sup> They mandate duses and equipment, including square footage, venagement, and more. Farmers have noted that thes growers into exclusive relationships with particulowers to update their facilities and equipment. <sup>16</sup> R	land, buildings, and equipment. 14 They mandate detailed and puses and equipment, including square footage, ventilation cap nagement, and more. Farmers have noted that these requirement growers into exclusive relationships with particular firms. 15 Fowers to update their facilities and equipment. 16 Research has	ultry companies require growers to bear most of the capital costs of produland, buildings, and equipment. 14 They mandate detailed and unique spec sues and equipment, including square footage, ventilation capacity, water agement, and more. Farmers have noted that these requirements often hat growers into exclusive relationships with particular firms. 15 Processors a owers to update their facilities and equipment. 16 Research has shown that f 7 . 9 8

While disclosure is an important step, the severe degree of concentration that typifies local and regional poultry markets requires more. For these reasons, I strongly support USDA's plan to pair its disclosure rule with further policy development and rulemaking that addresses unfair, deceptive, and discriminatory contract terms and business practices through specific prohibitions and limitations.<sup>27</sup>

## V. Remediating the Harms Caused by Unfair Contract Terms

As noted above, the FTC Act implicates many of the same concerns as the Packers and Stockyards Act. In particular, the FTC Act bans "unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce, and unfair . . . acts or practices in or affecting commerce." The Packers and Stockyards Act makes it unlawful for packers, swine contractors, and poultry dealers to "engage in or use any unfair . . . practice or device." Below, I highlight several examples where the FTC has used its unfairness authorities, both through (a)-1 (i)9al exRan ACID(i)-2 (c)-1 (e)-1 (o)5 (r)-2 (d(S)1 (4.7)).