1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BRIAN M. BOYNTON Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney Givil Division ARUN G. RAO Deputy Assistant Attorney General AMANDA N. LISKAMM Director, Consumer Protection Branck RACHAEL L. DOUD Assistant Director, Consumer Protection ROWAN L. REID Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch Civil Division, U.S. Department of Just 450 5th Street NW, Suite 6400-S Washington, DC 20530 Telephone: (202532-4315 Facsimile:(202) 5148742 Email Addressrowan.l.reid@usdoj.go Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of	h n Branch			
11					
12	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT			
13	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
14					
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Case No. & 9			
16	DI 1 44				
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR FERMANENT			
18	v.	INJUNCTION, QVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER RELIEF			
19 20	RESPONSE TREE LLCa California corporation and				
21					
22	DEREK THOMAS DOHERTY, individually and as an officeof				
23	RESPONSE TREE LLC				
24	Defendan s .				
25					
26					
27	Plaintiff, the United States of Ar	nerica, acting upon notification and			
28	authorization to the Attorney General	the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"			

DEFENDANTS

5. Defendant Response Tree LLC ("Response Trissed")California corporationwith its principal place of businesss 3333 Michelson DriveSuite

26

27

300, Irvine, CA 92612. Response Tree transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout ther lited States Response Treleas ownedoperatel, and controlled the internet domain Patriot Refi. com Aged People Meet. com, ClickToWinAChance.comand othess. Response Treteok PatriotRefi.com, AgedPeopleMeet.comClickToWinAChance.com, and otheomainsdown after receiving the FTC's Civil Investiga (i)0.5 (g)-8..0024 (.4 (no8i (e)-4.4 (m)-3.8 (a)-4.4 (ng

_

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

<u>Overview</u>

8. Defendants have perated as lead generators that collect, aggregate, and sell consumer information "leads"—for profit. More specifically, Defendants have perated as a "consent farm," collecting and selling leads that are coupled with the consume's purported consent treceive certain outbound telephone calls, include outbound telephone calls that deliver a prerecorded message, otherwise known as ocalls and calls made to telephone numbers on the do-not-call registry established by the research profit in the collection of the coll

ml6d c51 (n-8.5 (i4e)]T

Image 1: Patriot Refi Website Plon 05 | Dage 701 185.195 abso

14. At the bottom of the Patriot Refi Website's Homepage, theres a prominent bluebutton that stated "GET YOUR FAST FREE QUOTE." When a consumer clicked in this button the webpagewas reoriented of the browser so that the portion of the homepage ith a black box and the ords "START HERE. Get a FREE quote in minutes. Tell Us About Yourse Was at the center of the browser.

15. As such, to obtain servicets, e consumewas prompted to type his name, address, phone number, email address, attected to the form in the black box. The consumes submitted his information by clicking the prominently displayed arge blue button in the black both at stated, "GET YOUR FAST FREE QUOTE." If the consumer id not fill in all the fields on the form requesting his personal information and then tolite click the "GET YOUR FAST FREE QUOTE" button, a popup that said, "Please fill out this field appeared over the field in which the consumer did not enter informat. The consumewas unable to 2002 3 -0.004 sc 0.002 Tw-1.641 2d [(bla)12.1 (c)3ty (I)-pJ-0.015 T.1 (II) Tc 0.002 Tw

to purchase a new home, or to take cash out of their existing hoodeed, many or all consumers never received any quote at all.

17. Meanwhile, on the back end, Defendants operate

disclosure on the Patriot Refi Websitemepage that stade that the consumer may be contacted "regarding related products and services." This disclassure more expansive in that it open the door for the consumer's contact information to be transferred marketers of products and services unrelated to see offered by the Patriot Refi Website Additionally,



Image 3:Patriot Refi Website's "Our Partners" Page

26. The "California Privacy Notice" webpagecontaired information related to the California Consumer Privacy Act. The webpaged streate the notice was "for California residents and supplements information contained elsewhere in the Privacy Policy." It also state o provide the products features offered by our site, we must occasinformation about you including Personal Information, and may sell, share, and use your ersonal Information respond to your equestand for busines surposes. For example, if you request information about an insurance roduct or alternative roduct, your Personal Information may be shared with third arties who can follow up on your request." Contrary to this statement on summers who ubmited their personal information to the Patriot Refi Website receive obocalls another telemarketing calls regarding

social security disability benefits, among other to pinds ich are not at ll related to consumers equests formortgagerelated financial products. Furthermoire, numerous instances on sumers did not receive "the products or features offered by" the Patriot Refi Website.

- 27. Additionally, the consent language state agree to the ... Terms and Conditions' It is unclear what "Terms and Conditions" this capitalized phrase was purporting to refer.
- 28. Defendants use of these deceptive design techniques Patriot
 Refi Websitetricked consumers into providing their personal dentifiable
 information. This undermined consumers ability to understand that their
 personally identifiable information would be provided to others as a lead for
 tel (b)-8.3 (si)-37y-0.004 TTw 0.713 (sns)8.4 (um)4.3 (]TJ 0Dy13 (sniH5-4.4 (c)-4.5d2.8

7 8

who did not consent to receiving calls from the campaigns, including consumers with telephone numbers registered with the National Do Not Call Registry.

37. As another example, between January 2018 and May 2002tel. Technology Services, LLC ("Yodel"), a company that operates a Voice Over Internet Protocol service, among otherious telemarketing services ansmitted millions of calls for its clients, almost all of which were robocalls, to consumers based on leads and purported consents that Defendants represented aviewed through their websites that shows how army sales calls odel initiated based on consumer informational Yodel understood was tained from five of Defendants websites during the relevant period:

Nthat Y2N3 (d)

Defendants' Consent Number WebYodews hnen<< (vic)12.1 cw 7 (ni)--2.1 Farm Website

including DMS, were used to initiate outbound telephone calls, including robocalls and calls made to telephone numbers on the National Do Not Call Registry. In numerous instances, Defendants' lead generation clients explicitly required that the "consent" portion of Defendants' websites contain language regalization recorded calls. Indeed, the block of text on the Patriot Refi Website and thers operated by Defendantisat is captured in Images 1 and 2 absovecifically state that a consumer may be contacted "by telephone, which may include artificial or pre-recorded calls . delivered via automated technolog Defendantalso have known thatmany, if not all of their clients and their clients' clients notand or did not have præxisting business relations sipvith the consumers they called. Ongoing Nature of Defendants' Unlawful Practices 39. Based on the facts and violations of law alleged in this Complaint, Plaintiff has reason to believe that Defeti5k -1.641 Ta1 (s a)1-1.641 Ta

the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.2.

- 54. In numerousinstances, in connection with telemarketing, those sellers and/or telemarketers to whom Defendants have provided substantial assistance or support have initiated or caused the initiation of outbound telephone calls that delivered prerecorded messages to induce the sale of goods or services, in violation of 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(v).
- 55. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, those sellers and/or telemarketers to whom Defendants have provided substantial assistance or support have initiated or caused the initiation of outbound telephonecoalls person's telephone numbreon the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).
- 56. At all relevant times, Defendants knew or consciously avoided knowing that the sellers and/or telemarketers to whom they provided substantial assistance or support were making the unlawful calls described in Paragraphs 55, which violated § 310.4(b)(1)(v) of the TSR.
 - 57. Defendants' substantial assasecS.1(d9r(p)8.3 / -0.006 Tw 2.563W(h)-hs D

